A Framework for Conducting Assistive Technology Consideration, Screening and Assessment

DIVISION OF SPECIAL POPULATIONS

Cecil J. Picard
State Superintendent of Education

October 10. 2005



State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education

Ms. Glenny Lee Buque

President
3rd BESE District

Mr. Walter Lee

Vice President
4th BESE District

Ms. Linda Johnson

Secretary-Treasurer 8th BESE District

Ms. Penny Dastugue

1st BESE District

Ms. Louella Givens

2nd BESE District

Dr. James Stafford 5th BESE District

Ms. Polly Broussard

6th BESE District

Mr. Dale Bayard

7th BESE District

Mr. Edgar Chase

Member-at-Large

Ms. Leslie Jacobs

Member-at-Large

Ms. Mary Washington

Member-at-Large

Ms. Weegie Peabody

Executive Director

For further information, contact:
Louisiana Department of Education
Division of Special Populations
P.O. Box 94064
Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9064
225-342-3730

The Louisiana Department of Education (LDE) does not discriminate on the basis of sex in any of the education programs or activities that it operates, including employment and admission related to such programs and activities. The LDE is required by Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (Title IX) and its implementing regulations not to engage in such discrimination. LDE's Title IX Coord. is Patrick Weaver, Deputy Undersecretary, LDE, Exec. Office of the Supt.; PO Box 94064, Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9064; 877-453-2721 or customerservice@la.gov. All inquiries pertaining to LDE's policy prohibiting discrimination based on sex or to the requirements of Title IX and its implementing regulations can be directed to Patrick Weaver or to the USDE, Asst. Sec. for Civil Rights.

This public document was printed at a cost of \$480.00. Two hundred (200) copies of this document were printed in this first printing at a cost of \$480.00. The total cost of all printings of this document, including reprints, is \$480.00. This document was printed by the Louisiana Department of Education, Office of Student and School Performance, Division of Special Populations; P.O. Box 94064; Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9064 to provide regulatory information about special education and related services for students with disabilities. This material was printed in accordance with the standards for printing by state agencies established pursuant to R.S. 43:31.

PREFACE

This document provides a framework for making decisions about the assistive technology needs of students with disabilities. It outlines a process for making initial consideration decisions, conducting screenings and guiding assessment. This document is an extension of those procedures and guidelines provided in the *Pupil Appraisal Handbook, Bulletin 1508*, revised *August 20, 2004*, the *IEP (Individualized Education Plan) Handbook for Students with Disabilities, Bulletin 1530, revised July 20, 2004*, and other relevant legislation. Prior to reading this document, it is recommended that all individuals who participate in the assessment of assistive technology review the components for *Screening, Special Services* and *Speech or Language Impairment* related to assistive technology in the Louisiana *Pupil Appraisal Handbook, Bulletin 1508*. Individuals who participate in the IEP and implementation of special education curriculum for students with disabilities should refer to the sections on assistive technology stated in the *IEP Handbook, Bulletin 1530*.

The LDE (Louisiana Department of Education) recognizes that although some districts already have assistive technology structures in place, there is inconsistency from district to district in procedures, documentation, and the delivery of services for those students that require assistive technology. This document is an attempt to unify procedures across the state and provide a mechanism to ensure that all students who require assistive technology are receiving the tools and services they need. It is the intent that this process will facilitate the ability of school districts to make informed decisions about the assistive technology considerations for their students, and streamline attempts for monitoring, training, and documentation of assistive technology services.

The LDE wants to acknowledge some of leading national assistive technology projects that provide assistive technology resources on procedures, assessment, consideration and training. The LDE has utilized these resources in the development of this document. These projects include the Wisconsin Assistive Technology Initiative (WATI), the Georgia Project for Assistive Technology (GPAT), and the Oregon Assistive Technology Project (OTAP).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I: OVERVIEW OF ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY	5
Assistive Technology	6
Definitions	
Instructional Technology	7
Universal Design	
Legal Mandates for Assistive Technology	10
Federal	
State	11
PART II: CONSIDERATION, SCREENING, AND REFERRAL	14
Consideration	15
What is Assistive Technology Consideration?	
The Consideration Process	
Documentation of Consideration of Assistive Technology on the IEP	17
Screening	18
Reporting Screening Results	
Referral	20
PART III: THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS	22
Assessment Process and Planning	23
Definitions	23
Laws Affecting School Districts	23
Best Practice in Assistive Technology Assessment	24
The Assistive Technology Team	25
Assistive Technology Assessment Timelines	26
Assistive Technology Assessment Components	27
Pre-Assessment Activities	27
Gathering Information about the Student	28
Gathering Information about the Environment	
Observation of the Student	
Framing the Assessment Question	29
Conducting Assistive Technology Assessment	
Recommendations	
Reporting Assessment Results	31
Follow-up	31

PART IV: APPENDIX
Consideration
Consideration Checklist Directions
Consideration Checklist — 35
List of Possible Assistive Technology
Screening
Louisiana Assistive Technology Screening Checklist
Referral
Referral Packet, Referral Form
Referral Packet, Background Information
Referral Packet, Parent Permission for Assistive Technology Assessment 50
Referral Packet, Parent Permission to Photograph or Video Tape
Assessment
Assessment Background Information
Timeline Guide
Observation Checklist
Assessment Areas
Fine Motor Related to Computer (or Device) Access
Composing Written Material 59
Communication
Reading64
Learning and Studying66
Math
Recreation and Leisure
Seating and Positioning71
Mobility
Vision
Hearing
Assessment Report Template
References

PART I: OVERVIEW OF ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY

ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY

Technology can significantly impact learning, independence, self-esteem, and quality of life. For some students with disabilities, technology provides the only effective method for access to the general education curriculum and gives them the resources to actively participate with their peers or make progress toward their educational goals.

DEFINITIONS

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004 (IDEA) mandates that school systems address assistive technology when it is required as part of a student's special education services, related services, or supplementary aids and services. IDEA defines assistive technology as both a device and service.

The term assistive technology device means any item, piece of equipment, or product system, whether acquired commercially off the shelf, modified, or customized, that is used to increase, maintain, or improve the functional capabilities of a child with a disability (P.L. 108-446, Part A, Section 602 (1)(A)).

The term does not include a medical device that is surgically implanted, or the replacement of such device (P.L. 108-446, Part A, Section 602 (1)(B)).

This broad definition includes a wide variety of items that might be considered as assistive technology devices. To make it easier for educators to identify tools and resources that a student may need, assistive technology is typically categorized into the following areas of need: physical, fine/gross motor, communication, sensory, academic, recreation/leisure, vocational, and self-help. Examples of items that may be used as assistive technology are included in the *List of Possible Assistive Technology Resources* provided in the Appendix.

IDEA identifies the services that are included under assistive technology as part of the definition.

The term assistive technology service means any service that directly assists a child with a disability in the selection, acquisition, or use of an assistive technology device. The term includes-

- evaluation of needs, including a functional evaluation, in the child's customary environment;
- purchasing, leasing or otherwise providing for the acquisition of assistive technology devices;
- selecting, designing, fitting, customizing, adapting, applying, maintaining, repairing, or replacing of assistive technology devices;

- coordinating with other therapies, interventions, or services with assistive technology devices, such as those associated with existing education and rehabilitation plans and programs;
- training or technical assistance for a child with disabilities, or where appropriate that child's family; and
- training or technical assistance for professionals (including individuals providing education and rehabilitation services), employers or others(s) who provide services to employ, or are otherwise, substantially involved in the major life functions of children with disabilities (P.L. 108-446, Part A, Section 602 (2)).

INSTRUCTIONAL TECHNOLOGY

Definition of Instructional Technology

The Association for Educational Communications and Technology (AECT) defines instructional technology as "the theory and practice of design, utilization, management, and evaluation of processes and resources for learning" (AECT, 2005). This definition includes the wide range of materials, practice, and evaluation that are used in schools for teaching and learning.

Instructional Technology and Assistive Technology

Considering the definition above, instructional technology includes any type of technology or strategy that is used in the teaching and learning process. Assistive technology is a form of instructional technology that is specifically identified for persons with a disability who require a device or service in order to receive a Free and Appropriate Education (FAPE). Many of the technology tools that are provided as part of the typical resources for classroom instruction may also be utilized as an assistive technology device. For example, word processors are widely utilized in today's classrooms and may also be considered as an assistive technology option for some students with disabilities who have difficulty writing. Generally, technology is considered as assistive technology if the student would be unable to independently participate in a task or independently access the resources in the environment relevant to his IEP goals without the technology. Additionally, if a student's use of technology requires a modification or accommodation to the way in which it is typically used, then it would likely be considered as assistive technology. In most cases in which the student is accessing or applying technology in the manner or method typically used by his/her peers, the technology would not be considered as assistive technology.

UNIVERSAL DESIGN

Educational environments that provide a variety of instructional technology tools offer flexible alternatives that are necessary in promoting access to the curriculum and the principles of universal design. Universal design is a concept originally used in architecture for the construction of buildings and materials that provide access for individuals with disabilities. This term has been expanded into accessibility for learning environments and information technology. In the newly reauthorized IDEA, Section 602 (36), the definition of the term "universal design" has the meaning identified in the Assistive Technology Act of 1998 listed below.

The term `universal design' means a concept or philosophy for designing and delivering products and services that are usable by people with the widest possible range of functional capabilities, which include products and services that are directly usable (without requiring assistive technologies) and products and services that are made usable with assistive technologies (Assistive Technology Act 105-394, § 2432 (iv)(2)(a)(1)).

Universal Design for Learning (UDL) is a concept developed by the Center for Applied Special Technology (CAST) that connects the principles of universal design to principles of learning supported by brain research and literature on learning. For effective UDL, accessibility must be considered during the planning of curriculum and activities so that access features are built into the overall design, instead of retrofitted after the curriculum has already been produced. CAST identifies three areas for determining accessibility. These areas are based on brain research indicating how the brain learns. In determining accessibility, educators must consider how learning tasks affect recognition, expression and engagement.

- **Recognition**: How are students expected to identify, interpret or recognize the information given?
- **Expression**: How are students expected to express or communicate their understanding of information?
- **Engagement**: What is the interest of the student or what is the motivation/value in learning the information?

The provision of flexibility does not indicate that curriculum expectations should be lowered. Criteria can be maintained when careful planning for access is identified during lesson development.

Technology and digital media are important in UDL because they can offer teachers tools for providing varied materials and resources. For example, in using a computer, students can manipulate the style of text, add sound, hyperlink to resources, output to a variety of peripherals, such as a braille printer, and vary input through options such as alternate keyboards, voice recognition, or a switch. When lessons have been prepared through a single type of classroom media such as the traditional paper and pencil worksheet, textbooks, and chalkboards, it becomes difficult to make those materials accessible to learners who cannot see them, use their hands to manipulate them, or understand the information written on them. These static materials, although very beneficial to many

students in the learning environment, provide barriers for learning for some individuals with disabilities.

LEGAL MANDATES FOR ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY

FEDERAL

The IDEA mandate for assistive technology referenced in §300.308 of the current Federal Register is the foundation for the provision of assistive technology in special education programs. The federal regulations have been revised since the passage of IDEA 2004 and are expected to be approved by December of 2005. The proposed federal regulations subsection for assistive technology is §300.105(a) and is listed below. The language for this subsection is consistent with the former regulations.

