

Academic Content

Instructional Materials Evaluation Tool (IMET) for Alignment in Science Grades K-12 Full Curriculum

Strong science instruction requires that students:

- Apply content knowledge to explain real world phenomena and to design solutions,
- Investigate, evaluate, and reason scientifically, and
- Connect ideas across disciplines.

Title: OpenSciEd, Carolina Certified Version

Grade/Course: Biology, Chemistry, and Physics

Publisher: Carolina Biological Supply Company

Copyright: 2024-2025

Overall Rating: Tier 1, Exemplifies quality

Tier 1, Tier 2, Tier 3 Elements of this review:

STRONG	WEAK
1. Three-dimensional Learning (Non-Negotiable)	
2. Phenomenon-Based Instruction (Non-Negotiable)	
3. Alignment & Accuracy (Non-Negotiable)	
4. Disciplinary Literacy (Non-Negotiable)	
5. Learning Progressions	
6. Scaffolding and Support	
7. Usability	
8. Assessment	

Each set of submitted materials was evaluated for alignment with the standards beginning with a review of the indicators for the non-negotiable criteria. If those criteria were met, a review of the other criteria ensued.

Tier 1 ratings receive a “Yes” for all Non-negotiable Criteria and a “Yes” for each of the Additional Criteria of Superior Quality.

Tier 2 ratings receive a “Yes” for all Non-negotiable Criteria, but at least one “No” for the Additional Criteria of Superior Quality.

Tier 3 ratings receive a “No” for at least one of the Non-negotiable Criteria.

Click below for complete grade-level reviews:

[Biology \(Tier 1\)](#)

[Chemistry \(Tier 1\)](#)

[Physics \(Tier 1\)](#)

Academic Content

Instructional Materials Evaluation Tool (IMET) for Alignment in Science Grades K-12 Full Curriculum

Strong science instruction requires that students:

- Apply content knowledge to explain real world phenomena and to design solutions,
- Investigate, evaluate, and reason scientifically, and
- Connect ideas across disciplines.

Title: OpenSciEd, Carolina Certified Version

Grade/Course: Biology

Publisher: Carolina Biological Supply Company

Copyright: 2024

Overall Rating: Tier 1, Exemplifies quality

Tier 1, Tier 2, Tier 3 Elements of this review:

STRONG	WEAK
1. Three-dimensional Learning (Non-Negotiable)	
2. Phenomenon-Based Instruction (Non-Negotiable)	
3. Alignment & Accuracy (Non-Negotiable)	
4. Disciplinary Literacy (Non-Negotiable)	
5. Learning Progressions	
6. Scaffolding and Support	
7. Usability	
8. Assessment	

To evaluate instructional materials for alignment with the standards and determine tiered rating, begin with **Section I: Non-Negotiable Criteria**.

- Review the **required**¹ Indicators of Superior Quality for each **Non-Negotiable** criterion.
- If there is a “Yes” for all **required** Indicators of Superior Quality, materials receive a “Yes” for that **Non-Negotiable** criterion.
- If there is a “No” for any of the **required** Indicators of Superior Quality, materials receive a “No” for that **Non-Negotiable** criterion.
- Materials must meet **Non-Negotiable** Criteria 1 and 2 for the review to continue to **Non-Negotiable** Criteria 3 and 4. Materials must meet all of the **Non-Negotiable** Criteria 1-4 in order for the review to continue to Section II.
- If materials receive a “No” for any **Non-Negotiable** criterion, a rating of Tier 3 is assigned, and the review does not continue.

If all Non-Negotiable Criteria are met, then continue to **Section II: Additional Criteria of Superior Quality**.

- Review the **required** Indicators of Superior Quality for each criterion.
- If there is a “Yes” for all **required** Indicators of Superior Quality, then the materials receive a “Yes” for the additional criteria.
- If there is a “No” for any **required** Indicator of Superior Quality, then the materials receive a “No” for the additional criteria.

Tier 1 ratings receive a “Yes” for all Non-Negotiable Criteria and a “Yes” for each of the Additional Criteria of Superior Quality.

Tier 2 ratings receive a “Yes” for all Non-Negotiable Criteria, but at least one “No” for the Additional Criteria of Superior Quality.

Tier 3 ratings receive a “No” for at least one of the Non-Negotiable Criteria.

¹ **Required Indicators of Superior Quality** are labeled “**Required**” and shaded light orange. Remaining indicators that are shaded white are included to provide additional information to aid in material selection and do not affect tiered rating.

