

Office of School Choice

CSP RFA Version History

Corrections and edits of CSP RFA after publication

Please email Tammy.Morgan3@la.gov with any questions regarding version history.

Correction and Edit History

Original Publication

March 26, 2024 - USDOE Approved CSP RFA published to LDOE CSP Grant Page.

CSP RFA (Version 2)

April 1, 2024 - Updated links for Cover Page Template and CSP Budget Form.

CSP RFA (Version 3)

April 2, 2024 - Corrected list of Appendices on Page 5 to match the detailed descriptions on Pages 8-10.

CSP RFA (Version 4) - To be used for Y2 applicants and beyond

June 7, 2024

- Added clarifying language for new and existing charters
- Established a threshold for Technical Review and applications moving forward to the Peer Review phase
- Adjusted the appendices according to the applicant
- Updated page numbers throughout the RFA
- Added the Additional Resources section
- Adjusted Technical Review Rubric
- Adjusted Peer Review Rubric
- Updated Performance Measures as approved by USDOE since last RFA approval.

CSP RFA (Version 5) - To be used for Y2 Winter/Spring applicants and beyond

December 4, 2024

- Added clarifying language for new and existing charters
- Established a threshold for overall application scores
- Clarified the timeframe for expanding schools
- Corrected typographical errors

CSP RFA (Version 6) - To be used for Year 3 applicants and beyond

February 5, 2025

- Added LPCSP Priorities section
- Removed district criteria from the Eligibility section
- Added caveat for 25% of awards to schools that do not meet LPCSP Priorities
- Adjusted technical review rubric to remove heavily weighted eligibility criteria and changed to LPCSP priorities with graduated points for schools meeting LPCSP Priorities
- Added required governance training to the assurances page
- Grammatical corrections

CSP RFA (Version 7) - To be used for Year 4 and beyond

June 8, 2026

- Changed the process by which the Technical Review was part of the score, and instead, the Technical Review will be used as a checklist to ensure all components are submitted, the application is compliant with state law and policy, and the application adheres to CSP guidelines, specifically allowable costs.
 - Removed minimum requirements from scoring.
 - Changed the Technical Review Rubric to a checklist.
 - Added a 7-day calendar response to any application needing correction following the technical review
- Added that capacity interviews will be scheduled (this has been a part of each previous cycle, but was not in the RFA).
- Adjusted the percent of schools that must meet one of the priority criteria (PM 1d and 1e)
- Clarified in #2 of the Peer Review Rubric that goals should be SMART.
- Identified 2025 SPS as the measure in several “High-Quality” criteria.
- Added exceeding state performance to the exceeding district performance criterion
- Added how a “B” or “C” school can qualify.
- Added “High-Quality” criteria relevant to high schools. Removed what had been an ACT series criterion.
- Bolded the necessity to meet enrollment requirements.
- Grammatical corrections