Each public agency must ensure that assistive technology devices or assistive technology services, or both, as those terms are defined in §§ 300.5 and 300.6, respectively, are made available to a child with a disability if required as a part of the child's—

- (i) Special education under § 300.36;
- (ii) Related services under § 300.34; or
- (iii) Supplementary aids and services under §§ 300.38 and 300.114(a)(2)(ii).

On a case-by-case basis, the use of school-purchased assistive technology devices in a child's home or in other settings is required if the child's IEP Team determines that the child needs access to those devices in order to receive FAPE (Authority: 20 USC 1412(a)(12)(B)(I)).

IDEA specifically addressed the requirement for the provision of assistive technology in the consideration of special factors.

The IEP Team shall-

...In the case of a child who is blind or visually impaired, provide for instruction in braille ...unless the IEP team determines that it is not appropriate for the child (20 U.S.C \S 1414 (d)(3)(B)(iii)).

...Consider the communication needs of the child, and in the case of a child who is deaf or hard of hearing, consider the child's language and communication needs... $(20 \text{ U.S.C } \S 1414 (d)(3)(B)(iv))$.

... Consider whether the child requires assistive technology devices and services (20 U.S.C § 1414(d)(3)(B)(v)).

IDEA requires that if assistive technology is required for the student to participate in district-wide or statewide testing, the need for technology must be documented in the IEP (Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1414 (d)(a)).

STATE

This section outlines Louisiana regulations regarding assistive technology for students with disabilities from *Title 28 Part XLIII*, *Bulletin 1706*, *Subpart A - Regulations for Students with Disabilities* (hereafter referred to as *Bulletin 1706*), the *Louisiana Pupil Appraisal Handbook*, *Bulletin 1508* (hereafter referred to as *Bulletin 1508*) and the *Louisiana IEP Handbook*, *Bulletin 1530* (hereafter referred to as *Bulletin 1530*).

Bulletin 1706

Bulletin 1706 addresses the IDEA mandates for consideration of special factors in sections 444 (b) and (c) titled "IEP Content and Format":

- B. The IEP team shall also consider the following special factors and include, if needed, a statement addressing these issues on the IEP
 - 1. in the case of a student whose behaviors impede his or her learning or that of others, if appropriate, strategies including positive behavioral intervention strategies and supports to address that behavior;
 - 2. in the case of a student with limited English proficiency, the language needs of the student as those needs relate to the student's IEP;
 - 3. in the case of a student who is blind or visually impaired, instruction in braille and the use of braille unless the IEP team determines after an evaluation of the student's reading and writing skills, needs and appropriate reading and writing media (including an evaluation of the student's future needs for instruction in braille or the use of braille) that instruction in braille or the use of braille is not appropriate for the student;
 - 4. the communication needs of the student; and in the case of a student who is deaf or hard-of-hearing, not only the student's language and communication needs, but also the opportunities for direct communications with peers and professional personnel in the student's language and communication mode, academic level, and full range of needs, including opportunities for direct instruction in the student's language and communication mode; the LEA shall ensure that hearing aids worn in school by student with hearing impairments, including deafness, are functioning properly;
 - 5. whether the student requires assistive technology devices and services based on assessment/evaluation results; if it is determined that the student requires assistive technology devices or assistive technology services, or both, they shall be made available to the student with a disability as a part of the student's special education services, as a related service, or as

- supplementary aids and services; on a case-by-case basis, the use of school-purchased assistive technology devices in a student's home or in other settings is required if the student's IEP team determines that the student needs access to those devices in order to receive a FAPE; and
- 6. in the case of a student who has health problems, needs to be met during the school day; such medical conditions as asthma; diabetes; seizures; or other diseases/disorders that may require lifting and positioning, diapering, assistance with meals, special diets, or other health needs;
- C. If in considering the special factors described in B.1-6. above, the IEP team determines that a student needs a particular device or service (including an intervention, accommodation, or other program modification) in order for the student to receive a FAPE, the IEP team shall include a statement to that effect in the student's IEP.

Bulletin 1706 also addresses assistive technology in section 464 titled "Program Accessibility":

- A. Program accessibility shall be ensured within existing facilities and accomplished through one of the following
 - 1. alteration of existing facilities; or
 - 2. nonstructural changes; redesign of equipment; procurement of accessible educational technology; utilization of assistive technology; reassignment of classes or other services to accessible buildings;...

Bulletin 1508

Bulletin 1508 outlines specific guidelines for screening and evaluation to ensure the identification of students who require assistive technology. It requires that Pupil Appraisal conduct an assistive technology screening as part of the pre-referral and screening activities listed in the following areas of section 107:

Assistive Technology screening is accomplished through an observation of the student's skills and educational environment. An assistive technology assessment may be needed if the screening results indicate the student has difficulty in any of the following areas:

- a. Verbal communication
- b. Written communication
- c. Access to the curriculum
- d. Working independently to complete educational activities

Bulletin 1530

Bulletin 1530 addresses consideration of assistive technology by IEP teams in the following statement:

Consideration shall be given for every student with a disability who is eligible for an individualized education program as to whether the student requires assistive technology devices and/or services to receive a free and appropriate education. This decision may be accomplished at any time during the initial evaluation by the evaluation team, or later by the IEP team when the IEP is developed, and then again when the IEP is reviewed and/or revised. It would be of maximum benefit to the student if the need is determined during the course of the initial evaluation and an assistive technology assessment is conducted in accordance with evaluation procedures, but ultimately it is the IEP team that must identify the student's need for assistive technology (p. 126).

In the following statement, *Bulletin 1530* describes the importance of documenting assistive technology in the IEP:

A major problem in the ongoing assessment and planning of assistive technology for a student can be the loss of information from one year to the next, from one professional to the next, or from one school to the next. Therefore, the IEP becomes the central document for communicating about the student's past history, current need and future need for assistive technology (p. 126).

PART II: CONSIDERATION, SCREENING, AND REFERRAL

CONSIDERATION

WHAT IS ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY CONSIDERATION?

Assistive Technology Consideration is a decision-making process for determining whether a student requires assistive technology in order to receive FAPE. Louisiana requires that an initial screening and, if indicated, assessment of assistive technology is required during the initial evaluation process. For students eligible for an IEP, consideration of assistive technology must occur when the IEP is developed, reviewed and/or revised.

There are three general conclusions to be made when considering assistive technology: the student needs assistive technology, the student does not need assistive technology, or the student may need assistive technology. The list below identifies critical elements for making effective consideration decisions. It was developed by the *Quality Indicators for Assistive Technology (QIAT) Consortium*, a group of nationally recognized leaders who discuss and outline procedures for best practice related to the provision of assistive technology services. This list serves as the guideline for the development of the consideration process described in this document.

Quality Indicators for Consideration of Assistive Technology Needs

- Assistive technology devices and services are considered for all students with disabilities regardless of the type and severity of disability.
- The IEP team has the knowledge and skills to make informed assistive technology decisions.
- A continuum of assistive technology devices and services is explored.
- Decisions regarding the need for assistive technology devices and services are made based on access to the curriculum and the student's IEP goals and objectives.
- Decisions regarding the need for assistive technology devices and services and supporting data are documented

(Zabala, J. & Bowser, G., 2000)

THE CONSIDERATION PROCESS

The premise of the consideration process is that IEP teams are responsible for making decisions regarding assistive technology. When IEP teams participate in the decision-making process, they have direct input on the implementation and success of the assistive technology program. Although every member of the IEP does not need to have a specialized knowledge about assistive technology, the input from each member regarding

the student's program and progress is necessary for the discussion. At least one member of the team should have some knowledge about assistive technology and be able to direct the team to assistive technology resources.

The question of whether a student needs assistive technology requires thoughtful attention and analysis of all areas related to that student's goals. The consideration process presented in this document was developed to help the IEP team simplify this analysis, organize information, and lead discussion. This process is adapted from several sources including the *Student*, *Environment*, *Task*, *and Tools (SETT)* procedure developed by Joy Zabala, the *Consideration Guide* from the WISC, and the *Consideration Checklist* from GPAT.

The consideration process begins with the IEP team reviewing information about the student, any issues he/she may have in accessing curriculum, and his/her progress toward completing educational goals. (For cases such as an initial evaluation, in which assistive technology needs have already been determined through an assistive technology assessment, the issue of assistive technology has already been addressed. The IEP team should review the recommendations of the assessment and document its action on the IEP.)

The review includes gathering information about the following:

- the student's skills or issues of access affecting his/her performance
- the environment(s) where the student completes goals and activities
- the task(s) that the student needs to accomplish and the student's present level of performance on that task, and
- other strategies, modifications or accommodations (technology or non-technology strategies) that are already in use.

(Zabala, 1999)

The IEP team discusses whether assistive technology is required, may be required, or that more information is needed in order to make a decision. If the team determines that the student requires assistive technology and is aware of technology that meets the student's needs, such as technology that is already available in the student's educational environment, it records the assistive technology decision on the IEP. In a case in which the team is unsure of the need, appropriateness, or the availability of assistive technology during the consideration discussion, it will need to gather more information through a screening or, if indicated, refer the student for an assistive technology assessment. To complete the consideration determination in such cases, the team would document that a screening is required. The team should then proceed into the screening phase of the process.

To guide IEP teams through the process of consideration, a *Consideration Checklist* has been developed. (See the *Consideration Checklist* and directions in the Appendix, Figure 1.) The *Consideration Checklist* provides a framework to lead discussion and a format for documenting decisions made by the IEP team. It is designed to facilitate a <u>brief</u>

discussion about the assistive technology needs of a student. This process precedes any in-depth analysis that may be required through a more detailed screening and referral process. Districts may use this checklist or develop their own process for consideration of assistive technology. The district is responsible for identifying its procedures for the consideration of assistive technology to any personnel responsible for IEP development. The procedures should indicate whom to contact for assistive technology issues, district requirements for requesting assistive technology, and any procedures for documentation.

DOCUMENTATION OF CONSIDERATION OF ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY ON THE IEP

The Louisiana Pupil Appraisal Handbook, Bulletin 1508, and IEP Handbook, Bulletin 1530, recommend that information about assistive technology should be identified in the General Student Information section of the IEP.

Assistive technology may be listed in the IEP as special education, related services, or supplementary aids and services. When appropriate, assistive technology should be integrated into goals and objective statements and transition planning.

If assistive technology is required as a component of standardized assessment, the assistive technology must be indicated in the IEP area for standardized testing accommodations and modifications, and a statement must be included to describe the use of the assistive technology.

When an assistive technology service is indicated, it may be written in the Program Services area of the IEP. If the service is a component of another service already listed (e.g., Speech Therapy, Occupational Therapy or Special Education Service), the assistive technology service should not be listed separately. Information about assistive technology evaluations should be listed in the IEP area describing re-evaluation information.

In most cases, it is more appropriate to identify the type or category of equipment, instead of listing a specific brand or device so that the team has more choices in the selection of technology that meets the particular need. For example, in the case of a student who requires the use of word processing with text-to-speech, there are a variety of programs that provide text-to-speech support. If the IEP team specifies a name of a particular program, they limit the use of other programs or program options that may already be available in multiple classroom settings or community settings that the student could otherwise use effectively. However, in special circumstances in which consistency in the device or technology used must be maintained, it may be necessary to specifically name the device.