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
<p>SECTION I: NON-NEGOTIABLE CRITERIA OF SUPERIOR QUALITY Materials must meet Non-Negotiable Criteria 1 and 2 for the review to continue to Non-Negotiable Criteria 3 and 4. Materials must meet all of the Non-Negotiable Criteria 1-4 in order for the review to continue to Section II.</p>			
<p>Non-Negotiable 1. THREE-DIMENSIONAL LEARNING:</p> <p>Students have multiple opportunities throughout each unit to develop an understanding and demonstrate application of the three dimensions.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Required 1a) Materials are designed so that students develop scientific content knowledge and scientific skills through interacting with the three dimensions of the science standards. The majority of the materials engage students in integrating the science and engineering practices (SEP), crosscutting concepts (CCC), and disciplinary core ideas (DCI) to support deeper learning.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The instructional materials are designed so that students develop scientific content knowledge and scientific skills through interacting with the three dimensions of the science standards. The majority of materials integrate the Science and Engineering Practices (SEP), Crosscutting Concepts (CCC), and Disciplinary Core Ideas (DCI) to support deeper learning. The materials provide multiple opportunities for students to engage with three-dimensional learning in an integrated manner. Students actively apply SEPs, analyze CCCs, and deepen their understanding of DCIs through hands-on investigations, data analysis, and model development. Some of the lessons address DCIs outside of the course level standards; however, they correlate to the students' overall understanding of the unit phenomenon. For example, in Unit 1, Ecosystems Interactions and Dynamics, Lesson 3, students analyze the wildebeest migration model and information on the wildebeest data cards (SEP, Developing and Using Models), looking for Patterns (CCC) that can be used as evidence to support an explanation for wildebeest migration. Students use the patterns they identify from the wildebeest data cards to determine which of the factors, such as disease, bone marrow</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>health, nutritional health, and/or cause of death, support or limit the size of the wildebeest population (DCI, HS.LS2A.a). Students then create data displays with their group based on the patterns they identified previously and share their data displays with the other groups (SEP, Evaluating and Communicating Information; CCC, Patterns). In Unit 2, Ecosystem: Matter and Energy, Lesson 4, students work together to create a model (SEP, Developing and Using Models) of how matter and energy move during photosynthesis (DCI, HS.LS1C.a; CCC, Systems and System Models). Then students analyze world maps showing solar radiance and gross primary production to determine the relationship between the two (CCC, Cause and Effect) and read an article (SEP, Obtaining and Evaluating and Communicating Information) about how changes in the climate of the Earth contributed to the growth of plants that became arctic peat. In Unit 4, Natural Selection and Evolution of Populations, Lesson 1, students engage in Developing and Using Models (SEP) by creating an initial consensus model illustrating how urbanization affects nonhuman populations to begin to make sense of the anchor phenomenon. They explore Cause and Effect (CCC) by examining the relationship between increasing urbanization and adaptations in hawksbeard, juncos, and rats. As students analyze the dependency of species survival on environmental changes, they learn about relationships in ecosystems</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			(DCI, HS.LS2.A.b). Lesson 2 builds on this understanding as students engage in Planning and Carrying Out Investigations (SEP) by conducting experiments on seed dispersal in hawksbeard and comparing their findings with published studies. Students analyze Patterns (CCC) in how urban environments shape genetic traits. Students demonstrate how natural selection favors specific seed dispersal strategies by making connections between their observations from the investigation and the adaptations found in the seeds of urban plant populations (DCI, HS.LS4.C.a and HS.LS4.C.b).
<p>Non-Negotiable 2. PHENOMENON-BASED INSTRUCTION:</p> <p>Explaining phenomenon and designing solutions drive student learning.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Required 2a) Observing and explaining phenomena and designing solutions provide the purpose and opportunity for students to engage in a coherent sequence of learning a majority of the time. Phenomena provide students with authentic opportunities to ask questions and define problems, as well as purpose to incrementally build understanding through the lessons that follow.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Observing and explaining phenomena and designing solutions provide the purpose and opportunity for students to engage in learning a majority of the time. Phenomena in the form of common experiences at the beginning of each unit spark students to generate questions and define problems to motivate learning about the core ideas of the unit, and this provides purpose for students to engage in the investigations and lessons that follow as they work towards figuring out the phenomenon. At the start of each unit, students observe and analyze the phenomenon and work towards making sense of the phenomenon by recording initial thoughts in a Notice and Wonder table, brainstorming investigative questions recorded on a Driving Question Board (DBQ), and collaborating to develop an initial model which is updated and refined as they continue</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>their study. Students track their learning in a science notebook where they record Notice and Wonder tables, personal glossaries, and models, revising these throughout the unit. For example, in Unit 1, Ecosystem Interactions and Dynamics, Lesson 1 introduces students to the anchor phenomenon, the 30 by 30 conservation initiative to preserve land and water in the United States. The unit question is “How do ecosystems work and how can understanding them help us protect them?” Students begin by brainstorming a list of criteria people use to motivate conservation. Students then look at four different conservation areas and develop initial models of what happened in these places over time. They participate in a Gallery Walk, looking for similarities and differences between the models, and write questions for a DBQ about how the ecosystems in the conservation profiles work and how they were protected. Students then generate ideas for investigating their questions. In Unit 1, Lesson 2 introduces students to another related phenomenon, the Serengeti conservation area. They engage in a scavenger hunt to gather information about the Serengeti and then reach consensus through a whole-class discussion about why the Serengeti was protected and how it was protected. In Lessons 3-5, students investigate the migration of wildebeests, determining the limiting factors in the ecosystem that affect the carrying capacity of their population. In Lesson 6, students complete a Transfer Task</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>about a population of wild dogs, using what they have learned about how ecosystems work. After the Transfer Task, students revisit their DQB and identify which questions they can answer using the Serengeti as a model conservation area. Observing the phenomenon of the migrating wildebeest in the Serengeti provides students with the opportunity to engage in a coherent sequence of learning about ecosystems. In Unit 2, Ecosystem: Matter and Energy, Lesson 1, students begin to investigate arctic zombie fires by looking at maps of where fires break out, reading about them, and looking at images of the area where these fires are found. They develop an initial model of the phenomenon and a DBQ to guide investigations throughout the rest of the unit. In Lesson 2, students investigate peat, the fuel for the zombie fires, which lays the foundation for the upcoming lessons in which students develop a model for how carbon and energy cycle through the environment. In Lesson 7, students conduct an experiment to gather data about the consequences of increased carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, which they use to develop a hypothesis about the relationship between carbon dioxide levels and temperature in Lesson 8. In Lesson 9, students work collaboratively to develop a model of the effects of increased atmospheric carbon dioxide from burning carbon sinks on other systems. In Unit 5, Common Ancestry and Speciation, Lesson 1 introduces students to the anchor phenomenon of three bear species,</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>including polar, brown, and black, being observed together in Wapusk National Park for the first time. They create a Notice and Wonder chart where they record observations and questions. Then, they investigate the phenomena by analyzing maps of the typical ranges of these species and climate data, and reading about the lifestyles of the bear species. They develop initial models, predicting what will happen to each species under two conditions, seasonally available ice and permanently available ice, and create a DBQ. In Lesson 3, students investigate similarities and differences between the three species using anatomical and DNA evidence to determine the evolutionary history of the species. By the end of Lessons 4 and 5, students write arguments for what caused polar and brown bears to split into separate species and revise their initial model from Lesson 1. In Lesson 6, students continue to consider what will happen to polar bears in the future and read about evidence suggesting that polar and brown bears can mate, and, through analysis of data gathered during a simulation, determine the likelihood that a hybrid of these species would be successful in the arctic environment of the future. Continuing through the end of the unit, students analyze extinction data from past mass extinction events, apply their findings to current conditions, and write arguments for or against taking action to protect polar bears from possible extinction.</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
	<p>Required 2b) Materials are designed to provide sufficient opportunities for students to design and engage in investigations at a level appropriate to their grade band to explain phenomena. This includes testing theories or models, generating data, and using reasoning and scientific ideas to provide evidence to support claims.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Materials are designed to provide sufficient opportunities for students to design and engage in investigations at a level appropriate to their grade band to explain phenomena. The lessons provide students with authentic and developmentally appropriate opportunities to engage in investigations that use scientific reasoning, model-based exploration, and data analysis to explain real-world phenomena. These opportunities directly support the SEPs aligned to the high school level expectations outlined in the Louisiana Student Standards for Science (LSSS). For example, in Unit 2, Ecosystems: Matter and Energy, Lesson 2, students develop an investigation plan to compare the amount of energy and matter released when peat burns compared to other fuel sources, such as dead leaves and wood. Students determine what evidence to gather and the tools that would be necessary to gather the evidence (SEP, Planning and Carrying Out Investigations). During the next lesson, students develop a data table to collect the evidence about matter and energy released during the burning of the peat and other fuel sources. Students conduct the experiment (SEP, Planning and Carrying Out Investigations) and gather evidence in their data table. Students then participate in a building understanding discussion about the evidence they collected during the burning investigation (SEP, Analyzing and Interpreting Data). Students figure out that peat releases carbon from stored energy when it burns. In</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>Lesson 3, students engage in Planning and Carrying Out Investigations (SEP) to produce evidence of changes in the flow of matter and energy in and out of a system during cellular respiration. In order to plan the investigation, students work in small groups to identify the variables. Students work towards answering the following question: “How does ___ affect the flow of matter and energy from ___ to ___ by decomposers in the zombie fire system?” The teacher then leads a class discussion. Ultimately, the students test the effect of changing temperatures on the process of cellular respiration in decomposers. During the next lesson, students conduct the experiment, collect data, and make sense of the questions (SEP, Analyzing and Interpreting Data, Planning and Carrying Out Investigations). Students recognize that the colder temperatures decrease the amount of sugar that is converted to carbon dioxide during cellular respiration. In Unit 3, Inheritance and Variation of Traits, Lesson 1, students analyze and interpret cancer data (CCC, Scale, Proportion, and Quantity) to create an initial model (SEP, Developing and Using Models) to explain who gets cancer and why. Later, in Lesson 4, students engage in using a simulation model to investigate how the rate of cell division is related to the chances of developing cancer, and, in Lesson 5, construct an explanation (SEP, Constructing Explanations) of how cancer cells develop chromosomal differences and the role p53</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>plays in preventing these changes based on the evidence they collected from previous lessons. In Unit 4, Natural Selection and Evolution of Populations, Lesson 3, students engage in a model-based investigation exploring how genetic variations affect rat populations' resistance to poison, mimicking the process of natural selection. The activity uses red and blue pony beads as a manipulable model to simulate gene distribution and inheritance. Students gather data from this hands-on investigation, and then connect it to scientific research, Rat Poison Explanation handout, moving from model-based reasoning to evidence-based explanation. The materials state that "Students use self-generated data from group models to construct explanations and then later revise explanations using data from published studies." (SEP, Constructing Explanations and Designing Solutions).</p>
	<p>2c) Materials provide frequent opportunities for students to make meaningful connections to their own knowledge and experiences as well as those of their community during sense-making about the phenomena.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Materials provide frequent opportunities for students to make meaningful connections to their own knowledge and experiences as well as those of their community during sense-making about the phenomena. Each unit provides students opportunities to use their lived experiences and prior knowledge to help them learn the new content. At the end of each unit, students engage in a lesson in which they apply what they have learned to their own communities. For example, in Unit 1, Lesson 9, after learning about conservation efforts in the Serengeti, students begin to think about how</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>humans interact with ecosystems. Students engage in a Stop and Jot and answer the following questions: “How do you interact with an ecosystem when you visit a park or other protected space?” and “How do you interact with the land or water where you live?”</p> <p>Students relate their personal interactions with ecosystems to human interaction with the Serengeti ecosystem. Students also relate this to the other conservation areas they studied at the beginning of the unit. Thinking about ways in which they interact with ecosystems supports students’ understanding and motivations for conservation efforts. In Unit 2, Lesson 1, students connect with their community by engaging in home learning. Students ask in what ways their community has been directly or indirectly affected by fires. They also ask about whether a fire in another community could impact their community either directly or indirectly. This activity prepares the students to think about the impacts of fires on people and the environment. In Unit 3, Inheritance and Variation of Traits, Lesson 11, students learn about how cancer can be treated. The lesson begins with students discussing what they already know about cancer treatments and then investigating various treatments through case studies, articles, and an interactive game.</p>
<p>Non-Negotiable 3. ALIGNMENT AND</p>	<p>Required 3a) The majority of the Louisiana Student Standards for Science are incorporated, to the</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The majority (16 out of 20) of the Louisiana Student Standards for Science (LSSS) are incorporated to the full depth of the standards.</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
<p>ACCURACY:</p> <p>Materials adequately address the Louisiana Student Standards for Science.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>full depth of the standards.</p>		<p>The materials consistently integrate Science and Engineering Practices (SEPs), Disciplinary Core Ideas (DCIs), and Crosscutting Concepts (CCCs) and follow coherent learning progressions. Each unit is built around authentic phenomena and problems that drive student engagement and support conceptual development. The materials partially address LSSS HS-LS1-8, HS-LS1-6, HS-LS1-7, and HS-LS3-1. All SEPs and CCCs within these standards are addressed across the five units; however, there are 9 out of 38 DCIs within the Biology LSSS that are not fully addressed within the materials. All DCIs for HS-LS1-8 (HS.LS1E.a, HS.LS1E.b, HS.LS1E.c, HS.LS1E.d, and HS.LS1E.e) are not addressed within the materials. These DCIs address the topics of viruses, vaccines, and disease-causing microorganisms. LSSS HS-LS1-6 is not fully addressed because the materials do not fully address a part of DCI HS.LS1C.b about how the carbons from sugar are used in the formation of macromolecules such as amino acids and DNA. However, the other DCI (HS.LS1C.a), the SEP (Constructing Explanations and Designing Solutions), and the CCC (Energy and Matter) are fully addressed for this standard. LSSS HS-LS1-7 is only partially addressed because one of the two DCIs is not fully addressed. While students conduct an experiment to determine how temperature affects the rate of respiration, the materials do not address the energy released by respiration, which is used to maintain body temperature (DCI, HS.LS1C.d).</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>LSSS HS-LS3-1 is also only partially addressed because two of three DCIs related to this standard are not fully addressed. Students use a model to build understanding of the relationship between DNA, genes, and proteins, but the idea that DNA also includes segments that do not code for genes and whose functions are regulatory or unknown is not addressed fully (DCI, HS.LS3A.a). This DCI is briefly mentioned as a look-for in the discussion around the consensus model on page 8 in the Lesson 7 teacher guidance; however, a teacher would need to provide additional instruction to fully cover this DCI. Students also learn about Mendelian Inheritance by analyzing pedigree charts, but they are not given the opportunity to learn about more complex patterns of genetics, like incomplete or codominance (DCI, HS.LS3A.b).</p>
	<p>Required 3b) The total amount of content is viable for a school year.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The total amount of content is viable for a school year. The materials include five units each spanning 19-28 days. Each unit includes lesson condensing suggestions if needed. This does not include any supplemental lessons teachers need to add to address the missing DCIs. Units are structured to allow for deep exploration of concepts without excessive repetition or gaps. The unaccounted days in the Pacing Guide allow time for modifications, extensions, and reviews as needed, including time for the supplemental lessons required to address the missing and partially addressed LSSSS. The Pacing Guide notes Unit 1 as 26</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			days, Unit 2 as 28 days, Unit 3 as 26 days, Unit 4 as 23 days, and Unit 5 as 19 days.
	<p>Required 3c) Science content is accurate, reflecting the most current and widely accepted explanations.</p>	Yes	<p>Science content is accurate, up-to-date, and aligned with the most current and widely accepted explanations. No evidence of incorrect or out of date science explanations could be found. Across all units, the materials reflect modern understandings of biological concepts and processes, including genetics, molecular biology, ecology, evolution, and biotechnology. The materials use peer-reviewed articles along with current examples from real-world science that align with the DCIs of the LSSS. For example, in Unit 3, Inheritance and Variation of Traits, Lesson 11, students read about the relatively new CRISPR-based Therapy for cancer. The reading cites evidence from three peer-reviewed journal articles. In Unit 5, Common Ancestry and Speciation, students investigate environmental changes to the Arctic environment conditions and how these changes affect bear species. Lesson 1 introduces students to the phenomenon through accurate maps and data published by the Canadian government and articles that cite recently published sources from journals and universities. In Lesson 3, students examine photographs of authentic specimens, including skulls, claws, and jaws from different species of bears.</p>
	<p>3d) In any one grade or course, instructional materials spend minimal time on content</p>	Yes	<p>Materials spend minimal time on content outside of the course. The majority of materials</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
	<p>outside of the course, grade, or grade-band.</p>		<p>directly align to the Biology LSSS, maintain a course-appropriate scope and scaffolded learning progressions, and avoid excessive repetition of prior-grade content. Each unit aligns to the LSSS for Biology with content and performance expectations clearly drawn from the 9–12 DCIs, SEPs, and CCCs. Some of the lessons incorporate Earth and Space Science Standards, and Life Science standards outside of the course, but the lessons do not detract from the focus on core biology content. For example, Unit 1 addresses HS-ESS3-3, Unit 2 addresses HS-LS2-5, HS-ESS2-6 and HS-ESS3-6, and Unit 4 addresses HS-LS4-6. However, the lessons that include content beyond the scope of the course integrate course-appropriate content to support student understanding of the course-level LSSS. HS-ETS1-3 is also not in the LSSS for Biology, but very little time is spent on this within the materials.</p>
<p>Non-Negotiable 4. DISCIPLINARY LITERACY: Materials have students engage with authentic sources and incorporate speaking, reading, and writing to develop scientific literacy.</p>	<p>Required *Indicator for grades 4-12 only 4a) Students regularly engage with authentic sources that represent the language and style that is used and produced by scientists; e.g., journal excerpts, authentic data, photographs, sections of lab reports, and media releases of current science research. Frequency of engagement with authentic sources should increase in higher grade levels and courses.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Students regularly engage with authentic sources that represent the language and style used and produced by scientists. The materials incorporate a variety of authentic sources, including primary source documents, photographs, and authentic data sets. All student-facing resources cite credible, recent sources. The tasks students engage in promote critical analysis and scientific reasoning. The consistent inclusion of journal excerpts and current research throughout the materials reinforces student engagement with primary</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			<p>sources and strengthens connections between classroom instruction and real-world scientific inquiry. For example, in Unit 1, Lesson 3, students watch an interview with Dr. Simon Mduma, an ecologist, to understand scientific methodologies and field research on migration. They also analyze authentic field research data cards from wildebeest population studies. In Lesson 9, students investigate competing road proposals for the Serengeti, using real stakeholder reports and conservation data. In Unit 3, Inheritance and Variation of Traits, Lesson 1 introduces the anchor phenomenon by presenting students with data from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services showing the most common cancers in the U.S in 2018. In Lesson 5, students watch a video showing human skin cells dividing from the Allen Institute of Cell Science. In Lesson 11, students read an article about CRISPR-based therapy with citations from peer-reviewed journals, including Annual Review of Cancer, Genes, and ACS Synthetic Biology.</p>
	<p>Required 4b) Students regularly engage in speaking and writing about scientific phenomena and engineering solutions using authentic science sources; e.g., authentic data, models, lab investigations, or journal excerpts. Materials address the necessity of using scientific evidence to support scientific ideas.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Students regularly engage in speaking and writing about scientific phenomena and engineering solutions using authentic sources. Materials address the necessity of using scientific evidence to support ideas and prompt students to construct scientific explanations based on authentic data, models, and investigations, to use peer-reviewed science sources and case studies to support claims and reasoning, and to engage in peer</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>discussion and written argumentation to refine and defend their ideas with evidence. For example, in Unit 1 Lesson 1, students develop initial models based on four authentic conservation profiles, revise their initial models based on teacher feedback, and participate in a Gallery Walk in which some students stay to communicate the components and interactions of their model to their peers, while others in the group leave to observe the other models. As students participate in the Gallery Walk, they write down similarities and differences between the conservation profiles. In Unit 4, Natural Selection and Evolution of Populations, Lesson 10, students participate in a discussion about how fragmentation of ecosystems reduces the genetic diversity of wildlife species and read about the needs of different wildlife species that inhabit the areas around Buckeye, AZ. Then, they consider various development proposals for the city and summarize the possible effects on the wildlife populations. Through teacher facilitated discussions, students reach a consensus about the benefits and drawbacks of each proposal and share any compromise design ideas they developed.</p>
	<p>Required 4c) There is variability in the tasks that students are required to execute. For example, students are asked to produce solutions to problems, models of phenomena, explanations of theory development, and conclusions from</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Materials include variability in the tasks that students are required to execute. Across the materials, students regularly engage in a variety of tasks, such as discussing, reading, identifying patterns, problem-solving, developing models, generating explanations</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
	investigations.		<p>through models, and interpreting data. For example, in Unit 1, Ecosystem Interactions and Dynamics, Lesson 3, students analyze wildebeest field research data to identify patterns and draw conclusions about why wildebeest migrate. In Lesson 5, students develop and use a kinesthetic and mathematical model to explain how food availability affects the carrying capacity of wildebeest populations. In Lesson 9, students evaluate road proposals and make recommendations regarding a possible road through the Serengeti, requiring them to apply scientific reasoning to a real-world problem. In Unit 2, Ecosystems Matter and Energy, Lesson 3, students carry out an investigation using yeast as a model organism to understand how temperature and oxygen affect decomposition and cellular respiration. They then construct an explanation based on their evidence. In Lesson 10, students investigate fire management strategies, including prescribed burning, cultural burning, and restoring grazer populations. They develop a mathematical representation to explain how these techniques alter the flow of energy and matter in ecosystems. They also propose a plan to reduce wildfire risk in their communities. In Unit 3, Inheritance and Variation of Traits, Lesson 3, students use a game-based model to learn about how human cells turn into cancer cells and form tumors. After they play the game, they record what part of mitosis each part of the game represents and discuss their</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>ideas with peers. Then they watch a video of skin cells dividing and refine their answer in determining how non-cancerous cells become cancerous cells. They play another round and consider what questions remain and how they might approach answering them. They review evidence from a graph, participate in a card sort activity, and finally reach class consensus about the differences between cancerous and non-cancerous cells. Students begin Unit 5, Common Ancestry and Speciation, Lesson 5, by talking to a partner about what they have learned about the differences between polar and brown bears. This is followed by a class discussion reviewing the class consensus model in terms of what it explains and what they can add to the model. Students then revise the class consensus model individually before comparing ideas during a class discussion and reaching a new consensus on what the model should include.</p>
	<p>Required 4d) Materials provide a coherent sequence of learning experiences that build scientific vocabulary and knowledge over the course of study. Vocabulary is addressed as needed in the materials but not taught in isolation of deeper scientific learning.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The materials provide a coherent sequence of authentic science sources that build scientific vocabulary and knowledge over the course of study. The materials introduce vocabulary within the context of deeper conceptual understanding rather than isolated memorization. Vocabulary is addressed as needed, but only after students have first had the opportunity to build conceptual understanding of the term. Students co-construct definitions of the words they encounter and then add them to their Personal</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>Glossaries. For example, in Unit 2, Ecosystems Matter and Energy, Lesson 1, students develop a partial understanding of the word speciation by reading about different bear species that live in Wapusk Park, Canada. In Lesson 4, students explain what it means for bears to split into two species - polar and brown bears. As they read about changes to the environment that caused bear populations to adapt, students co-construct a more formal definition of speciation. In Lesson 5, students investigate how photosynthesis affects carbon storage and develop vocabulary related to chemical energy and directional hypotheses. This learning connects to future lessons where they model the carbon cycle and discuss the role of feedback loops, and, in Lesson 6, students develop a Gotta-Have-It Checklist, which helps them identify and track key concepts, interactions, and vocabulary terms needed for their models. This approach integrates terminology into the students' scientific reasoning rather than committing it to memory. In Unit 3, Inheritance and Variance of Traits, introduces terms such as mitosis and cell differentiation as students explore the biological processes that regulate cell division. Unit-based learning progressions are designed to revisit and reinforce key terms across multiple lessons and contexts, deepening student understanding. Students consistently apply scientific terminology in written explanations, data analysis, and model-building activities, promoting retention and</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			accurate usage of content-specific language throughout the course.
SECTION II: ADDITIONAL CRITERIA OF SUPERIOR QUALITY			
<p>5. LEARNING PROGRESSIONS:</p> <p>The materials adequately address Appendix A: Learning Progressions. They are coherent and provide natural connections to other performance expectations, including science and engineering practices, crosscutting concepts, and disciplinary core ideas; the content complements the Louisiana Student Standards for Math.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Required</p> <p>5a) The overall organization of the materials and the development of disciplinary core ideas, science and engineering practices, and crosscutting concepts are coherent within and across units. The progression of learning is coordinated over time, clear, and organized to prevent student misunderstanding and supports student mastery of the performance expectations.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The overall organization of the materials and the development of the disciplinary core ideas, science and engineering practices, and crosscutting concepts are coherent within and across units and are organized to support learning through a natural progression. Students engage with and build understanding of the three dimensions of the standards at increasing levels of complexity and sophistication, and engage in a coherent progression of learning that is coordinated over time, clear, and organized. The progression of learning supports student development of the Performance Expectations and prevents misunderstanding. For example, the first six lessons of Unit 1, Ecosystem Interactions and Dynamics, focus on DCI HS.LS2A.a. In order to support student mastery, Lesson 1 first introduces students to the idea of conservation through the 30 by 30 initiative, during which students begin to develop ecosystem models based on real-world conservation profiles and develop a model (SEP, Developing and Using Models) of a conservation profile. In Lesson 2, students explore how ecosystems can remain stable or shift based on human decisions (CCC, Stability and Change). In Lesson 3, students figure out that most wildebeest deaths are due to starvation, not predators, establishing food as</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>the main driver of migration. The understanding that food is the primary reason for migration transitions into Lesson 4, in which students analyze rainfall and food availability as limiting factors for populations. Students figure out that these factors shape population dynamics. Specifically, they discover how rainfall can cause an ecosystem to remain stable or change (CCC, Stability and Change) and use cause-and-effect reasoning to connect rainfall to grass growth, which affects (CCC, Cause and Effect) wildebeest migration. They continue their investigation in Lesson 5 as they use a kinesthetic model to construct a mathematical representation (SEP, Using Mathematics and Computational Thinking) of the relationship between limiting factors and carrying capacity using CODAP, providing students with concrete evidence of how food is the limiting factor that determines the carrying capacity of the wildebeest population. These activities prepare them for the Transfer Task in Lesson 6, in which they apply what they have figured out about limiting factors and the carrying capacity of an ecosystem to a population of African wild dogs. In Unit 3, Inheritance and Variation of Traits, Lesson 3, students play a Cell Game to model the cell cycle and learn how cancerous cells can form when cell division is not properly regulated (DCI, HS.LS1B.a; CCC, Cause and Effect). In Lesson 8, students learn about two individuals who survived multiple cancers and use pedigree charts (SEP, Developing and</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>Using Models) to trace their family histories of cancer and conclude that a heritable genetic mutation can result in an increased likelihood of developing cancer and that these mutations can be inherited. In Lesson 9, students read about how UV radiation can cause non-heritable mutations in cells that can also lead to the development of cancer (SEP, Obtaining, Evaluating, and Communicating Information). They synthesize this information in Lesson 10 by creating a model (SEP, Developing and Using Models) demonstrating how people develop cancer through inheritance and mutations. In Unit 4, Natural Selection and Evolution of Populations, Lesson 1, students begin investigating urban expansion using time-lapse satellite imagery from Google Earth and progress through a sequence of activities that include field observation, class discussions, and data interpretation. They build a DQB (SEP, Asking Questions; DCI, HS.LS4.C.4; CCC, Systems and System Models). The Teacher Interactive Board is employed to support whole-class collaboration as students develop a shared understanding of ecological concepts, track observations, and co-construct definitions, such as urbanization, components, and interactions. The design of the lesson provides students the opportunity to revisit and expand upon initial ideas through outdoor investigations and vocabulary notebook entries, reinforcing learning over time. By combining digital tools, structured discourse, and personal reflection, the</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			materials support students in developing increasingly sophisticated reasoning about urban ecosystems and the impact of human development.
	<p>5b) Students apply grade-appropriate mathematical thinking in meaningful ways, when applicable. They are not introduced to math skills that are beyond or far below the applicable grade level expectations in the Louisiana Student Standards for Mathematics. Preferably, math connections are made explicit through clear references to the math standards, specifically in teacher materials.</p>	Yes	<p>Students apply mathematical thinking when applicable. Across the majority of the materials, students are not introduced to math skills that go beyond the Louisiana Student Standards for Mathematics (LSSM) for High School. Students are occasionally called to apply mathematics skills and understanding to engage in Using Mathematics and Computational Thinking (SEP) appropriately in the context of their learning. For example, in Unit 2, Ecosystems Matter and Energy, Lesson 10, after reading about various fire management techniques, students use a mathematical model to trace the amount of carbon available at each trophic level and determine how each management technique reduces the amount of fuel available to burn in an ecosystem. In Unit 3, Inheritance and Variation of Traits, Lesson 8, students use basic probability and ratio reasoning to analyze pedigree charts in order to predict genetic inheritance patterns. On the worksheet, students respond to the following prompt, “If a parent has Li-Fraumeni syndrome (LFS), what are the chances it will be passed down to their children?” The students use Mendelian logic to determine that there is a 50% probability that the offspring will inherit LFS if the parent is heterozygous. In Lesson 9,</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>students interpret real-world data sets showing the correlation between UV exposure and melanoma incidence across regions and racial groups. Students interpret line graphs and scatter plots to determine the relationship between average UV index and melanoma rates for different populations. They explore the slope of trend lines showing the strength of correlation and compare trends across demographics (White, Black, Hispanic), applying descriptive statistics and critical data comparison. In Unit 4, Natural Selection and Evolution of Populations, Lesson 4, students compare data sets on junco boldness from two distinct experimental setups in slides I and J. This task involves interpreting and drawing conclusions from multiple quantitative distributions. Though students are not calculating specific statistical measures, they apply reasoned data interpretation to assess environmental influence on heritable behavior. Students investigate the relationship between CORT (a stress hormone) and boldness and engage in qualitative reasoning about correlation to co-construct a definition of “correlation coefficient,” adding it to their glossary. Although the lesson does not yet involve calculating a coefficient, students recognize patterns of association and begin using terminology consistent with high school statistics.</p>
6. SCAFFOLDING AND	Required 6a) There are separate teacher support	Yes	There are separate teacher support materials provided. Unit-level teacher support materials