SCREENING

An assistive technology screening is a tool used to indicate a student's performance in specific areas where the student may be having difficulty. The screening is utilized to determine whether a student may benefit from assistive technology or whether further assessment is required. The screening may be conducted as part of the evaluation process, part of the IEP process, or through a request from a parent or member of the student's educational team. As required by the *Louisiana Pupil Appraisal Handbook*, *Bulletin 1508*, a screening must be conducted during the initial evaluation process. Screening may be indicated as part of the IEP process if the consideration discussion suggests that more information is required to make a decision. The screening is typically conducted at the school site level by a member of the student's educational team, but it may also be conducted by personnel such as someone familiar with assistive technology, assessment, or special education programs.

The Louisiana Pupil Appraisal Handbook, Bulletin 1508 appendix provides a screening checklist for use by school teams. The screening checklist has been adapted to a table format and is included in the Appendix (Figure 3). Districts may use this screen or develop their own screening tool. The screening checklist serves as an organizer for identifying those skills and activities in which assistive technology might benefit a student's functioning in an academic setting. The statements are designed to be answered with a simple "yes" or "no" decision. Statements that receive a "no" response indicate an area of concern and possible area for intervention. The result of the screening should indicate one of the following:

- The student has been considered for assistive technology and further action is not required at this time.
- The student has been considered for assistive technology and additional screening is recommended in particular areas.
- The student has been considered for assistive technology and the following "low tech" solutions are recommended. The team lists the low tech solutions.
- The student has been screened for assistive technology and a referral for a full assistive technology assessment is recommended. In this case, the individuals conducting the screening are unable to determine the assistive technology needs of the student and require assistance in making appropriate decisions.

REPORTING SCREENING RESULTS

Screening results must be documented and reported through one of the following processes:

- For screenings that occur during the initial pupil appraisal evaluation and indicate that <u>assistive technology is not needed</u>, information regarding the screening must be noted within the body of the pupil appraisal evaluation.
- For screenings that occur as a result of IEP consideration and indicate that <u>no</u> <u>further assessment is required</u>, the screening should be placed with the student's records. If assistive technology is recommended in this case, documentation must be made on the IEP.
- When the screening process has been completed and results indicate that further assessment is needed, the student should be referred for an assistive technology assessment and the screening should be included as a part of the referral packet.

REFERRAL

When the need for assessment has been indicated as a result of the IEP consideration discussion, screening, or a parent concern, the team should submit a referral. Referral is the process for requesting an assessment by individuals with expertise in the area of assistive technology. These individuals are typically members of the district assistive technology team or consultants requested through district special education procedures. The district is responsible for identifying district procedures for requesting a referral, who to contact, and the information required.

The referral provides valuable information to the assessment team about the student's current needs and issues regarding why the assessment is needed. A referral typically follows the consideration and screening determinations. It is completed when any of the following determinations have been made:

- The student cannot independently perform a task within his/her curriculum.
- Accommodations, modifications, or assistive technology currently in place are not effective.
- Decisions about the assistive technology needs are beyond the scope of knowledge of the IEP team.

Referral information will assist the assessment team in focusing on what is to be assessed. The IEP team must agree on the issues being addressed and focus the referral on the functional capabilities of a student and the barriers created by the student's disability. The referral forms included in this section were developed to help the IEP team frame assessment questions about the student, the environment, the task, and the educational strategies or technology. They include the following information:

- The specific task the student needs to do
- The student's current level of performance on that task
- The affect of the student's disability on his/her performance
- The environments in which the task needs to be done
- Any environmental concerns or other issues that the assessment team needs to know about
- Specific tools or strategies that someone on the team thinks should be considered
- Assistive technology, modifications, or accommodations are currently in place

The list of forms below provides a format for referral. (See figure 4 in the Appendix.) Districts may use these forms or develop their own.

- Referral Form
- Home/School Questionnaire Form

- Background Information Form
- Parental Permission for Assessment
- Parental Permission for Photographing/Videotaping

Other resources that may be included in the referral packet include the following:

- A copy of the student's current IEP
- A copy of the student's current pupil appraisal evaluation
- The consideration checklist

PART III: THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS

ASSESSMENT PROCESS AND PLANNING

DEFINITIONS

This document distinguishes between the terms "evaluation" and "assessment" as defined below. The word "assessment" is used to identify the process for determining the need for assistive technology outlined in this section.

Evaluation: A group of activities conducted to determine a child's eligibility

for special education, as in a pupil appraisal evaluation.

Assessment: A group of activities that is conducted after eligibility for special

education has been established and is used to determine a child's

specific needs with regard to assistive technology.

LAWS AFFECTING SCHOOL DISTRICTS

Each school district is required to identify whether a student needs assistive technology to achieve FAPE and ensure that assistive technology devices and services are provided as part of the child's special education program. These services include the assistive technology evaluation as defined by IDEA below.

Assistive technology device means any item, piece of equipment, or product system, whether acquired commercially off the shelf, modified, or customized, that is used to increase, maintain, or improve functional capabilities of children with disabilities (P.L. 108-446, Part A, Section 602 (1)).

Assistive technology service means any service that directly assists a child with a disability in the selection, acquisition, or use of an assistive technology device. The term includes:

- the evaluation of needs including a functional evaluation, in the child's customary environment;
- purchasing, leasing or otherwise providing for the acquisition of assistive technology devices;
- selecting, designing, fitting, customizing, adapting, applying, maintaining, repairing, or replacing of assistive technology devices:
- coordinating with other therapies, interventions, or services with assistive technology devices, such as those associated with existing education and rehabilitation plans and programs;
- training or technical assistance for a child with disabilities, or where appropriate that child's family; and

• training or technical assistance for professionals (including individuals providing education and rehabilitation services), employers or others(s) who provide services to employ, or are otherwise, substantially involved in the major life functions of children with disabilities (P.L. 108-446, Part A, Section 602 (2)).

BEST PRACTICE IN ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

In the 2004 reauthorization of the IDEA, Subpart B, Part 300.6, the definition of assistive technology services includes "the evaluation of needs of the child with a disability, including a functional evaluation, in the child's customary environment." Based on this section of the law, the following best practices or *Quality Indicators for Assistive Technology (QIAT)* were developed by a consortium of assistive technology specialists in 1998 (Bowser, G., Korsten, J., Reed, P., & Zabala, J., 1999).

- A knowledgeable, supportive network of people work together to help every IEP team choose and provide appropriate assistive technology devices and services.
- School district employees who work with children with disabilities (including general education teachers) have at least an awareness level knowledge about what assistive technology is and what it does.
- Employees who work with children with disabilities and have contact with parents of those children, know the law about assistive technology, district procedures for obtaining and evaluating for assistive technology and how to initiate those procedures.
- Administrators understand and comply with the laws related to assistive technology.
- Specific individuals at both the building and district level have been designated with specific responsibilities related to assistive technology and provided the necessary training, resources, and support to carry out those responsibilities (WATI Assessment Package, 2004).

The above quality indicators dictate a need for change in the following areas:

- A need for change in the view of assistive technology assessment: From a "one shot", separate event to an ongoing, continual part of educational planning.
- A need for change in who conducts the assistive technology assessment: From an expert based at a center to the local team in the natural setting.

- A need for change in the scheduling of an assistive technology assessment: From an isolated, one time event to an ongoing, continual process, which includes trials with potential assistive technology.
- A need for changes in support and follow through: from limited support and poor follow through to meaningful follow through involving all team members.

(WATI Assessment Package, 2004)

The changes advocated by the QIAT model suggest that assistive technology assessment is ongoing and should provide a process for continual support and monitoring as needs are indicated. In this model, this type of on-going maintenance would indicate the need to assign a team or individual with the responsibility of conducting or coordinating assistive technology assessment and to be available for follow-up upon request. There is also a need for staff development for teachers and support staff. The quality indicators also indicate the need to consider a student-centered approach in which the student's feelings about assistive technology are supported by his/her family, peers, and teachers.

THE ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY TEAM

Assistive technology assessments should be conducted by a multidisciplinary team comprised of people who collectively have knowledge about the abilities and needs of the student, the demands of the customary environments, the educational objectives, and assistive technology devices and services. At least one member of the team should have knowledge of the assistive technology needed in the student's deficit area. Various team members bring different information and strengths to the assessment process. The members of an assistive technology team for a student are usually determined by the educational needs of that student and may vary depending on the components of assessment required for a student. An assistive technology assessment should actively involve the student's parents, and when appropriate, the student. The following team members represent a list of individuals who may be involved in the assessment process:

- A person knowledgeable about assistive technology devices and services (such as an assistive technology specialist)
- A person knowledgeable in the area of language, usually a speech/language pathologist.
- A person knowledgeable in the area of motor, often an occupational or physical therapist.
- A person who can commit the district's resources, not only for purchase of devices, but also to authorize staff training and guarantee implementation in various educational settings, usually an administrator.

Input may be obtained from the following:

- Audiologist
- Regular education teacher
- Student
- Special education teacher
- Early intervention specialist
- Paraprofessional
- Nurse
- Vocational counselor

(WATI Assessment Package, 2004)

The above is not an exhaustive list and should be customized for each student. Any staff member who is a part of the decision-making process or is involved in the implementation of the assistive technology recommendations may be included in the assessment process. The team may be selected based on a student observation conducted by the team leader or the assistive technology professional assigned by the school district (WATI Assessment Package, 2004).

ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT TIMELINES

In using a team approach, it may be necessary to coordinate different schedules, identify responsibilities and identify timelines. The *Timeline Guide* provided in the Appendix may be used to help teams coordinate the assessment.

ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT COMPONENTS

The assistive technology assessment includes the following components which are described below:

- Pre-Assessment Activities
- Obtaining Background Information
- Conducting Assistive Technology Assessment
- Recommendations
- Report Writing
- Follow-up

PRE-ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES

An assistive technology assessment is typically requested as the result of screening, consideration, or referral.

Review of Assistive Technology Screening

The assistive technology screening is used for initial evaluations. It may be used at any other time that the educational team determines that the student may require assistive technology to benefit from the educational program. A screening is conducted to specifically identify those areas where the student demonstrates difficulty and possible areas for intervention (See page 18).

Review of Consideration Information

The consideration process documents IEP team decisions regarding the assistive technology needs in a student's program. Throughout this process, the team analyzes information about the tasks the student needs to complete and accommodations already in place to determine if the student requires assistive technology. If the team determines that more information is needed in order to make a determination, the team should conduct an assistive technology screening or refer for assessment (See page 15).

Review of Referral

The referral is a formal request for an assistive technology assessment that includes information identifying the student, the area(s) where the student is having difficulty, and the concern or question that the assessment team should consider for the assistive technology assessment (See page 20).

OBTAINING BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The process described in this assessment component applies many of the strategies, tools and checklists outlined in the Wisconsin Assistive Technology Initiative (WATI) and the *Student, Environment, Tasks, Tools (SETT)* framework developed by Joy Zabala (1994). The materials provided through the WATI are available for free at http://www.wati.org. The *SETT* framework simplifies the task of making assistive technology decisions by providing a tool for organization of the information gathered and questions to lead the decision-making process. The following sections briefly outline the components of the *SETT*.