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
<p>SUPPORT:</p> <p>Materials provide teachers with guidance to build their own knowledge and to give all students extensive opportunities and support to explore key concepts using multiple, varied experiences to build scientific thinking.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>materials including: scientific background knowledge, support in three-dimensional learning, learning progressions, strategies for addressing diverse emerging conceptions, guidance targeting speaking and writing in the science classroom (i.e., conversation guides, rubrics, exemplar student responses). Support also includes teacher guidance in the materials’ approach to phenomenon-based instruction and provides explicit guidance on how the materials address, build, and integrate the three dimensions.</p>		<p>include the following: Unit Storyline, Unit Overview, Teacher Background Knowledge, Assessment System Overview, Investigation Materials, and Unit Resources. The Unit Overview begins with the guiding question of the unit, describes the unit phenomenon, what students will do across the unit to figure out the phenomenon, the LSSS addressed, how students will engage with the phenomenon, and guidance for supporting classroom discussion through the Teacher Interactive Board. The Unit Storyline describes the lesson-level phenomenon or design problem along with what students do and should figure out within each lesson set, and how each lesson connects to the next. The Storyline also includes examples of how the concepts are represented. The Teacher Background Knowledge includes Lab Safety Requirements for Science Investigations, notes where the unit falls within the scope and sequence of the materials, describes the anchoring phenomenon and why it was chosen, describes how the unit is structured and what elements of the three dimensions are developed. Additionally, this section includes an explanation of how the unit builds three-dimensional progressions across the materials, common ideas that students may have, suggestions on how to modify the unit if taught out of sequence, how to shorten or extend the unit if necessary, the mathematical concepts that students engage with, recommendations for adult-level learning resources for the unit’s</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>science concepts, guidance for developing personal glossaries, and home communication. The Teacher Background section of the Teacher’s Edition specifically cites the performance objectives, SEPS, DCIs, and CCCs, noting any parts of the DCIs not addressed within the unit. The Assessment Overview explains the embedded Assessment System across the unit, including a pre-assessment, formative assessments, self-assessments, and summative assessments. Investigation Materials lists all the materials needed for the unit, including materials within science kits and those not supplied. Unit Resources includes a variety of resources needed to teach the unit. Lesson-level teacher support materials include a Lesson Overview, Materials and Preparation, Learning Plan, Student Lesson Resources, Teacher Lesson Resources, and Spanish Resources. The Teacher Edition for each lesson also includes a learning progression that clearly outlines each lesson in the Where We Are Going and Where We Are Not Going sections. The brief explanation of what was learned in the previous lesson, what is learned in the current lesson, and what will be learned in the next lesson provides instructional coherence for the teacher. The Teacher Edition for each lesson also describes how the lesson fits in context with other lessons, the three-dimensional learning that students will engage in, and offers detailed instructions for implementing the lesson. These supports include teacher</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>guidance on speaking and writing in the science classroom, suggested prompts, anticipated student responses, and links to resources. For example, many lessons guide teachers to support students in developing a Gotta-Have-It Checklist to help them organize key concepts that should be included in their explanations. Throughout the materials, students participate in creating consensus models and consensus discussions. The community agreement constructed by the students and teacher in the very first Lesson 1 governs the classroom norms and expectations for collaboration, respect, and discussion</p>
	<p>Required 6b) Teacher resources include educative resources that are designed to promote teacher learning and support the wide range of teachers who use the materials. Unit and lesson planning resources include explicit guidance designed to ensure that students experience phenomena, design solutions, and apply scientific knowledge and skills in ways that are aligned to the Louisiana Student Standards for Science and associated learning progressions.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Teacher resources include educational resources that are designed to promote teacher learning and support the wide range of teachers who use the materials. Unit and lesson planning resources include explicit guidance designed to ensure that students experience phenomena, design solutions, and apply scientific knowledge and skills in ways that are developmentally appropriate and aligned to the LSSS and associated learning progressions. For example, in Unit 1, Ecosystem Interaction and Dynamics, Lesson 11, teacher support is provided for the KEY: Prairie Transfer Task, which includes detailed guidance on evaluating student responses. This promotes teacher understanding of what proficiency looks like when students apply science and engineering practices to address ecosystem conservation and biodiversity loss.</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>The lesson directly references prior lessons and asks students to draw from their Progress Trackers and science notebooks, providing a clear path from prior investigations to final Transfer Tasks. In Unit 3, Inheritance and Variation of Traits, Lesson 1, teacher guidance introduces cancer as a complex but personally relevant phenomenon. In Lesson 5, the materials support teachers in facilitating student understanding of DNA replication, mutation, and protein repair mechanisms, helping teachers link these biological concepts to cancer formation. In the Where We Are Going section of Lesson 6, the materials provide teachers a clear learning progression, which states, “in this lesson, students develop a conceptual understanding of gene expression. They build on their understanding of DNA from Lesson 4 as well as a middle school level understanding” and “To support development of high school grade band ideas, students build understanding of the role of DNA in genes, including the nucleotides that make up the genetic code, how they can be altered through mutation, and how those changes affect the resulting proteins.” In Unit 5, Common Ancestry and Speciation, Lesson 3, students discuss the similarities and differences between three bear species: the brown bear, black bear, and polar bear. The Learning Plan provided for the lesson guides the teacher on how to initiate this discussion, orients them to the purpose of the discussion, gives a rationale for having students work in</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>small groups before engaging in a whole-class discussion, and includes a list of six things to listen for the students to say. Then the students rotate through stations where they read about the three bear species and compare their skulls, teeth, and claws. The Lesson Planning resource offers a suggestion of how to introduce this investigation as well as how to organize the stations and group students.</p>
	<p>Required 6c) Support for diverse learners, including English Learners and students with disabilities, are provided. Appropriate suggestions and materials are provided for supporting varying student needs at the unit and lesson level using an accelerating learning approach. The language in which questions and problems are posed is not an obstacle to understanding the content, and if it is, additional supports are included (e.g., alternative teacher approaches, pacing and instructional delivery options, strategies or suggestions for supporting access to text and/or content, suggestions for modifications, suggestions for vocabulary acquisition, extension activities, etc.). Materials include teacher guidance to help support special populations and provide the opportunities for these students to meet the expectations of the standards and enable regular progress monitoring.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Materials provide support for diverse learners, including English Learners and students with disabilities. Materials provide appropriate suggestions and materials for supporting varying student needs at the unit and lesson level using an accelerated learning approach. Materials include teacher guidance to help support special populations and provide opportunities for these students to meet the expectations of the standards, and enable regular progress monitoring. Each Unit Overview provides a pacing guide that points to additional mini-labs a teacher may choose to include at key points for students who need additional experiences and time developing core ideas of the unit. Each Unit Overview also includes a Phenomenon Relevance Note that includes alternatives as well as suggestions for modifying the anchoring phenomenon to make it more accessible and/or locally relevant for students, if needed. Lesson materials include diverse learner support with specific tips, techniques, and points to consider to support the teacher in recognizing and valuing</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>student resources and participation. For example, in Unit 1, Ecosystem Interactions and Dynamics, Lesson 11, students engage in the Prairie Transfer Task as a culminating application opportunity. To ensure access for all learners, teacher guidance suggests that students use the science notebooks and complete Progress Trackers, which provide essential scaffolds for students who need additional support in recalling and applying key ideas. The accompanying answer key includes clear guidance on evaluating student responses and offering targeted feedback, which promotes individualized progress monitoring and helps teachers respond to student needs in real time. In Unit 1, Lesson 3, students engage in a multimodal exploration of the wildebeest migration phenomenon. A video interview is paired with an annotated transcript, allowing students to access content through both auditory and visual channels. Guidance prompts teachers to co-construct vocabulary definitions, such as empirical evidence and mortality factors, which support English Learners and students with language processing challenges. During the data card analysis portion, the lesson provides detailed guidance on differentiating task complexity based on student readiness. For instance, groups may begin by organizing data cards based on simpler factors like disease before tackling more complex patterns such as geographic location or seasonal change. Additional teacher guidance suggests jig</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>sawing data sets, modeling sort strategies, and using guiding questions to support students as they make sense of the data. These supports are explicit, embedded at the lesson level, and reflect best practices in differentiation, helping ensure that all students have access to high-quality science instruction. Lessons avoid presenting definitions too early. Instead, the teacher co-constructs terms, such as carbon sink, zombie fire, photosynthesis, and feedback loop, with students after shared experiences. For example, in Unit 2, Ecosystem Matter and Energy, Lesson 1, teacher guidance states that “words should be defined and recorded after your class has developed a shared understanding of their meaning.” In Lesson 3, students engage in a laboratory investigation to determine why matter doesn’t decompose in permafrost. As they prepare for this activity, they identify variables and controls. The Teacher Edition notes that multilingual students may need extra support in understanding the idea of control conditions since the way we use the term in science and in everyday life are different. Later in the lesson, students use molecule cards to trace the flow of energy and matter during cellular respiration. Guidance suggests that teachers extend this activity for students who need enrichment by asking students to determine the number of each molecule (glucose, oxygen, water, and carbon dioxide) that would be required for this process to adhere to the law</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>of conservation of mass. In Lesson 6, students work together to create a Gotta-Have-It Checklist before creating a model to explain what causes zombie fires. The teacher materials suggest a way to support students who are learning English, as well as others who struggle with language during the consensus discussion, by paraphrasing back what students say in slightly different ways. This guidance offers students multiple times and ways to engage with the content and the opportunity to hear scientific language in multiple contexts.</p>
<p>7. USABILITY: Materials are easily accessible, promote safety in the science classroom, and are viable for implementation given the length of a school year.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Required 7a) Text sets (when applicable), laboratory, and other scientific materials are readily accessible through vendor packaging or certified partners.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Text sets (when applicable), laboratory, and other scientific materials are readily accessible through vendor packaging or certified partners. The program offers a comprehensive set of instructional materials, including multimedia resources, laboratory handouts, student readings, visual inquiries, and data sets — all of which are housed and easily downloadable on the online platform. The Materials and Preparation Tab links all text sets, laboratory instructions, and other print materials for each lesson, which are free to download and print. Required materials are clearly identified along with practical substitutes or digital alternatives when possible. Materials also include preparation instructions, digital file formats, and printable versions for classroom use. Vendor packaging and certified partners offer comprehensive, classroom-ready kits specifically designed to</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>support the implementation of the materials. These kits are organized with features like lesson-bagging to streamline classroom implementation and are delivered in stackable, reusable totes for easy organization. Additionally, the available Kit Companion provides an online resource offering detailed information about kit contents, safety data sheets, and setup tutorials.</p>
	<p>Required 7b) Materials help students build an understanding of standard operating procedures in a science laboratory and include safety guidelines, procedures, and equipment. Science classroom and laboratory safety guidelines are embedded in the curriculum.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Materials help students build an understanding of standard operating procedures in a science laboratory and include safety guidelines, procedures, and equipment. Materials embed safety education into investigations, modeling real-world lab expectations. These include both general lab safety practices and activity-specific procedures, supporting students in learning how to safely operate in a science lab. The inclusion of Personal Protective Equipment requirements, chemical handling protocols, and setup safety across lessons ensures students develop a strong foundation in scientific lab safety and standard operating procedures. For example, in Unit 2, Ecosystems Matter and Energy, Lesson 2, during an investigation in which fuel is burned, materials provide students explicit safety guidelines, such as the following: “Wear safety goggles (indirectly vented chemical splash goggles), a non-latex apron, and nitrile gloves during setup, hands-on investigation, and take-down.” “Never taste any substance or chemical in the</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>lab." "Wash hands with soap and water immediately after completing the activity," and "Ensure that the classroom has engineering controls (eyewash station and shower) available." When students investigate the Earth's tilt in Lesson 5, explicit safety instructions include the following: "Wear indirectly vented chemical splash goggles, a non-latex apron, and nitrile gloves during the setup, hands-on, and takedown." "Use caution when working with glassware, clamp lights, and electrical receptacles," and "Ensure materials are handled away from ignition sources." Guidance reinforces the lab procedures throughout the investigation. Materials demonstrate safe heating of solutions and proper handling of reagents, as well as the proper use of chemical indicators (iodine) and reinforcement of safe disposal practices. In Unit 3, Inheritance and Variation of Traits, Lesson 9, students experiment with yeast to learn about the relationship between environmental factors and cancer. Students use wild-type and UV-sensitive yeast and compare how each population responds under various conditions. The Teacher's Edition for this unit includes generalized safety information, and the beginning of Lesson 9 outlines the safety precautions that should be observed. The included slide deck includes two Safety Considerations slides to share and discuss with students before beginning the experiment. The Lab Safety Requirements for Science Investigations section of the Unit 5</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			overview outlines necessary equipment, such as chemical splash goggles, non-latex gloves and aprons, and emphasizes that safety procedures must be reviewed prior to each investigation. The Teacher Guide explicitly directs teachers to follow safe storage and disposal instructions, including disposal of waste materials and safe management of sharp or glass components.
<p>8. ASSESSMENT:</p> <p>Materials offer assessment opportunities that genuinely measure progress and elicit direct, observable evidence of the degree to which students can independently demonstrate the assessed standards.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Required</p> <p>8a) Multiple types of formative and summative assessments (iterative student models, student-centered discussions, data analysis, self-reflection and peer feedback investigations, and projects) are embedded into unit materials and allow teachers to evaluate student progress toward demonstrating standards.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Multiple types of formative and summative assessments are embedded into content materials and assess the learning targets. Materials embed pre-assessments, formative assessments, self-assessments, and summative assessments throughout each unit. Each lesson provides formative assessment opportunities, including discussions, models, card sorts, simulations, laboratory investigations, and skills practice. Materials also include Transfer Tasks as summative assessments. For example, Unit 3, Inheritance and Variation in Traits, includes a pre-assessment in Lesson 1. Lessons 2-9 all provide opportunities for formative assessment through Progress Tracker Updates as well as other activities. In Lesson 3, students participate in a card sort activity and then apply that model to authentic photos of cells undergoing mitosis. Teachers can formatively assess student mastery by evaluating how well students sequence the photos to show the cell cycle and describe what each photo shows. In Lesson 5, students</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>create a Gotta-Have-It Checklist outlining the important details that should be included in their explanations of mutations and how they can cause cancer. Lesson 10 includes a Transfer Task in which students analyze data and pedigree charts to understand how lactase and celiac disease are inherited, which can be used as a summative assessment. Unit 4, Natural Selection and Evolution of Populations, Lesson 10 integrates both formative and summative assessment through the use of a DBQ check-in, a consensus discussion, and the Buckeye Plan Evaluation Tool. The DBQ serves as a reflective tool, encouraging students to revisit what they have figured out while also surfacing unresolved questions. The consensus discussion is a formative moment where students evaluate competing city plans using criteria developed earlier in the unit. This discussion directly informs the Buckeye Plan Evaluation Tool, a summative assessment where students finalize their written evaluation of the proposals. The unit culminates in a robust end-of-unit Transfer Task in which students apply their understanding of natural selection and genetic traits to a new context of banana plants engineered to resist fungal infection.</p>
	<p>Required 8b) Assessment items and tasks are structured on integration of the three dimensions and include opportunities to engage students in applying understanding to new contexts.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Assessment items and tasks are structured on the integration of the three dimensions and include opportunities to engage students in applying understanding to new contexts. Materials provide evidence that assessment</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>items and tasks are structured around the integration of the three dimensions of the LSSS and offer opportunities for students to apply understanding in new contexts. These embedded assessments progressively build conceptual understanding through modeling, simulations, investigations, and Transfer Tasks. The three dimensions are evident in every assessment, whereas the application of science concepts is mostly evident in the Transfer Tasks found in each unit. For example, in Unit 3, Inheritance and Variation of Traits, Lesson 3, students play the Cell Game, modeling how cell division is regulated by p53, and what happens when that regulation fails. The game incorporates the SEP, Developing and Using Models, the DCI, and HS.LS1B.a, and the CCC, Cause and Effect. Students interpret data and revise models to understand how cancer cells emerge due to disrupted regulation mechanisms. In Lesson 6, students physically model how DNA codes for proteins, focusing on how mutations in p53 affect function. This activity incorporates the SEPs, Using Models and Obtaining and Analyzing Information, the DCIs, and HS.LS1.A.b and HS.LS3.A.a, and the CCC, Structure and Function. Students use an electronic exit ticket to apply their learning to a new context involving gene mutation and protein impact. In Unit 4, Natural Selection and Evolution of Population, Lesson 3, students use a historical case study of rats developing poison resistance to construct explanations of natural</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>selection in urban environments (DCI, HS.LS4.B, HS.LS4.C). They interpret genetic and phenotypic data (SEP, Constructing Explanations) and reflect on the ethical implications of animal studies, connecting science to real-world decision-making (CCC, Cause and Effect). In Lesson 4, students explore behavioral adaptations in juncos using field and laboratory experimental data. They analyze statistical relationships between stress hormone (CORT) levels and exploratory behavior using CODAP, a real-world data tool (SEP, Analyzing and Interpreting Data). In the assessment tasks, students determine whether traits are inherited or learned (DCI, HS.LS4.B) and link outcomes to Stability and Change (CCC). The exit ticket includes both an individual reflection and a scientific argumentation, allowing teachers to assess three-dimensional understanding and students to internalize the value of data-driven inquiry. In Unit 5, Lesson 6, students use models to make predictions (SEP, Developing and Using Models) about sea ice and how this selective pressure will affect the survival of polar bears (CCC, Cause and Effect; DCI, HS.LS4C.c). In Lesson 9, students engage in a Transfer Task in which they analyze data (SEP, Analyzing and Interpreting Data) and make claims about the future of bumblebee populations worldwide (CCC, Scale, Proportion, and Quantity). They read about the role bumblebees play in the ecosystem and use data about their historic range to explain how a disease could spread</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			between populations and how pesticides affect mortality rates to evaluate claims about the future health of bumblebee populations worldwide (DCI, HS.LS4C.d).
	<p>8c) Scoring guidelines and rubrics align to performance expectations, and incorporate criteria that are specific, observable, and measurable.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Scoring guidelines and rubrics align with performance expectations and incorporate criteria that are specific, observable, and measurable. Each unit includes an Assessment System Overview that outlines assessment opportunities in each lesson, including a Performance Expectation and Assessment Guidance that reference the related DCIs, CCCs, and SEPs. Provided keys give specific answers, look-fors, and exemplar answers. Materials also provide in-the-moment guidance for teachers on what to look for and listen for during instruction, helping educators identify key evidence of student progress toward mastery of the objectives. These embedded prompts appear across lessons as part of formative assessment opportunities. For example, in Unit 1, Ecosystem Interactions and Dynamics, Lesson 3, as students analyze the wildebeest data cards, guidance prompts teachers to look and listen for specific scientific patterns students uncover, such as bone marrow health, anthrax timing, and fat content. The Teacher Edition provides the following guidance for what teachers should look for/listen for in the moment: “Live wildebeest have a higher percentage of fat in their bone marrow than dead wildebeest;” “June and July were the only months where</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>anthrax was found in the population;” and “There was no difference of anthrax infection percentages between living and dead wildebeest.” It also provides the following guidance for what to do: “Use the Data Cards Patterns Key to provide feedback,” and to ask, “What empirical evidence did you find to help explain why the wildebeest migrate?” At the end of Unit 2, Ecosystem, Matter and Energy, Lesson 4, students complete an exit ticket. The provided key includes the assessed Performance Expectation and aligns each question with the SEP, CCC, and/or DCI it evaluates. For the multiple choice questions, the key provides the correct response with a rationale and suggestions for how to support students who struggle with the skills or concepts required to correctly answer the question. For open-ended responses, the key includes what teachers should look for in student responses and offers suggestions for scaffolds. In Lesson 6, students write an explanation of how zombie fires burn under the ice. The associated rubric describes what teachers should look for to identify each level of response (Foundational Pieces, Linked Understanding, and Organized Understanding) along with exemplar responses, suggested feedback, and suggested instructional support for each level of response. In Lesson 12, students complete a Transfer Task in which they develop models to explain the flow of matter and energy in the Gulf of America’s dead zone. The associated key provides the</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>aligned Performance Expectation and outlines the assessed SEP, CCC, and DCI, and indicates which questions align with each dimension. Like the rubric for the explanation in Lesson 6, it provides rationales for multiple choice questions, look-fors for each level of response with exemplar student responses for constructed responses, and suggestions for feedback and support for each level of response. In Unit 5, Common Ancestry and Speciation, Lesson 6, the Future Predictions activity and associated exit ticket directly tie to lesson-level Performance Expectations that integrate all three dimensions of the standards. These assessments measure students' ability to interpret predictive sea ice models (DCI: HS.LS4.C.b), analyze bear mating behavior data to reason about hybridization, and use models to explain how genetic variation could be passed on to hybrid offspring (SEP, Developing and Using Models; CCC, Cause and Effect). The accompanying scoring guidance prompts teachers to evaluate specific reasoning patterns in student responses, such as accurate interpretation of future environmental conditions and logical predictions based on evidence. Rubrics include clear, measurable criteria such as model accuracy and inclusion of genetic mechanisms, which allow for objective evaluation of student understanding.</p>