Gathering Information about the Student

When gathering information about the student, the following questions need to be answered:

- What are the student's special needs and current abilities?
- What does the student need to do?
- What assistive technology is in current use and has been used in the past?
- What was the outcome?

Gathering Information about the Environment

Effective, appropriate decisions about assistive technology can only be made when teams are well informed about the student's environments (Zabala, 1994). Best practices in assessment procedures strongly encourage observing the student in several environments with a specific focus on describing the environment and the activities/tasks in which the student and his/her peers are engaged.

Information gathered from all customary environments, including the classroom, lunchroom, playground, assemblies, home, and any relevant community sites such as shopping malls, restaurants, and church may be considered as part of the assessment process.

Information to be gathered can be guided by specific questions such as these:

- What equipment and materials are available in each environment?
- Who are the primary people interacting with the student?
- How is instruction or direction delivered?
- What modifications are typically made in various environments?
- What is the student's position and location in the classroom?
- Where are the things the student needs to see, such as the chalkboard, overhead, etc.?
- What is the lighting and sound like in the setting?
- How are transitions accomplished? Are there concerns?

Observation of the Student

Information gathering with regard to assistive technology may start with an observation process. The purpose of the observation is to prepare for the assistive technology assessment by determining the components and equipment that will be necessary to conduct assessment. The assessment team will need to select an appropriate time and place for the observation.

To select a time and place it might be necessary to do the following:

- Review the student's IEP for specifics about the student's program and assistive technology use.
- Talk to the teacher to schedule a time and place when the student uses assistive technology the most. If the student uses the assistive technology throughout the entire day, observe in the setting where he/she spends the most amount of his/her time receiving instruction.
- If no assistive technology is being used with the student, schedule a time when the greatest number of concerns could be resolved using assistive technology.
- Determine a meeting place and time using input from teacher(s), therapists, and assistants.
- Take into consideration what will happen in the class that day (e.g. special events).

Although the observation is unstructured, it may be helpful to use an observation checklist for gathering information and record keeping. (See Figure 5 in the Appendix for a sample checklist.) Once the observation is completed, the team should review the checklist to determine whether any additional information needs to be obtained to clarify events observed. The team should maintain checklist notes or write a brief summary detailing the observation to be used for reference during the actual assessment.

Framing the Assessment Question

Assessment requires a focus to analyze all of the information gathered. Without focus, the task would be overwhelming and chaotic. To direct the assessment the team should answer the questions about why an assistive technology assessment is needed and pinpoint the specific area on which to concentrate their examination. The following assessment questions can be used to organize all of the information gathered during the pre-assessment activities:

- What task(s) does the student need to perform that is currently difficult or impossible and for which assistive technology may be an option?
- What previous interventions or recommendations (including accommodations, modifications, or technology) have already been provided?
- What areas need to be examined?

CONDUCTING ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

The information gathered through the pre-assessment activities and background information described previously will guide assessment by identifying the specific areas that need to be examined. A recommended strategy for conducting the actual assessment is to utilize a systematic assessment process that includes a series of questions or probes to target a student's skills, strengths and weaknesses. The probes provided in Figure 6 of the Appendix were adapted from the WATI Assistive Technology Assessment Packet and provide a structured format for identifying detailed information about each of the following areas:

- Fine Motor Related to Computer (or Device) Access
- Motor Aspects of Writing
- Composing Written Material
- Communication
- Reading
- Learning and Studying
- Math
- Recreation and Leisure
- Seating and Positioning
- Mobility
- Vision
- Hearing

The assessment team may use these probes, develop their own, or use any additional resources that will help them make decisions about assistive technology. The process for assessment may take several site visits and observations. A trial period and data recording may be required to determine the most appropriate assistive technology. In addition to observation, the assessment team may gather information through methods such as photographs, interviews, video recording, and samples of the student's work.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Once the assessment team examines the assessment results, they should report their findings and provide recommendations. If assistive technology is recommended, the team may decide to use items that are already available in the district or research other resources for assistive technology. A list of possible assistive technology resources is provided in the Appendix, Figure 2. In many situations, a trial period may be necessary to determine if the recommended technology is appropriate for the student, environment, and task. In such cases, the team may need to acquire assistive technology through a loan or rental program. The assessment recommendations should include information describing the assistive technology solution and may include additional information such

as how to locate the technology, how to arrange for training, and where to identify possible resources for implementation strategies. The recommendations are included in the report of assessment results described in the next section.

REPORTING ASSESSMENT RESULTS

When the assistive technology assessment is part of an initial or review pupil appraisal evaluation, it should be an integrated component of that report. The assistive technology report should follow any district procedures or guidelines for formatting and submission of an assessment or evaluation. Information gathered through the assessment should be written in a summary report and submitted to the IEP team. The assistive technology assessment report should address whether the student needs assistive technology and how assistive technology will benefit the student's educational program. When an assistive technology assessment has been conducted outside of the initial or review pupil appraisal evaluation, a copy of that report shall be attached to all copies of the current evaluation, a copy shall be sent to pupil appraisal, and a copy shall be sent to the parent. A sample report template is provided in the Appendix, Figure 7.

FOLLOW-UP

Follow-up will vary depending on individual district procedures and who completed the assessment. Whether the assessment is completed by district personnel or an outside assessment consultant, a follow-up process should be identified to maintain communication with the IEP team and identify any issues of concern, progress, or required changes. This follow-up may be addressed through a variety of communications such as use of a follow-up form, on-site visits, telephone consultations, online forums, data collection procedures, e-mail, video, or other formats. The follow-up should include information from the IEP team members, including the student (when possible), the parents, and any teachers or related service providers who work with the student's technology program.

PART IV: APPENDIX

Consideration Checklist Directions

The following directions are provided for using the *Consideration Checklist* in Figure on page 35. The *Consideration Checklist* is divided into a 3-part process described below.

Part I In Part I, the IEP team identifies instructional areas where a student may be experiencing difficulties or areas in which the student may already be using assistive technology. To assist IEP teams in identifying technology that may be considered for each instructional area, a consideration resource is attached to the checklist as an additional reference. (See Figure 2 on pages 36 and 37.)

Part I. Identify any area that is keeping the student from accomplishing IEP goals that reflect his/her abilities, or identify any area where the student is already using AT.		Was 1 or more area identified?	
□B. Computer Access □G. □C. Composing Written Material □H □D. Communication □I.	Learning/Studying Math Recreation Activities of Daily Living Mobility	K. Environmental Control L Positioning and Seating M Vision N. Hearing O. Other:	Yes - Go to Part II. No - Consideration is complete.

Based on the information listed in Part 1, the team answers the following question: Was one or more area identified?

If areas of need are identified, the team will select **YES** on the checklist and proceed to Part II. If no areas of need are identified, the team will select **NO** on the checklist to indicate that the student does not need assistive technology and that the consideration process is complete.

Part II should only be completed if the team has indicated a YES decision in Part I. In Part II, the IEP team lists the specific task(s) and environments for each area identified in Part I. They describe any current strategies, accommodations, or technology (including assistive technology) being used.

Part II. List the area(s) identified in Part I. Specify the task(s) the student is unable to do and the environment(s) where that task takes place.	Briefly list or describe any special strategies, accommodations or technology already being used.	Is the student able to complete tasks at his/her ability with any special strategies, accommodations or technology already being used?
		Yes - Current strategies are adequate.
		Consideration is complete.
		☐ Yes - The student's current use of AT is adequate. Consideration is complete. Document current
		use of AT on the IEP.
_		□ No - Go to Part III.

Based on the information listed in Part 2, the team answers the following question: Is the student able to complete tasks at his/her ability with the special strategies, accommodations or technology already being used?

The team selects **YES** on the checklist if current strategies are adequate and documents current assistive technology strategies on the IEP.

The team selects **NO** on the checklist if current strategies, accommodations, or technology already being used are not adequate and proceeds to Part III.

Part III Part III should only be completed if the team has indicated a **NO** decision in Part II. There are two general conclusions the IEP team can reach in Part III.

Part III. Select one of the following and proceed as described.	
AT is required. The IEP team knows the nature and extent of the AT devices/services needed and will address AT in the student's IEP.	
AT may be required. The IEP team determines that additional information is needed and will conduct additional AT screening by	_(date). Record this

Assistive technology <u>is required</u>. The IEP team knows the nature and extent of the assistive technology devices/services needed and will address AT in the student's IEP.

Assistive technology may be required. The IEP team determines that additional information is needed and documents a date when they will conduct additional assistive technology screening. The team records this information on the IEP.

Directions: Use this form to consider the need for assistive technology (AT). If a child requires AT, document AT needs on the IEP.

Part I. Identify any area that is keeping the student from accomplishing IEP goals that reflect his/her		Was 1 or more area identified?		
abilities, or identify any area where the student is already using AT.		was 1 of more area identified:		
A. Motor Aspects of Writing B. Computer Access G. Math	ng K. Environmental Control L Positioning and Seating	Yes - Go to Part II.		
C. Composing Written Material D. Communication H Recreation I. Activities of Dai		No – Consideration is complete.		
E. Reading J. Mobility	O. Other:			
Part II. List the area(s) identified in Part I. Specify the	Briefly list or describe any special	Is the student able to complete tasks at his/her		
task(s) the student is unable to do and the strategies, accommodations or technology		ability with any special strategies, accommodations		
environment(s) where that task takes place.	already being used.	or technology already being used?		
		Yes - Current strategies are adequate. Consideration is complete.		
		Yes - The student's current use of AT is adequate. Consideration is complete. Document current use of AT on the IEP.		
		☐ No - Go to Part III.		
Part III. Select one of the following and proceed as descri	ribed.	L		
AT is required. The IEP team knows the nature and extent of the AT devices/services needed and will address AT in the student's IEP.				
AT may be required. The IEP team determines that additional information is needed and will conduct additional AT screening by (date). Record this statement on the IEP.				
Comments:				
Form completed by:				

List of Possible Assistive Technology

Note: This list is provided to assist in the consideration of assistive technology for the development, review or revision of a student's educational program. It provides some tools and strategies that teams may want to investigate as possible technology solutions. This is not a complete list of assistive technology resources and strategies. The educational team should consider additional resources when making decisions for a student's needs.

A. Mot	or Aspects of Writing		Word cards, word book, or word		Device with speech synthesis for
	Pencil or pen with adaptive grip		wall		typing
	Adapted paper (e.g. raised lines,		Pocket dictionary or thesaurus		
	highlighted lines, and so on)		Electronic or talking electronic	E. Rea	ding
	Slantboard		dictionary, thesaurus, or spell		Changes in text size, spacing,
	Type writer		checker		color, or background color
	Portable word processor		Word processor with spelling		Use of pictures with text
	Computer		and grammar checker		Book adapted for page turning
	Other:		Talking word processor for		(e.g. page fluffers, 3-ring binder,
			multi-sensory typing		cardboard in page protector)
B. Con	puter Access		Multimedia software for		Talking electronic dictionary to
	Keyboard using accessibility		expression of ideas		pronounce challenging words
	options		(assignments)		Flatbed scanner with talking
	Keyguard		Concept mapping and outlining		word processor
	Arm support (e.g. ergonomic		software		Electronic books
	support)		Word processor with word		Text to speech software for Web
	Track ball, track pad, joystick		prediction to facilitate spelling		and electronic text
	with onscreen keyboard		and sentence construction		Concept mapping and outlining
	Alternate keyboard		Voice recognition software		software
	Mouth stick or head pointer with		Other:		Other:
	standard or alternate keyboard				
	Switch with Morse code	D. Con	nmunication	F. Lear	rning and Studying
	Switch with scanning		Communication board or book		Print or picture schedule
	Voice recognition software		with pictures, objects, letters, or		Low-tech aids to find and
	Word prediction software to		words		organize materials (i.e., index
	reduce keystrokes		Eye gaze board (Eye gaze		tabs, color coded folders, pocket
	Head mouse or head		communication)		notebooks/binders
	master/tracer with onscreen		Simple voice output device		Highlight text (e.g. markers,
	keyboard		Voice output device with levels		highlight tape, ruler)
	Other:		Voice output device with		Software for manipulation of
			dynamic display		objects or concept development.
C. Co	mposing Written Material		Voice output device with icon		
	-		sequencing		

G.

Н.

<u>List of Possible Assistive Technology</u>

	Software for organization of		Ergonomic arm support arm for		Other:
	ideas and studying		drawing or painting		
	Recorded material (books on		Drawing or graphic program on		tioning and Seating
	tape, taped lectures with number		computer		Nonslip surface on chair to
	coded index)		Recreational computer		prevent slipping
	Other:		games/electronic games		Bolster, rolled towel, or blocks
			Music software on		for feet
Mat	h		computer/adapted tape recorder,		Adapted or alternate chair, side
	Abacus or math line		etc.		lyer, stander
	Calculator, with or without print		Other:		Custom fitted wheel chair or
	out				insert
	Talking calculator	I. Activ	rities of Daily Living		Other:
	Calculator with large keys or		Adaptive eating devices (e.g.		
	large LCD print out		foam handle on utensil)	M. Visi	ion
	On-screen calculator		Adaptive drinking devices (e.g.		Eye glasses
	Software with templates for		cup with cut out rim)		Magnifier
	math computation (consider		Adaptive dressing equipment		Large print books
	adapted input methods)		(e.g. button hook, reader)		Screen magnifier (mounted over
	Tactile or voice output		Other:		screen)
	measuring devices (e.g. clock,				Screen color contrast
	ruler)	J. Mob	ility		Screen magnification software
	Electronic math/concept		Walker		CCTV (closed circuit television
	manipulatives		Grab rails		Screen reader
	Other:		Manual wheelchair		Braille keyboard and note taker
			Powered mobility toy		Braille translation software
Doo	reation		Powered wheelchair with	_	Other:
	Adapted toys and games (e.g.		joystick, head switch, or sip/puff		
_	toy with adaptive handle)		controls	N. Hea	ring
	Use of battery interrupter and		Other:		Hearing aid
		_		_	FM System
_	switch to operate a toy	K E	nvironmental Contral		Classroom amplification
	Adaptive sporting equipment		Light switch extension		Captioning
	(e.g. lighted or bell ball, Velcro	_	Use of electronic control unit and		Signaling device (e.g. vibrating
_	mitt)	_	switch to turn on electrical	_	pager)
	Universal cuff to hold crayons,		appliances (e.g. radio, fan,		TDD/TTY for phone access
_	markers, or paint brush		blender, and so on)		Screen flash for alert signals on
	Modified utensils (e.g. rollers,	П	Radio or ultrasound remote	u	
	stampers, scissors)		Radio of ultrasound femole		computer

controlled appliances

□ Other:

Figure 3 Louisiana Assistive Technology Screening Checklist for Use in Educational Programming

Adapted to table format from the Louisiana Pupil Appraisal Handbook, Bulletin 1508

Student's Name:	Date of Birth:	Screening Date:
Person Completing Form:	School:	District:

The Assistive Technology Screening Checklist documents physical, fine/gross motor, communication, sensory, academic, recreation and leisure, vocational, and self-help areas in which assistive technology may be considered to enable a student with a disability to access the general education curriculum. It serves as an organizer for considering those skills and activities in which assistive technology would benefit a student's functioning in an academic setting.

Directions: Check *yes* or *no* for the following statements.

Physical Functioning/Motor Abilities

Task	Yes	No	Comment
1. The student can sit upright while completing tasks at his/her desk (i.e., not slouched, can hold head upright).			
2. The student maintains an appropriate posture while seated and actively engaged in a motor task (i.e., keyboarding, cutting).			
3. The student participates in playing and running activities without atypical postures.			
4. The student sits on the floor without assuming asymmetrical postures.			
5. The student has the motor skills necessary to get to/from school and/or get around within the school.			
6. The student participates in physical activities (structured or independent) and navigates within the classroom without tripping and stumbling.			
7. The student climbs and descends stairs independently.			

Yes	No	Comment
	Yes	Yes No

Communication Functioning

Ta	sk	Yes	No	Comment
1.	The student speaks to communicate. (Check the level			
	of the communication development.)			
	a. Fluent Conversation			
	b. Multiword Phrases			
	c. Single Word Utterances			
	d. Vocalizations			
	e. Other			
2.	The student uses a mode other than speech to			
	communicate. (Check the communication mode.)			
	f. Modes(s) used			
	g. Fluent Conversation			
	h. Multiword Phrases			
	i. Single Word Utterances			
	j. Uocalizations			
	k. Other			
3.	The student responds to speech and noises in the			
	environment.			
4.	The student's mode of communication is understood			
	by others.			
Comments:				

Vision/Hearing

Task	Yes	No	Comment
1. The student is able to see printed materials presented in the classroom.			
2. The student is able to see toys/objects in the classroom environment.			

3. The student is able to transfer information from a book, chart, and/or chalkboard to paper.		
4. The student has some usable vision.		
5. The student has some usable hearing.		
6. The student is able to hear speech/noise out of his/her field of vision.		
7. The student responds best to speech when the stimulus is within six feet of the speaker.		
8. The student speaks in an unusually loud voice.		
Comments:		

Academic Functioning

Task	Yes	No	Comment
1. The student understands basic cause/effect.			
2. The student makes choices.			
3. The student has the age-appropriate attention span needed to handle school/daily living tasks.			
4. The student has sequencing skills.			
5. The student can remember the steps necessary to accomplish a task.			
6. The student visually tracks along a line of print.			
7. The student reads text independently.			
8. The student writes legibly.			
9. The student writes legibly at a reasonable rate.			
10. The student accomplishes written tasks (e.g., paragraphs, essays, short answers).			
11. The student correctly spells words needed to communicate in written form.			
12. The student performs mathematical tasks needed for school and/or for daily living.			

13. The student takes notes at the level needed in school								
and/or in daily living. Comments:								
Comments.								
D (* 17.								
Recreation and Leisure	T	1	T a					
Task	Yes	No	Comment					
The student uses the playground equipment independently.								
2. The student participates in group recreational activities, such as sports and group games.								
3. The student participates in activities requiring fine motor skills, such as board games or art.								
4. The student participates in extra-curricular activities, such as clubs.								
Comments:		1						
Vocational Functioning								
Task	Yes	No	Comment					
1. The student demonstrates sufficient stamina to work in a job.								
2. The student maintains a position for extended periods of time.								
3. The student uses a computer without modifications.								
4. The student holds the telephone and dials independently.								
5. The student independently uses equipment at a vocational training program.								

Comments:

General Health

Task	Yes	No	Comment
1. The student breathes without difficulty.			
2. The student demonstrates sufficient stamina to			
maintain academic involvement throughout the school			
day.			
3. The student independently uses stairs, elevators,			
lockers, etc. within the school/work/community			
environment.			
4. The student's health condition is adequate for			
satisfactory school performance.			
5. The student demonstrates physical strength needed to			
participate in school activities.			
Comments:			

Self-Help

Task	Yes	No	Comment		
1. The student independently uses a variety of clothing					
fasteners.					
2. The student organizes and maintains his/her school					
supplies and materials.					
3. The student independently files through a lunch line,					
selects meal items, and proceeds to a table.					
4. The student maintains personal hygiene.					
5. The student uses restrooms independently.					
6. The student manages meal-time utensils adequately.					
Comments:					

Possible Assistive Technology Accommodations Needed for LEAP Testing.

Test accommodations are provided to minimize the effects of a student's disability to ensure that a student can demonstrate the degree of achievement he or she actually possesses. Test accommodations should not be different from or in addition to the accommodations provided in the classroom during instruction and assessment as indicated on the student's IEP. The goal in using accommodations is to give students with disabilities an equal opportunity in assessment, not to give students with disabilities an unfair advantage over other students or to subvert or invalidate the purpose of the tests. (LA Pupil Appraisal Handbook)

	`	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Task	Yes	No	Comment
The student requires assistive technology to follow test directions.			
2. The student requires assistive technology to respond to test questions.			
3. The student requires assistive technology to access the test booklet and answer document.			
Comments:			

Summary of Results of Louisiana Assistive Technology Screening Checklist for Use in Educational Programming: Examine areas on the screening where student has received no responses. Review and determine if a referral for further assessment is necessary.

Recommendations: (Check the one statement that applies.)

- ___ 1. Student has been considered for assistive technology and further action is not required at this time.
- ____ 2. Student has been considered for assistive technology and additional screening in the following areas is recommended:
- ____ 3. Student has been considered for assistive technology and the following "low-tech" solutions are recommended:
- __ 4. Student has been screened for assistive technology and a referral for a full assistive technology assessment is recommended.

Action Taken:

Assistive Technology Referral Form

Student Name:	School:		
Date of Birth:	Age:	_ Sex:	Grade:
Parent/Guardian:	Home Pho	ne:	
Address:	Work Phor	ne:	
	Emai	l Address:	
Exceptionality:	Related Se	rvices:	
Teacher:	Paraprofes	sional:	
Minutes per week in Regular Ed.	Min	utes per week	in Sp. Ed. classes
Referred by:	Phone:		
2. What is the student's current level of per	formance on t	his task?	
,			
3. How is the student's disability affecting by	his/her perfori	nance?	
4. In which environment(s) does this task n	eed to be done	e?	