FINAL EVALUATION
Tier 1 ratings receive a “Yes” for all Non-Negotiable Criteria and a “Yes” for each of the Additional Criteria of Superior Quality.

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
<p>Tier 2 ratings receive a “Yes” for all Non-Negotiable Criteria, but at least one “No” for the Additional Criteria of Superior Quality. Tier 3 ratings receive a “No” for at least one of the Non-Negotiable Criteria.</p>			
<p>Compile the results for Sections I and II to make a final decision for the material under review.</p>			
Section	Criteria	Yes/No	Final Justification/Comments
I: Non-Negotiable Criteria of Superior Quality²	1. Three-dimensional Learning	Yes	Materials are designed so that students develop scientific content knowledge and scientific skills through interacting with the three dimensions of the science standards. The majority of the materials engage students in integrating the science and engineering practices (SEP), crosscutting concepts (CCC), and disciplinary core ideas (DCI) to support deeper learning.
	2. Phenomenon-Based Instruction	Yes	Observing and explaining phenomena and designing solutions provide the purpose and opportunity for students to engage in a coherent sequence of learning a majority of the time. Materials are designed to provide sufficient opportunities for students to design and engage in investigations at a level appropriate to their grade band to explain phenomena. Materials provide frequent opportunities for students to make meaningful connections to their own knowledge and experiences as well as those of their community during sense-making about the phenomena.

² Must score a “Yes” for all Non-Negotiable Criteria to receive a Tier 1 or Tier 2 rating.

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
	3. Alignment and Accuracy	Yes	The majority of the Louisiana Student Standards for Science (16 out of 20) are incorporated to the full depth of the standards. The total amount of content is viable for a school year. Science content is accurate, reflecting the most current and widely accepted explanations. In any one grade or course, instructional materials spend minimal time on content outside of the course, grade, or grade band.
	4. Disciplinary Literacy	Yes	Students regularly engage with authentic sources that represent the language and style used and produced by scientists. Students regularly engage in speaking and writing about scientific phenomena and engineering solutions using authentic science sources. There is variability in the tasks that students are required to execute. Materials provide a coherent sequence of authentic science sources that build scientific vocabulary and knowledge over the course of study. Vocabulary is addressed as needed in the materials but not taught in isolation from deeper scientific learning.
II: Additional Criteria of Superior Quality³	5. Learning Progressions	Yes	The lessons within and across each unit are organized to support learning through a natural progression. Students apply mathematical thinking when applicable.
	6. Scaffolding and Support	Yes	There are separate teacher support materials provided. Teacher support materials include

³ Must score a “Yes” for all Additional Criteria of Superior Quality to receive a Tier 1 rating.

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			guidance to ensure that students experience phenomena, design solutions, and apply scientific knowledge and skills in a way that is developmentally appropriate. Appropriate suggestions and materials are provided for differentiated instruction supporting varying student needs at the unit and lesson level.
	7. Usability	Yes	Text sets (when applicable), laboratory, and other scientific materials are readily accessible through vendor packaging or certified partners. Materials help students build an understanding of standard operating procedures in a science laboratory and include safety guidelines, procedures, and equipment.
	8. Assessment	Yes	Multiple types of formative and summative assessments are embedded into content materials and assess the learning targets. Assessment items and tasks are structured on the integration of the three dimensions and include opportunities to engage students in applying understanding to new contexts. Scoring guidelines and rubrics align with performance expectations and incorporate criteria that are specific, observable, and measurable.
FINAL DECISION FOR THIS MATERIAL: <u>Tier 1, Exemplifies quality</u>			

Academic Content

Instructional Materials Evaluation Tool (IMET) for Alignment in Science Grades K-12 Full Curriculum

Strong science instruction requires that students:

- Apply content knowledge to explain real world phenomena and to design solutions,
- Investigate, evaluate, and reason scientifically, and
- Connect ideas across disciplines.

Title: OpenSciEd, Carolina Certified Version

Grade/Course: Chemistry

Publisher: Carolina Biological Supply Company

Copyright: 2025

Overall Rating: Tier 1, Exemplifies quality

Tier 1, Tier 2, Tier 3 Elements of this review:

STRONG	WEAK
1. Three-dimensional Learning (Non-Negotiable)	
2. Phenomenon-Based Instruction (Non-Negotiable)	
3. Alignment & Accuracy (Non-Negotiable)	
4. Disciplinary Literacy (Non-Negotiable)	
5. Learning Progressions	
6. Scaffolding and Support	
7. Usability	
8. Assessment	

To evaluate instructional materials for alignment with the standards and determine tiered rating, begin with **Section I: Non-Negotiable Criteria**.

- Review the **required**¹ Indicators of Superior Quality for each **Non-Negotiable** criterion.
- If there is a “Yes” for all **required** Indicators of Superior Quality, materials receive a “Yes” for that **Non-Negotiable** criterion.
- If there is a “No” for any of the **required** Indicators of Superior Quality, materials receive a “No” for that **Non-Negotiable** criterion.
- Materials must meet **Non-Negotiable** Criteria 1 and 2 for the review to continue to **Non-Negotiable** Criteria 3 and 4. Materials must meet all of the **Non-Negotiable** Criteria 1-4 in order for the review to continue to Section II.
- If materials receive a “No” for any **Non-Negotiable** criterion, a rating of Tier 3 is assigned, and the review does not continue.

If all Non-Negotiable Criteria are met, then continue to **Section II: Additional Criteria of Superior Quality**.

- Review the **required** Indicators of Superior Quality for each criterion.
- If there is a “Yes” for all **required** Indicators of Superior Quality, then the materials receive a “Yes” for the additional criteria.
- If there is a “No” for any **required** Indicator of Superior Quality, then the materials receive a “No” for the additional criteria.

Tier 1 ratings receive a “Yes” for all Non-Negotiable Criteria and a “Yes” for each of the Additional Criteria of Superior Quality.

Tier 2 ratings receive a “Yes” for all Non-Negotiable Criteria, but at least one “No” for the Additional Criteria of Superior Quality.

Tier 3 ratings receive a “No” for at least one of the Non-Negotiable Criteria.

¹ **Required Indicators of Superior Quality** are labeled “**Required**” and shaded light orange. Remaining indicators that are shaded white are included to provide additional information to aid in material selection and do not affect tiered rating.