5. Are there environmental concerns or other	issues of concern?
6. Are there specific tools or strategies that so considered?	omeone on the team thinks should be
List all assistive technology currently used.	Law took whiting side
	Low-tech writing aids Amplification system
	Mobility/positioning aid
	Computer-type/platform
	Voice recognition
Augmentative communication system-	-
Adaptive input - Describe:	
Adaptive output - Describe:	
Other	
Date screening tool completed:	_
Signatures of persons/positions completing in	formation.
 Name	/
 Name	/
1 MILLIC	11110

Referral Background Information

Student:		Date:
School:		
I. Educational Information	on	
A. Primary Disability		
Orthopedically Impair Mildly Intellectually I Moderately Intellectual Severely Intellectually Profoundly Intellectual Speech-Language Imp Learning Disabled Autistic	Disabled ally Disabled Disabled lly Disabled	Deaf Vision Impaired Blind Other Health Impaired Severely Emotionally Disturbed
B. Secondary Disability		
Orthopedically Impair Mildly Intellectually E Moderately Intellectual Severely Intellectually Profoundly Intellectual Speech-Language Imp Learning Disabled Autistic	Disabled ally Disabled Disabled lly Disabled	Vision Impaired Blind
C. Time in Regular Education	tion Class	
Is this student served in a regular If yes, specify time, location, and		
Approximate Amount of Time/Day	Location	Support Provided
II. Medical Diagnosis		
Cerebral palsy Down's syndrome Other syndrome (Spec	ify)	Closed head injury Neurological disease (Specify)

III. Current Status

A. Hearing
Date of most recent formal auditory testing:
Results:
Based on formal and informal measures, student exhibits:
No hearing loss
Mild hearing loss (_left earright earboth)
Moderate hearing loss (left earright earboth)
Severe hearing loss (left earright earboth)
Deaf
Does student's hearing effect his/her ability to use recorded information or synthesized speech?
Hearing concerns:
B. Cognitive and Academic Status
Date of most recent psychological assessment: Type
Results
ResultsSpecify
Reading level
non-readerpre-primer1st-3rd3rd-5th5th-7th7th-12th
Spelling level
non-readerpre-primer1st-3rd3rd-5th5th-7th7th-12th
Math level
pre-K1st-3rd3rd-5th5th-7th7th-12th
Written communication
Student copies/braille letters and numbers
Student copies/braille name
Student writes/braille letters and numbers
G. 1
Student writes/barilles name Student writes legibly
Student writes legisty Student composes words
•
Student composes phrases
Student composes sentences
Student composes paragraphs/stories
C. Behavior
Student demonstrates aggressive behavior
Student demonstrates aggressive behavior Student demonstrates stereotypic (self-stimulatory) behavior
Student demonstrates servergive (sen-simulatory) behavior
•
Student demonstrates other inappropriate behavior Describe
Student demonstrates inappropriate behaviors that have communicative intent Describe:
D. Communication
Deced on the results of the formal and informal testing the student sublities
Based on the results of the formal and informal testing, the student exhibits:
No communication impairment
Mild communication impairment
Moderate communication impairment
Severe-profound communication impairment

Briefly describe any communication concerns:
E. Computer Access/Use
Student does not currently utilize a computer Student utilizes a computer for the following purposes: educational leisure communication vocational
F. Motor
Complete with input from Occupational and/or Physical Therapist if appropriate.
Date and results of formal motor assessment
Based on the results of formal and informal measures, student exhibits:
No motor impairment
Suspected motor impairment Motor impairment
wotor imparment
Briefly describe any motor concerns:
G. Vision (Please complete with input from vision specialist if appropriate.) Date of most recent eye exam Visual status: Right/OD
Left/OS
Field Loss (Please describe in detail) Optimal placement of stimuli
Age/Date of onset
Describe any deficiencies in color vision
Date of most recent Low Vision Exam
Was Low Vision Aid prescribed? Specify
Vision Concerns
acuity tracking visual field nystagmus scanning strabismus
nystagmus scanning strabismus Date of most recent Learning Media Assessment Results
How does student access printed information?

Referral-Parent Permission for Assistive Technology Assessment

Date:	
To the Parent/Guardian of	
From:	, Title
School:	
determine whether or not your child ma	stive technology assessment. This assessment is to be benefit from the use of assistive technology in is required to begin the assessment process. Your child's classroom teacher.
Check One:	
Yes, I give permission for my chil	ld to be assessed for assistive technology.
No, I refuse permission for my ch	ild to be assessed.
Parent/Guardian Signature	Date

Referral-Parent Permission to Photograph and/or Video Tape During an Assistive Technology Assessment

Date: _		
To the	Parent/Guardian of	
From:	, Title	
School	:	
assessn assistiv photogr	child has been referred for an assistive technology assignent is to determine whether or not your child may benefit the technology in his/her school setting. Your permission is raphs and/or videotape your child during the assessment pation is appreciated.	From the use of equired to take
Please	sign and return this form to your child's classroom teacher.	
Check	One:	
	Yes, I give permission for my child to be photographed and during an assistive technology assessment.	or videotaped
	No, I refuse permission for my child to be assessed.	
Parent	/Guardian Signature	Date

Figure 5 Assessment Packet, Timeline Guide, Form A

Timeline Guide

		Timeline Gui	ide	
School District/Agency Sc				
		·	Grade:	
Team Members: Tasks	Projected date	Date completed	Team member responsible	Comments
Gathering background information and observation of the child		•	•	
Scheduling meeting				
Completing different components of the assessment				
Decision making, prioritizing solutions and determination of an implementation plan				
Trial with the assistive technology				
Report writing				
Follow-up				
Adapted from the WATI Assess	sment Packet, http	://www.wati.org, 200	4	

Figure 5 Assessment Packet, Background Information, Form B Observation Checklist and Student Information

OBSERVATION CHECKLIST

STUDENT IDENTIFICATION INF	ORMATI	ON		
Student's Name:	School:		Date of Birth:	
Student's Age:	Grade:		Date of Report:	
School Contact Person:	Phone for	Contact:	Person Completing Form:	
Parent(s) Name:	Parent's Phone: Address:		Address:	
Student's Primary Language:	Family's Primary Language:		nary Language:	
GENERAL INFORMATION				
Are there any behaviors (both positive and negative) that significantly impact the student's performance? Describe:				
Are there significant factors regarding student's strengths, learning style, coping strategies or interest that the team should consider?				
Are there any other significant factors	regarding t	the student tha	t the team should consider?	
Does the student fatigue easily or experience a change in performance at different times of the day?				
CURRENT STATUS OF TECHNOLOGY				
List any technology (including computer hardware and/or software) currently used by the student at school and/or home:				
How often does this student make use	of the assis	stive technolog	gy that is available?	
What is the level of assistance that the	student ne	eds while usin	g the technology?	
Is the technology adequate for the educe Describe:	cational ob	jective?		
When is it used?				
How successful and independent is the	e student?			
What could be done to increase the student's effective use of appropriate assistive technology now in place?			opropriate assistive technology now in	

Figure 5 Assessment Packet, Background Information, Form B Observation Checklist and Student Information

PROVIDE INFORMATION ABOUT THE CO	Form B Observation Checklist and Student Information
Typical school platform:	OMPUTERS AVAILABLE FOR USE:
<u> </u>	Jant was 9
What type of computers are now available for stu Where are the computers?	ident use?
What type of computers could be made available	for student use?
Where could they be located?	for student use?
·	e does the student have access to these computers?
Thow often, for now long, and for what type of use	e does the student have access to these computers:
OBSERVATION CHECKLIST - ENVIRONM	
This component of the observation checklist m	
It may be copied to include information about	
Observer:	Date of Observation:
Describe the environment: Record short respo	onses in the space provided.
Special or general education classroom	-
Specialty classroom (Specify: e.g., P.E., compute	er lab)
Therapy room	
Number of teachers in the classroom	Number of paraprofessionals in the classroom
Number of volunteers in the classroom	Number of students in the classroom
Number of days per week in the program	Number of hours per day in this program
Is the atmosphere busy or quiet?	Are there large open areas or small divided sections?
How are the desks arranged?	Is the furniture sized/accessible for the student?
Are materials accessible, appropriate, varied, interesting, and developmentally appropriate?	Is special equipment available? Identify.
Where is the classroom located in relationship to	the cafeteria, therapy, outdoor play areas, etc.?
Describe the location of the bathroom (e.g., inside	e the classroom, down the same hall)?
Adapted from the WATI Assessment Package, http://www.	.wati.org, 2004

ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT AREAS

Fine Motor Related to Computer (or Device) Access

Current Fine Motor Abilitie	A hilitie	Δl	Intor	Fine N	Current
-----------------------------	------------------	----	-------	--------	---------

Current Fine Motor Abilities
Observe the student using paper and pencil, typewriter, computer, switch, etc. Look a the movements as well as the activities and situations. Does the student have voluntary isolated, controlled movements using the following? (Check all that apply.)
Left handRight handEye(s)Left armRight armHeadLeft legRight legMouthLeft footRight footTongueFinger(s)EyebrowsOther Describe briefly the activities/situations observed.
Describe offerty the detrifices, staditions observed.
Range of Motion
Student has specific limitations to rangeYesNo
Describe the specific range in which the student has the most motor control.
Abnormal Reflexes and Muscle Tone
Student has abnormal reflexes or abnormal muscle toneYesNo
Describe briefly any abnormal reflex patterns or patterns of low or high muscle tone that may interfere with the student's voluntary motor control.
Accuracy
Student has difficulty with accuracyYesNo
Describe how accurate, reliable, and consistent the student is in performing a particular fine motor task.
Fatigue
Student fatigues easilyYesNo

Describe how easily the student becomes fatigued.

Assisted Direct Selection

What type of assistance	for direct selection	on has been tried? (Ch	neck all that apply.)
Keyguard Pointers, hand grips Other:	, splints	Head pointer/head Light beam/laser	l stick
Describe which seemed	l to work the best a	and why.	
Size of Grid			
What is the smallest sq	uare the student ca	an accurately access?	
1"square	2"square	3"square	4"square
What is the optimal siz		are: Squares: Across	Down
Scanning			
If student cannot direct _Yes	select, does the st No	udent use scanning?	
If yes, what kind of sca	nning: _step	_automaticin	verseother:
Preferred control site (l	oody site):		
Other possible control	sites:		
Type of Switch			
The following switches that seemed to work the		Check all that apply.	Circle the one or two
Touch (jellybean) Joystick Arm slot Tread	Light touch Lever Eye brow Other:	Wobble Head switch Tongue	Rocker Mercury (tilt) Sip/puff

Summary of Student's Abilities and Concerns Related to Computer/Device Access

Motor Aspects of Writing

Current Writing Ability (Check	all that apply.)
Holds pencil, but does not writeScribbles with a few recognizUses pencil adapted with Copies from book (near pointPrints a few wordsPrints nameWrites cursiveWriting is limited due to fatigWriting is slow and arduous Assistive Technology Used (Chemology Used	
Paper with heavier linesSpecial pencil or markerComputer Current Keyboarding Ability (Paper with raised linesPencil gripSplint or pencil holderTypewriterOther:
Does not currently typeTypes slowly, with one fingerAccidentally hits unwanted keRequires arm or wrist supportUses mini keyboard to reduceUses Touch ScreenUses access softwareUses adapted or alternate keylOther:	Activates desired key on commandTypes slowly, with more than one finger eysPerforms 10 finger typing to typeAccesses keyboard with head or mouth stick fatigueUses switch to access computerUses alternative keyboardUses Morse code to access computer
Computer Use (Check all that ap	oply.)
	Uses computer at schoolUses computer for word processing f purposes, such as but has not used a computer because
Computer Availability and Use	(Check all that apply.)
The student has access to the following	owing computer(s)
PC Macintosh	Other

Desktop	Laptop	
Location:		
The student uses	s a computer	
Rarely		Frequently
Daily for one o	r more subjects or periods	Every day, all day