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
<p>SECTION I: NON-NEGOTIABLE CRITERIA OF SUPERIOR QUALITY Materials must meet Non-Negotiable Criteria 1 and 2 for the review to continue to Non-Negotiable Criteria 3 and 4. Materials must meet all of the Non-Negotiable Criteria 1-4 in order for the review to continue to Section II.</p>			
<p>Non-Negotiable 1. THREE-DIMENSIONAL LEARNING:</p> <p>Students have multiple opportunities throughout each unit to develop an understanding and demonstrate application of the three dimensions.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Required 1a) Materials are designed so that students develop scientific content knowledge and scientific skills through interacting with the three dimensions of the science standards. The majority of the materials engage students in integrating the science and engineering practices (SEP), crosscutting concepts (CCC), and disciplinary core ideas (DCI) to support deeper learning.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The instructional materials are designed so that students develop scientific content knowledge and scientific skills through interacting with the three dimensions of the science standards. The majority of the materials engage students in integrating the science and engineering practices (SEP), crosscutting concepts (CCC), and disciplinary core ideas (DCI) to support deeper learning. For example, in Unit C.1, Thermodynamics in Earth Systems, Lesson 3, students investigate how CO₂ levels in the atmosphere can influence temperatures. During the lesson, students engage in Planning and Carrying Out Investigations (SEP) to determine if an increase in CO₂ levels could increase the temperature of the air within a bottle. After Analyzing and Interpreting Data (SEP) from the experiment, students create an energy transfer model (SEP, Developing and Using Models) to explain the energy flow through the system (DCI, HS.PS3B.a, HS.PS3B.b; CCC, System and System Models). In Unit C.3, Molecular Processes in Earth’s Systems, Lesson 10, students determine why water is needed in so many reactions. During the lesson, students critically read a scientific article explaining how copper can be cleaned from water by forming a precipitate. Students</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>then model (SEP, Developing and Using Models) reactions explaining how intermolecular forces between water and certain ions allow for the observed cleaning reaction to occur (DCI, HS.PS2B.c). Students then model a variety of reactions with water to determine similarities and differences in reactions (CCC, Patterns). In Unit C.4, Chemical Reactions in Our World, Lesson 6, students determine how acidic water can become less acidic again. During the lesson, students engage in Planning and Carrying Out Investigations (SEP) using the Explore Water and Carbon Dioxide Interactions Simulation to determine how acidic water can become less acidic. Students use the results to argue (SEP, Engaging in Argument from Evidence) that a reversible reaction was taking place that reaches an equilibrium state (DCI, HS.PS1B.b; CCC, Stability and Change). Later in the lesson, students analyze acid base reactions to determine acid-base bonding Patterns (CCC). In Lesson 8, students continue to explore acid-base neutralization reactions by modeling chemical equations and investigating (SEP, Planning and Carrying Out Investigations) to determine how ratios in balanced chemical equations are particle-number ratios. Students apply a mathematical model (SEP, Using Mathematics and Computational Thinking) using molar masses and particle-number ratios to predict the amount of a base needed to neutralize an acid (DCI, HS.PS1B.c; CCC, Energy and Matter).</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
<p>Non-Negotiable 2. PHENOMENON-BASED INSTRUCTION:</p> <p>Explaining phenomenon and designing solutions drive student learning.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Required 2a) Observing and explaining phenomena and designing solutions provide the purpose and opportunity for students to engage in a coherent sequence of learning a majority of the time. Phenomena provide students with authentic opportunities to ask questions and define problems, as well as purpose to incrementally build understanding through the lessons that follow.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Observing and explaining phenomena and designing solutions provide the purpose and opportunity for students to engage in learning a majority of the time. Phenomena in the form of common experiences at the beginning of each unit provide students with authentic opportunities to ask questions and define problems to motivate learning about the core ideas of the unit. This provides the purpose for students to engage in the investigations and incrementally build understanding through the lessons that follow as they work towards figuring out the phenomenon. The organization of unit phenomena provides students with a purposeful reason to engage in sense-making, asking questions, and refining scientific understanding through coherent sequences of investigations and activities. For example, in Unit C.3, Molecular Processes in Earth’s Systems, students engage in a variety of activities to explore the anchor phenomenon, “How can we find, make, and recycle the substances we need to live on and beyond Earth?” Lesson Set questions engage students in the unit’s Storyline. In Lesson Set 1, “How does water support life and chemical reactions?” students explore planetary surface features to investigate water and its unique structure and function. Investigative phenomena within each Lesson Set narrows students’ focus to develop understanding of science concepts. For example, in Lesson 4, within the first Lesson Set, the question “How and why do water and other liquids interact</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>with materials to form surface features?” emerges from students’ prior observations of Earth, Moon, and Mars terrain. This lesson introduces the puzzling idea that water may have created surface features on Mars, prompting investigations. Students engage in hands-on labs to compare how water and other liquids interact with materials and use molecular models to explain differences in polarity and erosion. This phenomenon leads to purposeful student questioning as they ask: “Why does water create different results than other liquids?” The materials continuously provide opportunities for students to revisit the anchor phenomena throughout the units. For example, in Unit C.5, Energy from Chemical and Nuclear Reactions, students investigate the phenomenon “How can chemistry help us evaluate fuels and transportation options to benefit the Earth and our communities?” At the end of each lesson, students add evidence acquired during lesson explorations to their progress trackers as well as ask questions to deepen their understanding of the phenomenon. For example, in Lesson 3, students explore differences between diesel and gasoline engines. Students add concepts, such as energy input is needed to ignite carbon-based fuel, to their progress trackers. In Lesson 6, students examine changes in matter, total kinetic energy, and total energy stored in fields over time as bonds of different strengths break and form. The teacher guides students to answer the question, “How does</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
	<p>Required 2b) Materials are designed to provide sufficient opportunities for students to design and engage in investigations at a level appropriate to their grade band to explain phenomena. This includes testing theories or models, generating data, and using reasoning and scientific ideas to provide evidence to support claims.</p>	Yes	<p>what we figured out help us explain the energy we get from combusting fuels?”</p> <p>Materials are designed to provide sufficient opportunities for students to design and engage in investigations at a level appropriate to their grade band to explain phenomena. Students not only participate in question-driven activities, but they also develop and refine hypotheses, collect and analyze quantitative data, construct explanations using scientific reasoning, and connect findings to broader scientific concepts, all aligned with Louisiana Student Standards for Science (LSSS) expectations for the high school grade band. Importantly, these investigations are not isolated or simplified tasks; they reflect authentic science practices and are designed to build students’ understanding of core disciplinary ideas through iterative, evidence-based reasoning. For example, in Unit C.1, Thermodynamics in Earth Systems, Lesson 11, students investigate how heat affects the rate of ice melting. During this lesson, students design and conduct a hands-on investigation (SEP, Planning and Carrying Out Investigations) measuring both the temperature change of the water and mass change of the melting ice. Students develop a best-fit line and use this data to develop an equation that will tell how much ice will melt if a certain amount of energy is transferred to a mass of ice. (SEP, Using Mathematics and Computational</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>Thinking; DCIs HS.PS3.B; CCC, Systems and Systems Models). In Unit C.4, Chemical Reactions in Our World, Lesson 9, students engage in Using Mathematics and Computational Thinking (SEP) to determine how much NaOH should be added to the ocean to make the water safe for oysters. During the lesson, students use pH to calculate current and ideal H⁺ concentrations at an oyster hatchery and calculate the number of moles of H⁺ that must be neutralized to bring pH to safe levels for baby oysters (DCI, HS.PS1B.b; CCC, Scale, Proportion, and Quantity). In Lesson 11, students investigate which factors influence the time it takes for oysters to build their shells. During the lesson, students engage in Planning and Carrying Out Investigations (SEP) to determine how temperature and concentration might influence how much product a reaction makes in a given time (DCI, HS.PS1B.a; CCC, Cause and Effect, Patterns). From the results of the experiment, students create a reaction rate model and use this model (SEP, Developing and Using Models) to identify how adding calcium carbonate provides plenty of carbonate ions for oysters and slightly reverses acidification (DCI, HS.PS1B.b; SEP, Analyzing and Interpreting Data; CCC, Stability and Change).</p>
	<p>2c) Materials provide frequent opportunities for students to make meaningful connections to their own knowledge and experiences as well as those of their community during sense-making</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The materials consistently encourage students to connect scientific content and phenomena to their own lives, experiences, and communities. These connections are</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
	about the phenomena.		<p>embedded into the structure of the investigations and discussions, offering students opportunities to reflect on real-world scenarios, evaluate safety in everyday environments, and consider the societal and ethical implications of science and technology. Students engage in personal sense-making that builds on what they already know or care about, supporting deeper engagement with science practices. For example, in Unit C.2, Structures and Properties of Matter, the anchor phenomenon, “What causes lightning and why are some places safer than others when it strikes?” engages students to use data and structural models to evaluate why common structures in their lives, such as cars or homes, offer protection from lightning creating a meaningful bridge between abstract scientific models and their everyday environments. In Lesson 12, students brainstorm places they consider safe or unsafe during lightning events, drawing directly from their personal experiences and community settings. This investigation focuses on real-world safety guidance provided by a National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) poster and familiar settings, such as homes, buildings, and outdoor spaces, reinforcing the relevance of particle-level understanding of conductors and insulators to student and community safety. In Unit C.3, Molecular Processes in Earth’s Systems, the anchor phenomenon “How can we find, make, and recycle the substances we need to live on</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>and beyond Earth?” engages students in developing questions about how to find, make and recycle the substances needed for living off Earth and on it. In Lesson 15, students engage with arguments about feasibility and impact of space colonization, which invites personal reflection and ethical consideration. The lesson is deeply rooted in issues that students can relate to, such as resource limitations, trade-offs, ethics, and the implications of science on societal decision-making. Students evaluate real-world constraints and ethical considerations about space exploration and survival, applying their understanding of chemistry and conservation of matter to a context that invites discussion of current events, future scenarios, and community perspectives. In Unit C.5, Energy from Chemical and Nuclear Reactions, the anchor phenomenon, “How can chemistry help us evaluate fuels and transportation options to benefit the Earth and Our Communities?” motivates students to evaluate different energy sources such as fossil fuels, biofuel, batteries, and nuclear to determine which is better for the environment. In Lessons 13-15, students evaluate transportation systems and use engineering thinking to determine the larger social impact of fuels including costs, availability, safety, and impacts on Earth Systems.</p>
Non-Negotiable 3. ALIGNMENT AND	Required 3a) The majority of the Louisiana Student	Yes	The majority (7 out of 11) of the Louisiana Student Standards for Science (LSSS) are

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
<p>ACCURACY:</p> <p>Materials adequately address the Louisiana Student Standards for Science.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Standards for Science are incorporated, to the full depth of the standards.</p>		<p>incorporated to the full depth of the standards. Standards not fully addressed in the materials include the following: LSSS HS-PS1-2, HS-PS1-8, HS-PS2-6, and HS-PS3-3. While the materials do not fully integrate all of the DCIs from the LSSS listed, they do integrate and provide the opportunity for students to develop the identified SEPs and CCCs throughout multiple units. For LSSS HS-PS1-2, DCI HS.PS1B.c is partially addressed. Chemical Reactions such as decomposition, neutralization, combustion and synthesis reactions are thoroughly explored throughout the curriculum but single and double displacement reactions are not introduced. Constructing Explanations and Designing Solutions (SEP) is supported and practiced in several units, including Unit C.2, Structures and Properties of Matter, Unit C.3, Molecular Processes in Earth’s Systems, Unit C.4, Chemical Reactions in Our World, and Unit C.5, Energy from Chemical and Nuclear Reactions. Energy and Matter (CCC) is deeply integrated in Unit C.1, Thermodynamics in Earth Systems, Unit C.2, Structures and Properties of Matter, and Unit C.5, Energy from Chemical and Nuclear Reactions. For LSSS HS-PS1-8, DCI HS.PS1.C.a is partially addressed. The only nuclear process introduced is nuclear fission. Radioactive decay and fusion are not explored. Developing and Using Models (SEP) is supported in practice in all the units. Energy and Matter (CCC) is deeply integrated in Unit C.1, Thermodynamics in Earth Systems, Unit</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>C.2, Structures and Properties of Matter, and Unit C.5, Energy from Chemical and Nuclear Reactions. For LSSS HS-PS2-6, DCI HS.PS4B.c is partially addressed. Students investigate transmission of diffracted light and analyze a relative light intensity versus color. Photoelectric materials are not explored. Obtaining, Evaluating, and Communicating Information (SEP) is supported in practice in all units. Structure and Functions (CCC) is integrated in Unit C.2, Structure and Properties of Matter, and Unit C.3, Molecular Processes in Earth’s Systems units. For LSSS HS-PS3-3, DCI HS.ETS1A.a is partially addressed. Students problem solve considering criteria and constraints without risk mitigation. Constructing Explanations and Designing Solutions (SEP) is supported in practice in several units, including Unit C.2, Structures and Properties of Matter, Unit C.3, Molecular Processes in Earth’s Systems, Unit C.4, Chemical Reactions in Our World, and Unit C.5, Energy from Chemical and Nuclear Reactions. Energy and Matter (CCC) is deeply integrated in Unit C.1, Thermodynamics in Earth Systems, Unit C.2, Structures and Properties of Matter, and Unit C.5, Energy from Chemical and Nuclear Reactions.</p>
	<p>Required 3b) The total amount of content is viable for a school year.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The total amount of content is viable for a school year. The materials include a comprehensive sequence of five units that collectively provide sufficient instructional time to meet or exceed the minutes required</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>for high school courses. Lessons typically run two to three days each, with entire units spanning several weeks, totaling 155 days of instruction. Each unit includes instructional suggestions for lengthening or shortening lessons as needed to accommodate differences in required coverage of the LSSS for Chemistry or days available for instruction. The pacing is provided in the Lesson Overview for each lesson of each unit. The Learning Plan Snapshots provide suggested time needed for each portion of the lessons in minutes. Time suggested is adequate for a lesson that has no interruptions or need for more student practice.</p>
	<p>Required 3c) Science content is accurate, reflecting the most current and widely accepted explanations.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The science content is accurate, up-to-date, and aligned with the most current and widely accepted explanations. No evidence of incorrect or out-of-date science explanations could be found. The materials consistently align with accepted DCIs of the LSSS for Chemistry. Lessons integrate accurate models, simulations, and lab investigations that represent current explanations in chemistry, energy systems, and nuclear processes. The materials use up-to-date contexts such as climate modeling, ocean acidification, and renewable versus nuclear energy to connect disciplinary content with modern scientific applications. For example, in Unit C.4, Chemical Reactions in Our World, Lesson 1, students analyze current NOAA temperature and ocean acidity maps. In Unit C.5, Energy</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			from Chemical and Nuclear Reactions, Lesson 1, students analyze fuel cards with current CO ₂ emission and transportation usage.
	3d) In any one grade or course, instructional materials spend minimal time on content outside of the course, grade, or grade-band.	Yes	Overall, the instructional materials spend minimal time on content outside of the course, grade, or grade-band. Time spent on content outside of the course serves to maintain coherence in relation to the phenomenon, brief reviews, or contextual connections utilized for reinforcement. For example, in Unit C.1, Thermodynamics in Earth Systems, Lessons 9 and 13, students apply mathematical modeling and system analysis to glacial melting and climate modeling, which remain fully grounded in the expectations of LSSS HS-PS1-3 of energy transfer and conservation. The use of prior knowledge about density and convection serves only as review keeping instruction within grade-band content. However, several units take an integrated science approach to develop understanding of the anchor phenomena. For example, in Unit C.3, Molecular Processes in Earth's System, a large portion of the unit is focused on LSSS for Environmental Science, including the following: LSSS HS-ESS 1-2, HS-ESS2-1, and HS-ESS2-5. In Unit C.4, Chemical Reactions in Our World, only a short portion of the unit fully addresses LSSS HS-PS1-5, HS-PS1-6, HS-PS1-7. The majority of the unit focuses on the following standards: LSSS HS-ESS2-6, HS-ESS3-4, HS-ETS1-1, and HS-ETS1-2, which are outside the chemistry course.

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
<p>Non-Negotiable 4. DISCIPLINARY LITERACY:</p> <p>Materials have students engage with authentic sources and incorporate speaking, reading, and writing to develop scientific literacy.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Required *Indicator for grades 4-12 only 4a) Students regularly engage with authentic sources that represent the language and style that is used and produced by scientists; e.g., journal excerpts, authentic data, photographs, sections of lab reports, and media releases of current science research. Frequency of engagement with authentic sources should increase in higher grade levels and courses.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Students regularly engage with authentic sources that represent the language and style used and produced by scientists in the form of authentic photographs, satellite images, media releases and authentic data sets. Students also regularly investigate concepts with science simulations. The progression across units ensures that students frequently analyze and evaluate scientific texts, data tables, and visual models in ways that align with authentic scientific practices. This approach allows students to develop literacy in the discipline while building proficiency in interpreting real-world sources. For example, in Unit C.2, Structures and Properties of Matter, Lesson 13, students analyze authentic conductivity data to test models of ionic bonding engaging with raw data in a format used by chemists. Similarly, in Unit C.3, Molecular Processes in Earth’s Systems, Lesson 3, students analyze NASA satellite images of Earth, Mars, and the Moon to look for patterns in surface features and make claims on whether these features were due to water. In Lesson 4, students analyze images generated by NASA Planetary Spectrum Generator to determine gasses found in various planet atmospheres.</p>
	<p>Required 4b) Students regularly engage in speaking and writing about scientific phenomena and engineering solutions using authentic science sources; e.g., authentic data, models, lab investigations, or journal excerpts. Materials</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Students regularly engage in speaking and writing about scientific phenomena and engineering solutions using authentic sources. Materials address the necessity of using scientific evidence to support ideas. The materials provide frequent and structured</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
	address the necessity of using scientific evidence to support scientific ideas.		<p>opportunities for students to articulate their scientific reasoning both orally and in writing. Students use authentic data, models, and investigations to develop claims and support them with evidence. Students regularly engage in Driving Question Boards, allowing all students to provide questions that help guide their learning experience. Students regularly engage in productive science discourse to generate driving questions, build understanding, and come to consensus. They also present and revise designs, gather evidence from multiple sources, and explain findings. These practices ensure that communication is rooted in the style and reasoning of scientists, strengthening students' ability to explain phenomena and evaluate engineering solutions. The integration of speaking and writing tasks across units also emphasizes evidence-based discourse as a key element of science learning. For example, in Unit C.2, Structures and Properties of Matter, Lesson 13, students plan investigations on ionic conductivity and then write explanations and engage in peer argumentation using collected data and models, requiring them to support claims with authentic evidence from lab work. Similarly, in Unit C.3, Molecular Processes in Earth's Systems, Lesson 10, students read scientific texts about water-cleaning reactions, construct explanations, and then revise their arguments based on peer feedback, highlighting explicit engagement in written scientific discourse. In Unit C.5, Energy</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>from Chemical and Nuclear Reactions, Lessons 13-15, students create a transportation decision matrix and use it to evaluate transportation solutions to reduce carbon emissions. Students analyze transportation cards to identify which fuels or transportation solutions will most likely be used in the future and evaluate the solutions using the transportation decision matrix. Students develop arguments for what they see as the best options for their transportation solution that will minimize negative environmental impacts.</p>
	<p>Required 4c) There is variability in the tasks that students are required to execute. For example, students are asked to produce solutions to problems, models of phenomena, explanations of theory development, and conclusions from investigations.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Materials provide variability in the tasks that students execute. The materials consistently include a variety of tasks that require students to think and work like scientists and engineers. Lessons do not confine students to a single type of activity but, instead, task students to solve real-world problems, create and revise models, design solutions, and draw evidence-based conclusions from investigations. This variability ensures that learning goes beyond rote memorization and supports the development of transferable scientific practices and concepts. By alternating between modeling, investigating, explaining, and designing tasks, the materials reflect the authentic processes of scientific exploration and engineering design. For example, in Unit C.3, Molecular Processes in Earth's Systems, Lesson 9, students describe bond characteristics in salt, wood, and metal and</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>consider salt substitutes in people’s diets. On Day 1, students analyze a periodic table and related electronegativities to identify substitute atoms and determine bond character and polarity of different molecules. Students engage with manipulatives to visualize and predict the relative shift of electrons in different bonds. On Day 2, students observe models and construct an explanation for how sila-ibuproen could be a substitute medicine for ibuprofen. In Unit C.4, Chemical Reactions in Our World, Lesson 6, students investigate how acidic water could become less acidic. On Day 2, students plan and carry out an investigation using the simulation Water and Carbon Dioxide Interactions Investigation to determine how acidic substances can become more basic. Students revise the carbon cycle model to include reversible reactions. On Day 3, students analyze acid-base bonding patterns to determine the relationship between bond strength, stability, and reversibility of reactions. Lastly, in Unit C.5, Energy from Chemical and Nuclear Reactions, Lesson 3, students model the combustion process in diesel engines to illustrate how changes in bond energy drive energy release. While in Lesson 14, they expand this understanding to evaluating energy trade-offs across fuel sources, requiring them to design, evaluate, and refine solutions that connect molecular science to societal decisions.</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
	<p>Required 4d) Materials provide a coherent sequence of learning experiences that build scientific vocabulary and knowledge over the course of study. Vocabulary is addressed as needed in the materials but not taught in isolation of deeper scientific learning.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The instructional materials consistently introduce vocabulary within the context of scientific investigations and conceptual development rather than in isolation. Students first engage with phenomena, models, or data to build an understanding of the concept, and only then are scientific terms introduced and reinforced. This ensures that vocabulary is rooted in meaning and connected directly to deeper learning, not simply memorization. The sequence across units is coherent, allowing students to revisit and refine their understanding of terms in connection with increasingly complex applications. For example, in Unit C.2, Structures and Properties of Matter, Lesson 13, students investigate ionic conductivity using lab setups before formally naming terms such as ionic compound and electrolyte, demonstrating that vocabulary is introduced only after conceptual exploration. Similarly, in Unit C.4, Chemical Reactions in Our World, Lesson 8, students apply a mathematical model using molar masses and particle-number ratios to predict the amount of base needed to neutralize an acid and carry out a second neutralization investigation to test their model. Materials introduce vocabulary, such as mole, molar mass, molarity, and stoichiometry, as students learn to apply the mathematical models. Lastly, in Unit C.5, Energy from Chemical and Nuclear Reactions, Lesson 6, students model breaking and forming bonds with magnet marble systems. Then, they further investigate kinetic</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			energy of particles and energy stored through the simulation Breaking and Forming Bonds to develop the concept of activation energy.
SECTION II: ADDITIONAL CRITERIA OF SUPERIOR QUALITY			
<p>5. LEARNING PROGRESSIONS:</p> <p>The materials adequately address Appendix A: Learning Progressions. They are coherent and provide natural connections to other performance expectations, including science and engineering practices, crosscutting concepts, and disciplinary core ideas; the content complements the Louisiana Student Standards for Math.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Required</p> <p>5a) The overall organization of the materials and the development of disciplinary core ideas, science and engineering practices, and crosscutting concepts are coherent within and across units. The progression of learning is coordinated over time, clear, and organized to prevent student misunderstanding and supports student mastery of the performance expectations.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The overall organization of the materials and the development of the disciplinary core ideas, science and engineering practices, and crosscutting concepts are coherent within and across units and are organized to support learning through a natural progression. Students engage with and build understanding of the three dimensions of the standards at increasing levels of complexity and sophistication and engage in a coherent progression of learning that is coordinated over time, clear, and organized. This supports student proficiency of the Performance Expectations and prevents misunderstanding as it flows in a coherent sequence. The materials demonstrate a coherent organization that builds students' understanding of complex phenomena through carefully sequenced activities aligned with LSSS. Each unit begins with an anchoring phenomenon, followed by activities, such as developing models, asking questions, and analyzing data, supporting a natural progression of learning that emphasizes investigation and conceptual understanding. The organization supports student proficiency by scaffolding knowledge and skills across the units, ensuring that DCIs, SEPs, and CCCs are interconnected and built upon each other over time. For example, in Unit</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>C.4, Chemical Reactions in Our World, the design of the lessons support students in building knowledge about ocean acidification, acid-base reactions, and Le Chatelier's principle to solve the problem of oyster larvae die-offs. In Lessons 1-7, students investigate acids and bases and use a computational model to figure out how acidification can naturally reverse due to shifts in chemical equilibrium. In Lessons 8-11, students develop a mathematical model that allows them to determine how much of a base is needed to neutralize an acid. Students then apply their model to adding bases to oyster tanks to restore a healthy pH. Finally, students investigate how adding higher concentrations of carbonate compounds and other factors could impact the reaction rate of shell building. In Lessons 12-15, students establish more specific criteria and constraints for the problem of oyster larvae dying from increased ocean acidity as well as develop solutions to this problem. In Unit C.5, Energy from Chemical and Nuclear Reactions, the lesson design supports students in building knowledge about combustion reactions, energy transfers, and nuclear energy to evaluate different energy sources for transportation purposes with little environmental impact. In Lessons 1-8, students analyze data from physical and computational models to explore how carbon-based fuels get energy from breaking and forming bonds as energy transfers. In Lessons 9-12, students investigate where energy comes from in fuels</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			such as biofuels, batteries, hydrogen, and uranium. In the final lesson set, Lessons 13-15, students develop arguments for what they see as the best options for their transportation solution that will minimize negative environmental impacts.
	<p>5b) Students apply grade-appropriate mathematical thinking in meaningful ways, when applicable. They are not introduced to math skills that are beyond or far below the applicable grade level expectations in the Louisiana Student Standards for Mathematics. Preferably, math connections are made explicit through clear references to the math standards, specifically in teacher materials.</p>	Yes	<p>Students apply grade-appropriate mathematical thinking when applicable. Across the majority of the materials, lesson content does not introduce students to math skills that go beyond or far below the Louisiana Student Standards for Mathematics (LSSM). Students regularly apply mathematics skills and understanding to engage in Using Mathematics and Computational Thinking (SEP) appropriately in the context of their learning. For example, in Unit C.1, Thermodynamics in Earth’s Systems, Lesson 4, students apply ratios and unit conversions to develop a mathematical model to figure out the impact on sea level if Greenland and Antarctica’s ice melted. In Lesson 6, students plan an investigation to determine how microbeads prevent ice melt and use mathematical representations of the microbeads to make a claim about cost-effectiveness of this solution. In Lesson 10, students plan and carry out an investigation to measure energy transfer between warm and cool water using Liquid-Liquid Investigation simulations. From the data collected, students create mass vs temperature change graphs to aid them in determining that the area of the</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>graphs represents energy. Students conclude that energy is conserved as energy is transferred. Later in the lesson, students build a mathematical model that can be used to predict temperature changes. Lastly, students utilize data from the Energy Transfer between Different Substances simulation to build a quantitative model to represent the relationship between mass, temperature change, and energy transfer in the system. In Lesson 11, students plan and conduct an investigation to measure both the temperature change of the water and the mass change of melting ice as well as determine the slope of the best-fit line of the data that shows 80 calories of energy are required to melt 1 gram of ice. These lessons incorporate the following LSSM: A1: N-Q.A.1, A1: N-Q.A.2, A1: F-IF.B.6, A1: S-ID.B.6b, A1: S-ID.B.6c, and A1: S-ID.C.7. In Unit C.4, Chemical Reactions in Our World, Lesson 8, students argue that ratios in balanced acid-base neutralization reactions are mass ratios. They test this model to determine that ratios in a balanced chemical equation are particle-number ratios. Students then calculate the masses of different particles and apply a mathematical model using molar masses and particle-number ratios to predict the amount of base needed to neutralize an acid and carry out a second neutralization. In Lesson 9, students Use Mathematics and Computational Thinking (SEP) to determine how much NaOH should be added to the ocean to make the water safe for</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			oysters. During the lesson, students use pH to calculate current and ideal H ⁺ concentrations at an oyster hatchery and calculate the number of moles of H ⁺ that must be neutralized to bring pH to safe levels for baby oysters. This lesson incorporates the LSSM A1: N-Q.A.1. Lastly, in Unit C.5, Energy from Chemical and Nuclear Reactions, Lesson 7, students create a mathematical representation of the energy transferred into fields to break and form bonds to determine that in some reactions more energy is transferred into than out of the system. This lesson also incorporates the LSSM A1: N-Q.A.1.
<p>6. SCAFFOLDING AND SUPPORT:</p> <p>Materials provide teachers with guidance to build their own knowledge and to give all students extensive opportunities and support to explore key concepts using multiple, varied experiences to build scientific thinking.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Required</p> <p>6a) There are separate teacher support materials including: scientific background knowledge, support in three-dimensional learning, learning progressions, strategies for addressing diverse emerging conceptions, guidance targeting speaking and writing in the science classroom (i.e., conversation guides, rubrics, exemplar student responses). Support also includes teacher guidance in the materials’ approach to phenomenon-based instruction and provides explicit guidance on how the materials address, build, and integrate the three dimensions.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Materials include the following separate teacher support material: scientific background knowledge, support in three-dimensional learning, learning progressions, strategies for addressing diverse emerging conceptions, and guidance targeting speaking and writing in the science classroom. Support also includes teacher guidance in the materials’ approach to phenomenon-based instruction and provides explicit guidance on how the materials address, build, and integrate the three dimensions. Support materials include, within each lesson, scientific background knowledge, support in three-dimensional learning, learning progressions, strategies for addressing diverse emerging conceptions, guidance targeting speaking and writing in the science classroom, 3-D Strategies sections that detail explicit</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>techniques for highlighting SEPs, DCIs, and CCCs further, and sample prompts and conversation guides for class discussions. For example in Unit C.1, Thermodynamics in Earth’s Systems, Lesson 3, Prep and Guidance, Learning Plan and Presentation, support materials prepare teachers with scientific background and step-by-step scaffolding for running investigations. Support materials also guide teachers on how to elicit hypotheses, design data tables with students, help students construct evidence-based explanations, and support the use of models. In Unit C.3, Molecular Processes in Earth’s Systems, Unit Overview, Unit Planning, the Unit Overview section provides teachers with background knowledge which includes the following: what the anchoring phenomenon is and why was it chosen; the LSSS developed within the unit; the mathematics required to fully access the unit’s learning experiences and strategies; lab safety procedures; scope and sequence suggestions; and guidance for word walls. Lastly, in Unit C.4, Chemical Reactions in Our World, Unit Overview, the Unit Planning section provides teachers with investigative material, an assessment overview, and the unit Storyline which offers quick guidance of the lesson including what the students will do, what they will figure out, and what the next lesson will address. In addition, for each lesson, the Prep and Guidance tab provides a detailed instructional plan which includes teacher prompts and</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
	<p>Required 6b) Teacher resources include educative resources that are designed to promote teacher learning and support the wide range of teachers who use the materials. Unit and lesson planning resources include explicit guidance designed to ensure that students experience phenomena, design solutions, and apply scientific knowledge and skills in ways that are aligned to the Louisiana Student Standards for Science and associated learning progressions.</p>	Yes	<p>possible student responses.</p> <p>Teacher resources include educational resources that are designed to promote teacher learning and support the wide range of teachers who use the materials. Unit and lesson planning resources include explicit guidance designed to ensure that students experience phenomena, design solutions, and apply scientific knowledge and skills in ways that are developmentally appropriate and aligned to the LSSS and associated learning progressions. The Teacher Background Knowledge section located at the beginning of the unit provides extensive guidance that supports teacher learning in order to support student learning. This section includes lab safety requirements, where the unit falls within the scope and sequence, the anchor phenomenon and the reason it was chosen, the dimensions developed in the unit, connections to the middle school units, how the unit builds three-dimensional progressions across the course and program, common ideas students may have, possible modifications if taught out of sequence or if units need to be shortened or extended, mathematical concepts of the unit, strategies for supporting all students, and guidance for developing personal glossaries. Embedded guidance at the lesson level also supports teachers in ensuring students engage with the phenomenon and develop and apply science knowledge and skills aligned to the LSSS. For example, in Unit C.2, Structures and</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>Properties of Matter, Lesson 4, teacher resources guide instruction on static electricity through structured investigations that prompt students to generate and test hypotheses, observe patterns, and refine models to explain attractive and repulsive forces. The guidance helps teachers connect student exploration of static electricity to the DCI, HS.PS2B.c, while supporting the SEP, Developing and Using Models, and the CCC, Patterns. Materials provide teachers with explicit prompts to highlight how empirical evidence drives model revision, reinforcing how phenomena-based investigations build toward standards. In Unit C.4, Chemical Reactions in Our World, the Unit overview, Teacher Resources, and the Teacher Guide introduces the phenomena to the teacher and describes how the phenomena develop over the course of the unit. This section is supported with the Performance Expectations for the unit. The Unit Storyline provides the teacher with an overview of the lessons, navigation from lesson to lesson, and samples of models used by the teacher and/or developed by the students. Lastly, In Unit C.5, Energy from Chemical and Nuclear Reactions, each lesson includes a lesson overview section which provides teachers with the resource, Where We Are Going and Where We Are Not Going. This resource is designed to inform teachers of the focus of the lesson and where to put emphasis on student learning expectations. This resource also provides teachers with the</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
	<p>Required 6c) Support for diverse learners, including English Learners and students with disabilities, are provided. Appropriate suggestions and materials are provided for supporting varying student needs at the unit and lesson level using an accelerating learning approach. The language in which questions and problems are posed is not an obstacle to understanding the content, and if it is, additional supports are included (e.g., alternative teacher approaches, pacing and instructional delivery options, strategies or suggestions for supporting access to text and/or content, suggestions for modifications, suggestions for vocabulary acquisition, extension activities, etc.). Materials include teacher guidance to help support special populations and provide the opportunities for these students to meet the expectations of the standards and enable regular progress monitoring.</p>	Yes	<p>background knowledge students should already have learned.</p> <p>Materials provide support for diverse learners, including English Learners and students with disabilities. Teacher Guidance includes appropriate suggestions and materials for supporting varying student needs at the unit and lesson level using an accelerating learning approach. Materials include teacher guidance to help support special populations and provide the opportunities for these students to meet the expectations of the standards and enable regular progress monitoring. Each Unit Overview includes a pacing guide that provides the teacher an opportunity to include key points for students who need additional experiences and time developing core ideas of the unit. Lesson materials include diverse learner support with specific tips, techniques, and points to consider to support the teacher in recognizing and valuing student resources and participation. The teacher guides contain support and guidance assistance in sidebar callout boxes titled, “Attending to Equity,” and subheadings such as Supporting Emerging Multilingual Learners or Supporting Universal Design for Learning. Other callout boxes with strategies include Additional Guidance, Alternate Activity, and Key Ideas. Each unit includes the development of a Word Wall as part of students’ routines to encounter scientific language, supporting participation for all students. For example, in Unit C.2,</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>Structures and Properties of Matter, Lesson 7, teacher guidance provides scaffolds to help students reason through algebraic representations of Coulomb’s Law. Multiple entry points are built into the investigation, including opportunities to compare qualitative claims from class data with the formal mathematical model. The lesson combines graphical representations, hands-on apparatus, and mathematical modeling so that students with varying language proficiency and mathematical readiness can engage in the task. This layered approach provides both support for learners who need more concrete representations and enrichment for students ready to extend into larger-scale systems. Unit C.3, Molecular Processes in Earth’s Systems, Lesson 7, provides similar supports through the use of computer simulations and model comparisons that allow students to visualize abstract atomic structures. The lesson explicitly provides multiple models, such as electron shell and atomic structure diagrams, so students can choose and critique which model is most useful. This approach supports English Learners and students with disabilities by reducing text load and grounding reasoning in visual, interactive models. Materials provide teacher prompts to guide discussions, connect prior consensus tools with new representations, and ensure that all students, including those needing remediation or enrichment, can articulate their reasoning about bonds and electrons. Exit tickets and</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>consensus-building tasks offer opportunities for progress monitoring and feedback, while simulations provide extensions for advanced learners. Lastly, in Unit C.4, Chemical Reactions in Our World, Lesson 11, the Supporting Students In Developing and Using Stability and Change section suggests different prompts such as “What do we mean when we talk about a vehicle moving or a person running quickly?” and different demonstrations to support students considering rates in the context of reactions. In Lesson 12, teacher guidance recommends that students read individually or aloud with a partner, allowing students a choice in how the information is represented to them.</p>
<p>7. USABILITY: Materials are easily accessible, promote safety in the science classroom, and are viable for implementation given the length of a school year.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Required 7a) Text sets (when applicable), laboratory, and other scientific materials are readily accessible through vendor packaging or certified partners.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Text sets, laboratory, and other scientific materials are readily accessible through vendor packaging or certified partners. The information needed for activities are readily available. The teacher portal section provides teacher access to student and teacher books, all the student worksheets, and slides for each lesson. Kits are made for each unit, and materials list provide what is needed for investigations. The program also consistently integrates core instructional resources, lab materials, authentic texts, and teacher support materials that are practical for classroom use. For example, in Unit C.1, Thermodynamics in Earth’s Systems, Lesson 8, students work with authentic scientific data and perspectives from both Inuit Indigenous Knowledge and</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>NASA’s Oceans Melting Greenland (OMG) project. While teachers and students cannot directly replicate fieldwork in Greenland, the lesson ensures accessibility by providing curated data sets, expert videos, and first-person Indigenous accounts, which are packaged as part of the vendor’s instructional materials. This makes phenomena that would otherwise be inaccessible available to all classrooms in a feasible format. In Unit C.2, Structures and Properties of Matter, Lesson 8 centers on accessible, low-cost lab materials, including a balloon, a sweater, and paper clips, alongside digital simulations. These are standard classroom items that require no specialized sourcing and are explicitly listed as part of the lesson. The program integrates these simple objects with computer-based models to ensure that students at all levels can observe electrostatic phenomena and test ideas without barriers to access. In Unit C.3, Molecular Processes in Earth’s Systems, Unit Overview, Unit Planning, Instructional Materials provides a list of instructional material into categories, items included in the kits, and items not included in the kits. The materials include a link for teachers that explains where to buy kits. Lastly, in Unit C.4, Chemical Reactions in Our World, each lesson has materials needed listed per student, per group, and per class as well as a description of the activity preparation, which is located in the lesson set up under the Plan and Guidance section.</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
	<p>Required 7b) Materials help students build an understanding of standard operating procedures in a science laboratory and include safety guidelines, procedures, and equipment. Science classroom and laboratory safety guidelines are embedded in the curriculum.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Materials help students build an understanding of standard operating procedures in a science laboratory and include safety guidelines, procedures, and equipment. The program integrates safety awareness and laboratory procedures throughout lessons, particularly when hands-on or lab-based activities are present. For example, in Unit C.3, Molecular Processes in Earth’s Systems, Lesson 2, Student Resources, the Student Procedure document provides lab safety requirements for the water property investigation. In Lesson 10, students critically read about and model reactions involving water purification with copper precipitation. The use of chemical reactions and the discussion of water quality require clear attention to safe handling of materials and laboratory conditions. Teacher guidance provides necessary background and points for emphasizing safety, including working with small-scale reactions, handling ionic compounds, and ensuring clean lab practices. These supports help teachers frame not only the science content but also safe engagement in reaction modeling and experimental thinking. In Unit C.4, Chemical Reactions in Our World, Unit Overview, the Teacher Background information provides lab safety requirements. In Lesson 8, specific safety precautions are called out within the lesson using an icon and a call-out box on a presentation slide.</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
<p>8. ASSESSMENT:</p> <p>Materials offer assessment opportunities that genuinely measure progress and elicit direct, observable evidence of the degree to which students can independently demonstrate the assessed standards.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Required</p> <p>8a) Multiple types of formative and summative assessments (iterative student models, student-centered discussions, data analysis, self-reflection and peer feedback investigations, and projects) are embedded into unit materials and allow teachers to evaluate student progress toward demonstrating standards.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Materials include multiple types of curriculum-embedded formative and summative assessments that allow teachers to evaluate student progress toward mastery of the LSSS. Each Unit Overview provides an Assessment System Overview which outlines the varied opportunities for different types of assessments throughout the lessons, including pre-assessment, formative assessment, summative assessment, and student self-assessment. Lessons include embedded formative assessments and directly call out the assessment opportunities in the lesson plans. Examples of these opportunities include student handouts, home learning assignments, exit tickets, progress trackers, and student discussions. For example, in Unit C.3, Molecular Processes in Earth’s Systems, Lesson 11, students demonstrate understanding by developing and revising models of fertilizer reactions, critically reading to extract central scientific ideas, and comparing different chemical structures. These tasks function as both formative and summative assessments. Teachers can monitor progress during reading discussions and model development, while final models provide summative evidence of students’ grasp of chemical reactions and conservation of matter. In Unit C.4, Chemical Reactions in Our World, Lesson 1, Day 2, students’ initial model developed is an opportunity to pre-assess students’ use of particle thinking and understanding of what it means for a</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>substance to be acidified. In Lesson 4, students complete an exit ticket, which serves as a formative assessment to check understanding of concentration, pH, and molarity. In Lesson 7, students carry out an investigation comparing how the addition of a salt to an acidic solution affects the pH of a weak acid differently than a strong acid during a mid-unit transfer task, serving as a summative assessment. In Lesson 14, students self-assess their own engineering design solution of how to prevent oyster larvae from dying off. Lastly, in Unit C.5, Energy from Chemical and Nuclear Reactions, Lesson 3, Teacher Resources, the Test Bank Summary provides optional assessment opportunities in addition to those included with the instructional materials. Test banks contain editable, multi-dimensional questions for each lesson in three formats, including multiple choice, evidence-supported response, and scenario-based free response.</p>
	<p>Required 8b) Assessment items and tasks are structured on integration of the three dimensions and include opportunities to engage students in applying understanding to new contexts.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Assessment items and tasks are structured on integration of the three dimensions and include opportunities to engage students in applying understanding to new contexts. Materials include Lesson-Level Performance Expectations (LLPEs) within several lessons of the units. They are three-dimensionally structured to include the SEP, DCI, and CCC. The Transfer Tasks and Unit Assessments within each unit integrate SEPs and CCCs in assessments as students use data, construct</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>explanations, and develop models. For example, in Unit C.2, Structures and Properties of Matter, Lesson 11, students research how electrons move through air during a lightning strike, evaluate sources, and use models to explain ionization and charge transfer. These tasks integrate SEPs, Obtaining, Evaluating, and Communicating Information and Constructing Explanations and Designing Solutions, DCIs, HS.PS1A.c and HS.PS2B.c, and CCCs, Cause and Effect and Energy and Matter. In Unit C.3, Molecular Processes in Earth’s Systems, Lesson 4, students complete an exit ticket where they analyze molecular models (SEP, Developing and Using Models) and describe Patterns (CCC) to determine which molecule will most likely cause erosion or frost heaving (DCI, HS.PS1A.c). In Lesson 15, Summative End-of-Unit Transfer Task, students develop models to explain the formation of soap scum due to the presence or absence of specific ions in water (LSSS HS.PS1-1, HS-PS1-2). Lastly, In Unit C.5, Energy from Chemical and Nuclear Reactions, Lesson 5, students develop models (SEP, Developing and Using Models) predicting energy changes during bond formation and breaking. Students present claims (SEP, Constructing Explanations and Designing Solutions) using their models for how a particular fuel releases energy when it combusts (DCI, HS.PS1B.a; CCC, Energy and Matter). In Lesson 8, Hot Pack Assessment and Cold Pack Assessment, students develop a model based on evidence to</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			illustrate energy transfer into or out of a chemical reaction system depending on energy transfer into and out of fields as particular bonds break and form. This mid-unit assessment assesses the Lesson Level Performance Expectation (SEP, Developing and Using Models; DCI, HS.PS1A.d, HS.PS1B.a; CCC, Energy and Matter).
	<p>8c) Scoring guidelines and rubrics align to performance expectations, and incorporate criteria that are specific, observable, and measurable.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Scoring guidelines and rubrics align to performance expectations, and incorporate criteria that are specific, observable, and measurable. The rubrics provided are in the Teacher Resources section for lessons with assessment opportunities. Depending on the assessment, rubrics may provide student answer examples or state performance expectations addressed. Graded and non-graded assessments are included as well. The materials also include rubrics for teacher and peer feedback. For example, in Unit C.2, Structures and Properties of Matter, Lesson 9, assessment tasks require students to revise models of the lightning system and apply Coulomb’s law through mathematical reasoning. Rubrics are structured around specific, measurable outcomes such as the inclusion of key components in models, accuracy of mathematical representations, and alignment of student explanations with physical principles. These observable criteria assess both conceptual understanding and application. The use of Gotta-Have-It Checklists provides additional transparency,</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>allowing both students and teachers to track mastery of the standards in explicit, criterion-based ways. In Unit C.4, Chemical Reactions in Our World, Lesson 15, Key, Ammonia Fertilizer Task, the rubric provides Lesson Level Performance Expectations addressed, scoring guidance showing examples of mastery level answers, explanation of the answers to the task questions, and a scoring rubric with student examples. Lastly, in Unit C.5, Energy from Chemical and Nuclear Reactions, Lesson 11, Exit Ticket, the rubric provides the correct answers to questions as well as rationale for distractors and leading questions to ask students during feedback discussions.</p>