Summary of Student's Abilities and Concerns Related to Writing

Composing Written Material

Typical of Student's F	resent Writing (Che	ck all that apply.)
Short words	Sentences	Multi-paragraph reports
Short phrases	Paragraphs of 2-5	sentencesOther
Complex phrases	Longer paragraphs	3
Difficulties Currently	Experienced by Stud	ent (Check all that apply.)
Answering question	ons	Generating ideas
Getting started on	a sentence or story	Summarizing information
Adding informatio	_	Planning content
Sequencing inform	nation	Using a variety of vocabulary
Integrating inform sources	ation from two or more	Working w/peers to generate ideas and information
Relating information	on to specific topics	Determining when to begin a new paragraph
Strategies for Compos that apply.)	sing Written Materia	ls Student Currently Utilizes (Check all
Story starters		Webbing/concept mapping
Preset choices or p	lot twists	Outlines
Templates to proving paper and electron	de the format or structuralic)	re (bothOther
Aids/Assistive Techno (Check all that apply.)	logy for Composing	Written Materials Utilized by Student
Word cards	_Word book	Word wall/word lists
Prewritten words of	on cards or labels	
Dictionary or	_Electronic dictionary/sp	pell checker
Whole words using	g software or hardware (e.g. IntelliKeys)
Symbol-based soft	ware for writing (e.g. W	riting with Symbols 2000 or Pix Writer)
Word processing v	vith spell checker/gramn	nar checker
Talking word proc	essing	
Abbreviation/expa	ansion	
Word processing v	vith writing support	
Multimedia softwa	re	
Voice recognition	software	
Other		

Summary of Student's Abilities and Concerns Related to Computer/Device Access

Communication

Student's Present Means primary method the student		(Check all that are u	sed. Circle the	ne
Changes in breathing patterns	Body po	sition changes	Eye-gaze	/eye movement
Facial expressions	Gestures		Pointing	
Sign language	# signs: # signs in a	# combinations _ a combination:		
Vocalizations, list ex Vowels, vowel comb Single words, list exa Reliable noRown Semi-intelligible spe Communication boar Tangible Words: Voice output AC dev Intelligible speech Writing Other:	pinations, list example amples & approx. # eliable yes 2-we ech, estimate % intelled es Pictures vice (name of device):	ord utterances3- igibleCombination pic	ctures/words	
	Most of the time	Part of the time	Rarely	Not Applicable
Strangers				_
Teachers/therapists				
Peers				_
Siblings				
Parent/Guardian	_	_		_
Current Level of Recept	ive Language Age A	pproximation		
If formal testing is used	d, give name and score	es.		
If formal testing is not functioning. Explain yo			nental level o	of

Current Level of Expressive Language Age approximation:

If formal testing is used, give and scores.

If formal testing is not used, give an approximate age or developmental level of functioning. Explain your rationale for this estimate.

Communication	Interaction Skills		
Desires to con	nmunicateYes No		
To indicate ye	s and no, the student:		
Shakes head	SignsVocalizes	Gestures	Eye gazes
Points to board	Uses word approximations	Does not r	espond consistently
Can a person u	unfamiliar with the student under	stand the respo	nse? Yes No

How often does the student do the following:

	Always	Frequently	Occasionally	Seldom	Never
Turns toward speaker					
Interacts with peers					
Aware of listener's attention					
Initiates interaction					
Asks questions					
Responds to communication					
interaction					
Requests clarification from					
communication partner					
Repairs communication					
breakdown					
Requires frequent verbal prompts					
Requires frequent physical					
prompts					
Maintains communication					
exchange					
Terminates communication					

Describe techniques student uses for repair (e.g. keeps trying, changes message, points to first letter, etc.)

Student's No	eeds Re	lated to Devices/S	Systems (Check all	that apply.)	
	or throw	s things frequent		evice under 2 pound sitized (human) spee ses	
Pre-Reading	g and Ro	eading Skills Rel	ated to Communic	cation (Check all th	at apply.)
_Yes	_No	Object/pictu	re recognition		
Yes	No	Symbol reco	ognition (tactile, PC	S, Rebus, etc.)	
Yes			scrimination of sour		
Yes		•	scrimination of wor	ds, phrases	
Yes			itial letter of word		
Yes		_	imple directions		
_Yes		•	_		• •
_Yes	No	Putting two	symbols or words t	ogether to express a	n idea
_Mainta _Scans l _Visuall _Visuall	ins fixa line of s ly recog ly recog	tion on stationary ymbols left to right nizes people nizes photographs	htScans Visual sVisual	to right and left with matrix of symbols in ly recognizes commonly ly recognizes symbols	n a grid non objects ols or pictures
	Needs additional space around symbolVisually shifts horizontally				
Visually shifts verticallyRecognizes line drawings					
Symbols Unc	derstoo	d by the Student	:		
Referen	nt	Object Specify Type	Color Photo	Line Drawing Size:	Printed Word Size:
Student coul	ld ident	ify symbols by u	sing the following:	(Check all that app	olv.)
				(1)	
Function Size	nLab Col		nAssociation gory		
Student Yes	could so	equence symbols	to generate phrases/	/sentences	
		could sequence u	p to symbols	.	

Does student seem to do better with black on white, white on black, or a specific color combination for figure/ground discrimination?

Explain anything else you think is significant about the responses the student currently uses or his/her need for augmenting communication. (Use an additional page if necessary.)

Summary of Student's Abilities and Concerns Related to Communication

Reading

The Student Demonstrates the Following Literacy Skills. (Check all that apply.)

Engages in joint attention with adult care games and/or toys)Shows an interest in books and stories wiShows and interest in looking at books inAssociates pictures with spoken words wRealizes text conveys meaning when beinRecognizes connection between spoken wPretend writes and "reads" what he or shoWhen asked to spell a word, gets first conDemonstrates sound manipulation skills inReads initial and final sounds in wordsReads initial letter names/soundsRecognizes, names, and prints the alphab	ith adult adependently when being read to any many many many many many many many
alternative means rather than printing to When asked to spell a word, gets first andApplies phonics rules when attempting toSound blends wordsReads and understands words in context	demonstrate knowledge of the alphabet) d last sounds correct
Composes sentences using nouns and ver Reads fluently with expression Reads and understands paragraphs Composes meaningful paragraphs using of	correct syntax and punctuation
Student's Performance Is Improved by the	Following: (Check all that apply.)
Smaller amount of text on pageWord wall to refer toGraphics to communicate ideasBold type for main ideasAdditional timeSpoken text to accompany printOther	Enlarged printPre-teaching conceptsText rewritten at lower reading levelReduced length of assignmentColor overlay (List color:)Being placed where there are few distractions

Reading Assistance Used

Describe the non-technology-based strategies and accommodations that have been used with this student.

Assistive Technology Used
The following have been tried: (Check all that apply.)
Highlighter, marker, template, or other self-help aid in visual trackingColored overlay to change contrast between text and backgroundTape recorder, taped text, or talking books to "read along" with textTalking dictionary or talking spell checker to pronounce single wordsHandheld scanner to pronounce difficult words or phrasesComputer with text-to-speech software to do the following:Speak singleSpeak sentencesSpeak paragraphsSpeak entire words
words document
Explain what seemed to work about any of the above assistive technology that has been tried.
Approximate Age or Grade Level of Reading Skills
Cognitive Ability in General
Significantly below averageBelow averageAverageAbove average
Difficulty
Student has difficulty decoding the following: (Check all that apply.) _WorksheetsReading TextbookSubject Area TextbooksTests
Student has difficulty comprehending the following: (Check all that apply.) _WorksheetsReading TextbookSubject Area TextbooksTests
Computer Availability and Use The student has access to the following computer(s): PCMacintosh
Frequency of Computer Use The student uses a computer: RarelyFrequentlyDaily for one or more subjects or periodsDaily, most of the day

Summary of Student's Abilities and Concerns Related to Reading

Learning and Studying

Difficulties Student Has Learning New Material or Studying (Check all that apply.)

Remembering assignments
Organizing information/notes
Remembering steps of tasks or assignments
Organizing materials for a report or paper
Finding place in textbooks
Turning in assignments
Taking notes during lectures
Reviewing notes from lectures
_Other:
ssistive Technology Tried (Check all that apply.)
Print or picture schedule
Low-tech aids to find materials (e.g. index tabs, color coded folders)
Highlighting text (e.g. markers, highlight tape, ruler)
Recorded material
Voice output reminders for assignments, steps of task, etc.
Electronic organizers
Pagers/electronic reminders
Handheld scanner to read words or phrases
• •
Handheld computers
Other:
Software for manipulation of objects/concept development Software for organization of ideas and studying

Strategies Used

Please describe any adaptations or strategies that have been used to help this student with learning and studying.

Summary of Student's Abilities and Concerns in the Area of Learning and Studying

Math

Difficulties Student Has with Math (Check all that apply.)

 _Legibly writing numerals _Understanding math related language _Understanding meaning of numbers _Understanding place values _Understanding money concepts _Completing simple addition and subtract _Completing multiplication and division _Completing complex addition and subtract _Understanding units of measurement _Understanding tables and graphs _Creating graphs and tables _Understanding time concepts _Understanding fractions _Working with fractions _Converting to mixed numbers _Understanding decimals/percents _Solving story problems _Understanding geometry _Graphing _Understanding the use of formulas _Understanding and use of trigonometry _Checking work _Other 	raction
Assistive Technology Tried	
AbacusMath lineEnlarged math worksheetsLow-tech alternatives for answeringRecorded materialVoice output reminders for assignments, steps of task, etcSingle-word scannersSoftware for manipulation of objects/concept developmentSoftware for organization of ideas and studying	Talking calculatorBraille calculatorAlternative keyboards (e.g. IntelliKeys)Math "Smart Chart"Tactile math devices (ruler, clock, etc.)Electronic organizersPagers/electronic remindersOn screen scanning calculatorTalking or Braille watchPalm computersOther:

CI.		TT 1
Stra	tegies	Used

Describe any math strategies that have been used with this student.

Were they successful? Describe.