FINAL EVALUATION

Tier 1 ratings receive a “Yes” for all Non-Negotiable Criteria and a “Yes” for each of the Additional Criteria of Superior Quality.

Tier 2 ratings receive a “Yes” for all Non-Negotiable Criteria, but at least one “No” for the Additional Criteria of Superior Quality.

Tier 3 ratings receive a “No” for at least one of the Non-Negotiable Criteria.

Compile the results for Sections I and II to make a final decision for the material under review.

Section	Criteria	Yes/No	Final Justification/Comments
<p>I: Non-Negotiable Criteria of Superior Quality²</p>	<p>1. Three-dimensional Learning</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Materials support students in developing scientific content knowledge and scientific skills through interacting with the three dimensions of the science standards. The majority of the materials engage students in integrating the science and engineering practices (SEP), crosscutting concepts (CCC),</p>

² Must score a “Yes” for all Non-Negotiable Criteria to receive a Tier 1 or Tier 2 rating.

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			and disciplinary core ideas (DCI) to support deeper learning.
	2. Phenomenon-Based Instruction	Yes	Observing and explaining phenomena and designing solutions provide the purpose and opportunity for students to engage in learning a majority of the time. Materials provide sufficient opportunities for students to design and engage in investigations at a level appropriate to their grade band to explain phenomena. Materials provide frequent opportunities for students to make meaningful connections to their own knowledge and experiences as well as those of their community during sense-making about the phenomena.
	3. Alignment and Accuracy	Yes	Materials incorporate the majority of the Louisiana Student Standards for Science to the full depth of the standards. The total amount of content is viable for a school year. Materials include a comprehensive sequence of five units that collectively provide sufficient instructional time to meet or exceed the minutes required for high school courses. The science content is accurate, up-to-date, and aligned with the most current and widely accepted explanations. The instructional materials spend minimal time on content outside of the course, grade, or grade-band.
	4. Disciplinary Literacy	Yes	Students regularly engage with authentic sources that represent the language and style used and produced by scientists. Students regularly engage in speaking and writing about

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			scientific phenomena and engineering solutions using authentic sources. Materials provide variability in the tasks that students execute. Materials provide a coherent sequence of authentic science sources that build scientific vocabulary and knowledge over the course of study.
II: Additional Criteria of Superior Quality³	5. Learning Progressions	Yes	The overall organization of the materials and the development of the disciplinary core ideas, science and engineering practices, and crosscutting concepts are within and across units and are organized to support learning through a natural progression. Students engage with and build understanding of the three dimensions of the standards at increasing levels of complexity and sophistication and engage in a coherent progression of learning that is coordinated over time, clear, and organized. Students apply grade-appropriate mathematical thinking when applicable.
	6. Scaffolding and Support	Yes	Materials include separate teacher support material such as scientific background knowledge, support in three-dimensional learning, learning progressions, strategies for addressing diverse emerging conceptions, guidance targeting speaking and writing in the science classroom. Teacher resources include educational resources that are designed to promote teacher learning and support the wide range of teachers who use the materials.

³ Must score a “Yes” for all Additional Criteria of Superior Quality to receive a Tier 1 rating.

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			Materials provide support for diverse learners, including English Learners and students with disabilities. Teacher Guidance includes appropriate suggestions and materials for supporting varying student needs at the unit and lesson level using an accelerating learning approach. Materials include teacher guidance to help support special populations and provide the opportunities for these students to meet the expectations of the standards and enable regular progress monitoring.
	7. Usability	Yes	Text sets, laboratory, and other scientific materials are readily accessible through vendor packaging or certified partners. Materials help students build an understanding of standard operating procedures in a science laboratory and include safety guidelines, procedures, and equipment.
	8. Assessment	Yes	Materials include multiple types of curriculum-embedded formative and summative assessments that allow teachers to evaluate student progress toward mastery of the Louisiana Student Standards for Science. Assessment items and tasks are structured on integration of the three dimensions and include opportunities to engage students in applying understanding to new contexts. Scoring guidelines and rubrics align to performance expectations, and incorporate criteria that are specific, observable, and measurable.

FINAL DECISION FOR THIS MATERIAL: **Tier 1, Exemplifies quality**

Academic Content

Instructional Materials Evaluation Tool (IMET) for Alignment in Science Grades K-12 Full Curriculum

Strong science instruction requires that students:

- Apply content knowledge to explain real world phenomena and to design solutions,
- Investigate, evaluate, and reason scientifically, and
- Connect ideas across disciplines.

Title: OpenSciEd Carolina Certified Version

Grade/Course: Physics

Publisher: Carolina Biological Supply Company

Copyright: 2025

Overall Rating: Tier 1, Exemplifies quality

Tier 1, Tier 2, Tier 3 Elements of this review:

STRONG	WEAK
1. Three-dimensional Learning (Non-Negotiable)	
2. Phenomenon-Based Instruction (Non-Negotiable)	
3. Alignment and Accuracy (Non-Negotiable)	
4. Disciplinary Literacy (Non-Negotiable)	
5. Learning Progressions	
6. Scaffolding and Support	
7. Usability	
8. Assessment	

To evaluate instructional materials for alignment with the standards and determine tiered rating, begin with **Section I: Non-Negotiable Criteria**.

- Review the **required**¹ Indicators of Superior Quality for each **Non-Negotiable** criterion.
- If there is a “Yes” for all **required** Indicators of Superior Quality, materials receive a “Yes” for that **Non-Negotiable** criterion.
- If there is a “No” for any of the **required** Indicators of Superior Quality, materials receive a “No” for that **Non-Negotiable** criterion.
- Materials must meet **Non-Negotiable** Criteria 1 and 2 for the review to continue to **Non-Negotiable** Criteria 3 and 4. Materials must meet all of the **Non-Negotiable** Criteria 1-4 in order for the review to continue to Section II.
- If materials receive a “No” for any **Non-Negotiable** criterion, a rating of Tier 3 is assigned, and the review does not continue.

If all Non-Negotiable Criteria are met, then continue to **Section II: Additional Criteria of Superior Quality**.

- Review the **required** Indicators of Superior Quality for each criterion.
- If there is a “Yes” for all **required** Indicators of Superior Quality, then the materials receive a “Yes” for the additional criteria.
- If there is a “No” for any **required** Indicator of Superior Quality, then the materials receive a “No” for the additional criteria.

Tier 1 ratings receive a “Yes” for all Non-Negotiable Criteria and a “Yes” for each of the Additional Criteria of Superior Quality.

Tier 2 ratings receive a “Yes” for all Non-Negotiable Criteria, but at least one “No” for the Additional Criteria of Superior Quality.

Tier 3 ratings receive a “No” for at least one of the Non-Negotiable Criteria.

¹ **Required Indicators of Superior Quality** are labeled “**Required**” and shaded light orange. Remaining indicators that are shaded white are included to provide additional information to aid in material selection and do not affect tiered rating.