Summary of Student's Abilities and Concerns in the Area of Math

Recreation and Leisure

 $\textbf{Difficulties Student Experiences Participating in Recreation and Leisure} \ (\textbf{Check all that apply.})$

Understanding cause and effect	
Following complex directions	
Understanding turn taking	
Communicating with others	
Handing/manipulating objects	
Hearing others	
Throwing/catching objects	
Seeing equipment or materials	
Understanding rules	
Operating TV, VCR, etc.	
Waiting for his/her turn	
Operating computer	
Following simple directions	
Other	
Activities Student Especially Enjoys	
Adaptations Used to Enhance Participation in Recreation and Leisure	
Adaptations Used to Enhance Participation in Recreation and Leisure	
How Did They help?	
How Did They help? Assistive Technology Tried (Check all that apply.)	
How Did They help? Assistive Technology Tried (Check all that apply.) _Toys adapted with Velcro®, magnets, handles etc.	
How Did They help? Assistive Technology Tried (Check all that apply.) Toys adapted with Velcro®, magnets, handles etc. Toys adapted for single switch operation	
How Did They help? Assistive Technology Tried (Check all that apply.) _Toys adapted with Velcro®, magnets, handles etc. _Toys adapted for single switch operation _Adaptive sporting equipment, such as lighted or beeping ball	
How Did They help? Assistive Technology Tried (Check all that apply.) _Toys adapted with Velcro®, magnets, handles etc. _Toys adapted for single switch operation _Adaptive sporting equipment, such as lighted or beeping ball _Universal cuff or strap to hold crayons, markers, etc.	
Toys adapted for single switch operationAdaptive sporting equipment, such as lighted or beeping ballUniversal cuff or strap to hold crayons, markers, etcModified utensils, such as rubber stamps, rollers, brushes	
How Did They help? Assistive Technology Tried (Check all that apply.) _Toys adapted with Velcro®, magnets, handles etc. _Toys adapted for single switch operation _Adaptive sporting equipment, such as lighted or beeping ball _Universal cuff or strap to hold crayons, markers, etc. _Modified utensils, such as rubber stamps, rollers, brushes _Ergo Rest or other arm support	
How Did They help? Assistive Technology Tried (Check all that apply.) _Toys adapted with Velcro®, magnets, handles etc. _Toys adapted for single switch operation _Adaptive sporting equipment, such as lighted or beeping ball _Universal cuff or strap to hold crayons, markers, etc. _Modified utensils, such as rubber stamps, rollers, brushes _Ergo Rest or other arm support _Electronic aids to control/operate TV, VCR, CD player, etc.	
How Did They help? Assistive Technology Tried (Check all that apply.) _Toys adapted with Velcro®, magnets, handles etc. _Toys adapted for single switch operation _Adaptive sporting equipment, such as lighted or beeping ball _Universal cuff or strap to hold crayons, markers, etc. _Modified utensils, such as rubber stamps, rollers, brushes _Ergo Rest or other arm support _Electronic aids to control/operate TV, VCR, CD player, etc. _Software to complete art activities	
How Did They help? Assistive Technology Tried (Check all that apply.) _Toys adapted with Velcro®, magnets, handles etc. _Toys adapted for single switch operation _Adaptive sporting equipment, such as lighted or beeping ball _Universal cuff or strap to hold crayons, markers, etc. _Modified utensils, such as rubber stamps, rollers, brushes _Ergo Rest or other arm support _Electronic aids to control/operate TV, VCR, CD player, etc.	

Summary of Student's Abilities and Concerns in the Area of Recreation and Leisure

Seating and Positioning

Current Seating and Positioning of Student (Check all that apply.)

Sits in regular chair w/ feet on floor
_Sits in regular chair w/ pelvic belt or foot rest
Sits in adapted chair
_Sits in seat with adaptive cushion that allows needed movement
Sits in wheelchair part of day
Sits comfortably in wheelchair most of day
Wheelchair in process of being adapted to fit
Spends part of day out of chair due to prescribed positions
Spends part of day out of chair due to discomfort
Enjoys many positions throughout the day, based on activity
Has few opportunities for other positions
Uses regular desk
Uses desk with height adjusted
Uses tray on wheelchair for desktop
Uses adapted table
Description of Seating (Check all that apply.)
Seating provides trunk stability
Seating allows feet to be on floor or foot rest
Seating facilitates readiness to perform task
There are questions or concerns about the student¹s seating
_Student dislikes some positions, often indicates discomfort in the following positions
How is the discomfort communicated?
Student has difficulty using table or desk
There are concerns or questions about current wheelchair.
Student has difficulty achieving and maintaining head control. Identify the best position for head control:
Can maintain head control for minutes in this position.

Summary of Student's Abilities and Concerns Related to Seating and Positioning

Mobility

Mobility (Check all that apply.)
Crawls, rolls, or creeps independentlyIs pushed in manual wheelchairUses wheelchair for long distances onlyUses manual wheelchair independentlyIs learning to use power wheelchairUses power wheelchairNeeds help to transfer in and out of wheelchairTransfers independentlyHas difficulty walkingWalks with assistanceHas difficulty walking up stairsHas difficulty walking down stairsNeeds extra time to reach destinationWalks independentlyWalks with applianceUses elevator key independently
Concerns about Mobility (Check all that apply.)
Student seems extremely tired after walking, requires a long time to recoverStudent seems to be having more difficulty than in the pastStudent complains about pain or discomfortChanges in schedule require more time for travelChanges in class location or building are making it more challenging to get aroundTransition to new school will require consideration of mobility needsOther:

Summary of Student's Abilities and Concerns Related to Mobility

Vision

A vision specialist should be consulted to complete this section.
Date of Last Vision Report: Other: Report indicates (please address any field loss, vision condition, etc.)
Visual Abilities (Check all that apply.)
Reads standard textbook printReads text if enlarged to (indicate size in inches):Requires specialized lighting such as
Requires materials tilted at a certain angle (indicate angle):
Currently uses the following screen enlargement device:
Recognizes letters enlarged topt. type on computer screennecognizes letters enlarged topt. type forminutes without eye fatigue
Prefers
Black text on white backgroundWhite text on black backgroundOther colors: text on backgroundTilts head when readingUses only one eye: Right eye Left eyeUses screen reader:Requires recorded material, text to speech, or braille materials
Alternative Output
Currently uses the following: (Check all that apply.)
Slate and stylusTalking calculatorBraille calculatorBraille notetakerElectric braillerRefreshable Braille displayTactile imagesScreen reader
Braille translation software:

Level of Proficiency (Check the one that most closely describes the student.)
 _Requires frequent physical prompts _Requires frequent verbal cues _Needs only intermittent cues _Uses device to complete tasks independently _Troubleshoots problems related to device
Writing/Handwritten Materials (Check all that apply.)
Writes using space correctly
Writes on line
Writes appropriate size
Reads own handwriting
Reads someone else's writing
Reads hand printing
Reads cursive
Skips letters when copying
Requires bold or raised-line paper
Requires softer lead pencils
Requires colored pencils, pens, or paper
Requires felt tip pen
Thin point
Thick point

Summary of Student's Abilities and Concerns Related to Vision

Hearing			
Was a hearing specialist consulted t	o complete th	is section? _	YesNo
Name:			
Audiological Information			
Date of last audiological exam:			
Hearing loss identified			
Right EarMildMod	derateSe	verePro	found
Left EarMildMod	derateSe	verePro	found
Onset of hearing loss etiology:			
Unaided Auditory Abilities (Chec	k all that app	ly.)	
Attends to soundsVoicesDiscriminates between environmeTurns toward soundHears some speech soundsUnderstands synthesized speech			al sounds
Student's Eye Contact and Attent	ion to Comn	nunication ((Check all that apply.)
PoorInconsistent _	_Limited _	_GoodE	Excellent
Communication Used by Others			
Indicate the form of communication environments. (Check all that apply.)	generally us	ed by others	in each of the following
	School	Home	Community
_Body language			_
Tangible symbols		_	_
Gestures			_
Speech			_
Cued speech			
Picture cues			
Written messages			
Signs and speech together		_	_
Signed English			_
Contact (Pidgin) sign language			_
American Sign Language (ASL)			_

Level of Receptive Proficiency in Each Environment

	School	Home	Community
Understands single words			
Understands short phrases			
Understands majority of			
communications			
Student Communicates with C	Others through tl	ne Followin	g: (Check all that apply.
Speech	_American Sign l	Language	_Body language
Signs and speech together	_Gestures		Written messages
Signs and speech togetherSigned EnglishOther:	_Picture cues		Written messagesContact (Pidgin) sign language
Level of Expressive Communic	cation:		
Single wordsCombinatio	n of wordsP	roficient	
Is There a Discrepancy Betwee	en Receptive and	Expressive	e Abilities?
YesNo			
If yes, describe further.			
Services Currently Used (Che	ck all that apply.)		
Audiology			
Note taker			
Educational interpreter	r using:ASL	Transliter	ratingPSEOral
Equipment Currently Used (C	Check all that app	ly.)	
Hearing aidsCochle	ar implant		Telecaption decoder
VibrotactileClassro	oom amplification	system	TTY/TDD
devices			
FM system			
_Other			
Present Concerns for Commun			
Cannot hear teacher/other stud		-	emergency alarm
Cannot participate in class			m educational
discussionsDisplays receptive and expres		s/programs	one to communicate
language delays	siveCaililo	i use tetepho	me to communicate

Current Communication Functioning (Check all that apply.) __Desires to communicate __Initiates interaction __Responds to communication requests __Reads lips __Appears frustrated with current communication functioning __Requests clarification from communication partners (e.g. "Would you please repeat that?") __Repairs communication breakdown (e.g. Keeps trying, changes message) Current Reading Level:

Summary of Hearing Abilities and Concerns

Figure 7

Report Template

Sample Assistive Technology Assessment Report		
Student Information:		
Student Name:	Grade:	
Date of Birth:	Age:	
School:	Teacher:	
Purpose of Evaluation: Background Information:		
Evaluation Plan:		
Evaluation Summary:		
Recommendations:		
Signature	Date	

REFERENCES

- AECT (Association for Educational Communications and Technology), [Available online March, 2005], http://www.aect.org/standards/knowledgebase.html.
- Bowser, G., Korsten, J., Reed, P., & Zabala, J. (1999). "Quality indicators for effective assistive technology services." TAM Connector, 11(5), 1-5.
- Denham, A. P., & Zabala, J. S. (1999). "Assistive Technology Consideration Guide for IEP Teams" [Adapted from Georgia AT Project (GPAT), Wisconsin AT Inititative (WATI), Kentucky AT Guidelines, and SETT Framework]. [Available online December, 2004], http://sweb.uky.edu/~jszaba0/ATConsiderationGuideADJZ.pdf
- East Baton Rouge School System. "Assistive Technology Assessment Forms."
- Georgia Project for Assistive Technology (2003). "Assistive Technology Resources: Assistive Technology Consideration, Assistive Technology Assessment, Assistive Technology Devices, Assistive Technology Implementation" [Available online 12-10-04], http://www.gpat.org.
- Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA),. [Available online December, 2004], http://www.IDEAPractices.org.
- Louisiana Department of Education (2000). Division of Special Populations. "Pupil Appraisal Handbook, Bulletin 1508, revised." [Available online December, 2004], http://www.doe.state.la.us/lde/specialpl/505.html.
- Louisiana Department of Education (2000). Division of Special Populations. "IEP Handbook for Students with Disabilities, revised." [Available online December, 2004], http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/specialp/505.
- Louisiana Department of Education (2003). Division of Special Populations.

 "Regulations for Implementation of the Children with Exceptionalitites Act (R.S. 17:1941 et seq.), Title 28 Part XLIII, Bulletin 1706, Subpart A Regulations for Students with Disabilities."
- Oregon Technology Access Program (OTAP). "OTAP Publications" [Available online 12-10-04], http://otap-oregon.org.
- Rose, D., & Meyer, A. (2002). Teaching Every Student In The Digital Age. [Available online December, 2004]. http://www.cast.org.
- Section 508. Assistive Technology Act of 1998. [Available online December, 2004] http://www.section508.gov/docs/AT1998.html#213.

- St. Charles Parish Public Schools. Special Education Department (1998). "Assistive Technology Procedures for Consideration and Screening."
- Wisconsin Assistive Technology Initiative (1998). [Available online December, 2004], http://www.wati.org.
- Wright, P., & Wright, P. (1999). "Wrightslaw: Special Education Law". Hartfiled, VA: Harbour House Law Press.
- Zabala, J. & Bowser, G. (2000). "Quality indicators for assistive technology services in school settings". <u>Journal of Special Education Technology Electronic-Journal</u>, <u>15</u> [Available 12-10-04], http://jset.univ.edu/shared/volmenu.html.