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
<p>SECTION I: NON-NEGOTIABLE CRITERIA OF SUPERIOR QUALITY Materials must meet Non-Negotiable Criteria 1 and 2 for the review to continue to Non-Negotiable Criteria 3 and 4. Materials must meet all of the Non-Negotiable Criteria 1-4 in order for the review to continue to Section II.</p>			
<p>Non-Negotiable 1. THREE-DIMENSIONAL LEARNING:</p> <p>Students have multiple opportunities throughout each unit to develop an understanding and demonstrate application of the three dimensions.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Required 1a) Materials support students in developing scientific content knowledge and scientific skills through interacting with the three dimensions of the science standards. The majority of the materials engage students in integrating the science and engineering practices (SEP), crosscutting concepts (CCC), and disciplinary core ideas (DCI) to support deeper learning.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Materials support students in developing scientific content knowledge and scientific skills through interacting with the three dimensions of the science standards. The majority of the materials engage students in integrating the science and engineering practices (SEP), crosscutting concepts (CCC), and disciplinary core ideas (DCI) to build a deep scientific understanding through real-world, phenomenon-based learning. In Unit P.1, Energy Flow from Earth’s Systems, Lesson 6, students generate data to explore factors that affect energy loss and/or current through a wire and connect their conclusions to the blackout in Texas in 2021. During the lesson, students model (SEP, Using and Developing Models) energy transfers through electric and magnetic fields (DCI, HS.PS3A.c; CCC, Energy and Matter). Students engage in a Wire Simulation Investigation (SEP, Planning and Carrying Out Investigations) to determine how electrical energy connects to the motion of electrons in a wire, and how the temperature of a material connects to the motion of the atoms of that material (DCI, HS.PS3A.c, HS.PS3A.d; CCC, Cause and Effect, Energy and Matter). In Unit P.3, Collisions and Momentum, Lesson 4, students investigate variables that could influence vehicle stopping times. During the</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>lesson, students analyze changes in motion of a vehicle represented on a speed versus time graph to make predictions about variables affecting (CCC, Patterns) stopping time of vehicles. Students then investigate (SEP, Planning and Carrying Out Investigations) variables such as initial speed, braking force, and mass to determine the impact on the vehicle's stopping time. Using the data collected from the investigation, students develop a mathematical model (SEP, Using Mathematics and Computational Thinking, Developing and Using Models) of the relationship between these variables (DCI, HS.PS2.A.a; CCC, Cause and Effect). Later in the lesson, students test their mathematical model with a simulation, Braking Investigations, and real-life examples. In Unit P.5, Electromagnetic Radiation, Lesson 3, students determine how energy transfers through waves. During the lesson, students conduct an investigation (SEP, Planning and Carrying Out Investigations) to produce single waves across a chain of balls and a spring toy to analyze a wave, its direction of motion, and the motion of a single particle in the system (DCI, HS.PS4B.a). Students then develop a particle-level model (SEP, Developing and Using Models) to explain how energy (CCC, Energy and Matter) is transferred by waves across solid matter (DCI, HS.PS3A.c). Later, students use a PhET Simulation, Wave on a String, to investigate (SEP, Planning and Carrying Out Investigations) which wave</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			properties are affected by changing amplitude, frequency, and tension, as well as determine when energy transfer is affected (CCC, Cause and Effect). Students use the simulation results and mathematical definition of the variable to create an equation for wavelength (SEP, Using mathematics and computational thinking; DCI, HS.PS4A.a).
<p>Non-Negotiable 2. PHENOMENON-BASED INSTRUCTION: Explaining phenomenon and designing solutions drive student learning.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Required 2a) Observing and explaining phenomena and designing solutions provide the purpose and opportunity for students to engage in a coherent sequence of learning a majority of the time. Phenomena provide students with authentic opportunities to ask questions and define problems, as well as purpose to incrementally build understanding through the lessons that follow.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Observing and explaining phenomena and designing solutions provide the purpose and opportunity for students to engage in learning a majority of the time. Phenomena in the form of common experiences at the beginning of each unit provide authentic opportunities for students to ask questions and define problems to motivate learning about the core ideas of the unit. Student-generated questions provide the purpose for investigations and incremental building understanding through the lessons that follow as they work towards figuring out the phenomenon. The organization of unit phenomena provides students with a purposeful reason to engage in sense-making, asking questions, and refining scientific understanding through coherent sequences of investigations and activities. During the unit launch, students explore phenomena, develop initial models, develop a Driving Question Board (DQB), make connections to related phenomena and develop ideas for investigation. Additionally, students revisit their questions and revise their models throughout the lesson to take stock of what</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>they have learned and generate ideas for next steps. Daily lesson navigation provides opportunities for students to connect to their generated questions and to reflect on the previous lesson to motivate the need for the current lesson’s exploration. For example, in Unit P.4, Meteors, Orbits, and Gravity, students engage in a variety of activities to explore the anchor phenomenon, “How have collisions with objects from space changed Earth in the past, and how could they affect our future?”</p> <p>Students observe a large fireball, the Chelyabinsk meteor in the sky over Siberia in 2013. This phenomenon anchors student learning as they investigate how and why objects from space sometimes collide with Earth. After they watch the video of the meteor, students watch a brief news report related to the event and then record Notices and Wonders in their notebooks. Students share as they create a class Notice and Wonder Chart. Students then record questions they have about the meteor, search the internet for answers, and record their findings. Using the videos and collected information, they try to explain their observations from the Chelyabinsk event by creating an initial model showing what happened to the rock after the rock entered Earth’s atmosphere and when part of it hit Earth’s surface. After exploring related phenomena, they determine what information seems confusing or new to them and develop additional questions. Students then develop another initial model that shows</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>what is happening in outer space to explain why some objects remain in orbit and why some objects hit Earth. As a class, students use the initial model of the Chelyabinsk event, the initial model of the motion of space objects, and questions about the phenomenon and related phenomenon to create the DQB and identify questions that help them move their thinking forward in the lessons that follow. Lesson set and lesson-level questions, all designed to reflect the questions that students generated during Lesson 1, engage students in sense-making about the phenomenon. These questions, the investigations within a lesson, and navigation between lessons all support students in uncovering next steps for further exploration deeply connected to student wonderings from the anchor launch. In Lesson set 1, “What causes collisions between Earth and objects in space?” students explore Newton’s universal law of gravitation, orbital motion, and energy transfer with gravitational fields to determine how contact and noncontact forces can change the orbital path of space objects. Investigative phenomena, within each Lesson Set, narrow students’ focus to develop an understanding of science concepts. For example, in Lesson 5, within the first Lesson Set, the question, “How do objects travel within their orbit paths?” emerges from prior observations of elliptical orbits of Earth and other objects in space. This lesson engages students to develop an understanding of how</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>objects move around their orbital paths. Students investigate velocity and how it changes as objects move around elliptical orbits. This phenomenon leads to purposeful student inquiry as they ask: “How can force interactions in space change the orbital path of a space object?” The lessons continuously provide students with opportunities to revisit the anchor phenomenon throughout the units. In Unit P.1, Energy Flow from Earth’s Systems, students explore how and why matter moves and changes, using the lens of energy transfer. In Lesson 1, students explore the anchor phenomenon, the Texas power crisis of February 2021. Students read articles about the 2021 winter storm that led to devastating widespread blackouts throughout the state of Texas. Students make an initial consensus model of what happens to an electricity production system when it is stable and during a blackout. Students then develop questions for the DQB and brainstorm initial ideas about ways to design more reliable systems to meet the needs of communities. The lesson provides specific question look-fors and examples such as, “How does a generator work?” “How can we prevent something like Texas in our community?” and “How can we make energy production greener?” Students then develop a question that could be answered by investigating all of the questions included on the DQB, such as “How can we design more reliable systems to meet our communities’ energy needs?” The teacher challenges</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>students to take photos, make drawings, or provide descriptions of an electricity infrastructure to find patterns. After noticing the pattern of three-hole outlets, students investigate the phenomenon further by dissecting and modeling a power strip to observe how energy transfers through circuits at different scales. The dissected electrical system provides students an authentic opportunity to collect data, test failures (short circuits, broken circuits), and develop models that explain system behavior. This observable phenomenon builds naturally from the previous lesson’s blackout scenario and provides students the opportunity to consider new ideas or questions about the Texas case study, maintaining coherence and relevance, and driving student learning from lesson to lesson.</p>
	<p>Required 2b) Materials provide sufficient opportunities for students to design and engage in investigations at a level appropriate to their grade band to explain phenomena. This includes testing theories or models, generating data, and using reasoning and scientific ideas to provide evidence to support claims.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Materials are designed to provide sufficient opportunities for students to design and engage in investigations at a level appropriate to their grade band to explain phenomena. As students engage in investigations, they develop and refine hypotheses, collect and analyze quantitative data, construct explanations using data-driven reasoning, and connect findings to broader scientific concepts that align with Louisiana Student Standards for Science (LSSS) expectations for the high school grade band. The investigations reflect authentic science practices and build students’ understanding of core disciplinary ideas through iterative, evidence-based reasoning.</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>For example, in Unit P.1, Energy Flow from Earth’s Systems, Lesson 3, students investigate whether the blackouts in Texas have been caused by a broken or short-circuited circuit. During the lesson, students develop an energy transfer model (SEP, Developing and Using Models) within a power grid to quantify how much energy goes to the various parts of the system (DCI, HS.PS3B.b, HS.PS3B.d; CCC, System and System Models, Energy and Matter). Students identify and interpret Patterns (CCC) in graphs of electricity production and compare these to graphs of electricity demand forecast and electricity use (SEP, Analyzing and Interpreting Data). Later in the lesson, students reason from quantitative evidence to support claims about potential system failures and propose evidence-based engineering solutions (SEP, Constructing Explanations and Designing Solutions). In Unit P.4, Meteors, Orbits, and Gravity, Lesson 2, students investigate to determine how far Earth’s gravity extends into space. During the lesson, students analyze gravitational force measurements (SEP, Analyzing and Interpreting Data) for different masses and heights and make predictions for the relationship between the force of gravity experienced by objects and distance (DCI, HS.PS2B.b; CCC, Cause and Effect, Patterns). Students then compare gravitational and magnetic fields to determine whether investigating magnetic fields at a small scale</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>can help explain gravitational field forces at a larger scale (CCC, Scale, Proportion and Quantity). Later, students investigate field forces through magnets to establish a mathematical model for gravity over great distances (SEP, Using Mathematics and Computational Thinking, Developing and Using Models; DCI, HS.PS2B.a and HS.PS2B.b). In Unit P.5, Electromagnetic Radiation, Lesson 5, after figuring out how waves transfer energy through space, students plan and carry out an investigation (SEP) to figure out what happens to the radiation in a microwave when it interacts with matter like the door and walls. Students continue to explore how microwave radiation interacts with materials and develop questions about the materials they are uncertain about. Students then brainstorm safety considerations for potential investigations in which they will use water and other materials to investigate a microwave system and collect evidence for reflection or transmission. Students determine what question they will answer and what materials and procedure they will use to answer it. The teacher provides a variety of materials for students to consider and the students work in small groups to construct an investigation plan. The class engages in several whole group discussions to establish an investigation plan for a control condition then repeat this process for a different condition. During each investigation, students conduct several trials and record results. Once they have baseline</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>data from each of the control conditions, they argue for the “Proposed Wall and Door Investigation Plan.” Throughout these lessons, students develop safety considerations, select appropriate tools and materials for the investigation, and plan, create, and carry out investigations to answer their questions at a level appropriate to the grade band. Furthermore, In Lesson 7, students investigate “Why do some substances heat up faster than other materials in a microwave oven?” During the lesson, students make connections between particle motion, absorption of microwave radiation, and an increase in temperature in various materials using the Field-Particle Interactions simulation (SEP, Analyzing and Interpreting Data; DCI, HS.PS2B.b; CCC, Patterns). From the data collected, students develop a model (SEP, Developing and Using Models) explaining why polar particles heat up in the microwave oven but nonpolar particles do not. Later, students read an article, “Metal in Microwave Ovens,” to determine whether metal in the microwave oven is safe and consider the validity and reliability of the authors’ claims (SEP, Obtaining, Evaluating, and Communicating Information).</p>
	<p>2c) Materials provide frequent opportunities for students to make meaningful connections to their own knowledge and experiences as well as those of their community during sense-making about the phenomena.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Materials provide frequent opportunities for students to make meaningful connections to their own knowledge and experiences as well as those of their community during sense-making about the phenomena. These</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>connections are embedded into the structure of the investigations and discussions, offering students opportunities to reflect on real-world scenarios, evaluate safety in everyday environments, and consider the societal and ethical implications of science and technology. Students engage in personal sense-making that builds on what they already know or care about, supporting deeper engagement with science practices. For example, in Unit P.1, Energy Flow from Earth’s Systems, students explore the anchor phenomenon, “How can we design more reliable systems to meet our communities’ energy needs?” In Lessons 1-8, students figure out how energy transfers between systems, from a generator to their communities, and what makes an energy source reliable. For example, in Lesson 4, Energy Source Cards, a gallery walk invites students to evaluate which energy sources they recognize and use in their own region. In Lessons 9-11, students consider engineering trade-offs, criteria, and constraints inherent in making decisions about their community’s energy systems. In the Culminating Task, students design a reliable energy solution that meets the needs of their communities. The task is designed to give students the tools to speak up in their local and global community for a better energy future. In Unit P.5, Electromagnetic Radiation, students explore the anchor phenomenon, “How do we use radiation in our lives, and is it safe for humans?” During the lesson, students conduct</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>demonstrations, discuss safety, engage with a home learning assignment to gather information about what friends and family have experienced with microwave ovens and wireless technology, and later generate ideas about other technology that may use similar mechanisms. This motivates students to explore electromagnetic radiation. By the end of Lesson Set 1, students explain how a microwave oven uses the principles of wave behavior to transfer energy into food, but they still have questions about the safety of this technology and how other forms of radiation are used. At the end of Lesson Set 2, students explain the nature of electromagnetic radiation and consider the safety of different radiation technologies. In the Transfer Task, students use their understanding of electromagnetic radiation and its associated technologies to evaluate two social media posts about 5G radiation, and they use the model for electromagnetic radiation to argue from evidence about whether this technology is safe.</p>
<p>Non-Negotiable 3. ALIGNMENT AND ACCURACY:</p> <p>Materials adequately address the Louisiana Student Standards for Science.</p>	<p>Required 3a) The materials incorporate the majority of the Louisiana Student Standards for Science to the full depth of the standards.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The materials incorporate the majority [7 out of 12] of the Louisiana Student Standards for Science to the full depth of the standards. The following standards are not fully addressed in the materials: LSSS HS-PS2-2, HS-PS2-3, HS-PS2-4, HS-PS3-4 and HS-PS3-5. While Disciplinary Cores Ideas (DCIs) from the standards listed are not integrated into the materials, identified Science and Engineering</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			<p>Practices (SEPs) and Crosscutting Concepts (CCCs) are often integrated and explored throughout multiple units. The SEP, Using Mathematics and Computational Thinking, is developed and supported in several units including the following: P.1 Energy Flow from Earth’s Systems, P.3 Collisions and Momentum, P.4 Meteors, Orbits, and Gravity, and P.5 Electromagnetic Radiation. The CCC, Systems and Models, is deeply integrated across several units including P.1 Energy Flow from Earth’s System; P.2 Energy, Force, and Earth’s Crust; and P.4 Meteors, Orbits, and Gravity. The SEP, Constructing Explanations and Designing Solutions, is intentionally developed in three units: P1, P.2 and P.3. The CCCs, Cause and Effect as well as Patterns, are deeply integrated across all of the units. For LSSS HS-PS2-2 and HS-PS2-3, DCI HS.PS2A.c is only addressed in Unit P.3, Collisions and Momentum exclusively during transfer tasks. In the Lesson 7 task, students use their knowledge of momentum conservation to reason and support a claim about momentum and objects outside of the system. In the lesson 15 transfer task, students can but are not required to utilize reasoning from HS.PS2A.c, making the Lesson 7 task crucial to developing this particular science idea. For LSSS HS-PS2-4, DCI HS.PS2B.a is only partially addressed. Although the mathematical model of Newton’s Law of Universal Gravitation is investigated in Unit P.4, Meteors, Orbits, and Gravity, Lessons 2, 5</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>and 6, the mathematical model of Coulomb’s Law is not explored. For LSSS HS-PS3-4, DCI HS.PS3B.e is not addressed. Although students plan and conduct investigations in several units, the concept that uncontrolled systems always evolve toward more stable states – that is, toward more uniform energy distribution – is not investigated. For LSSS HS-PS3-5, DCI HS.PS3C.a is not addressed. Although students use simulations to model particle and field interaction in and around a wire in Unit P.1, Energy Flow from Earth’s Systems, Lesson 6, students do not explicitly model or describe that when two objects interacting through a field change relative position, the energy stored in the field is changed. The CCC, Cause and Effect, is deeply integrated in all the units.</p>
	<p>Required 3b) The total amount of content is viable for a school year.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The total amount of content is viable for a school year. The materials provide a coherent, yearlong sequence of six units that collectively provide sufficient instructional time to meet or exceed the minutes required for a high school course. Each unit is structured around complex, real-world phenomena that drive extended learning cycles across multiple Lesson Sets, typically ranging from 10–13 lessons per unit with embedded investigations, modeling activities, reading and data analysis tasks, design challenges, and transfer tasks. The lessons typically run 2–3 days each, with entire units spanning several weeks, totaling 155 days of instruction. Each unit includes</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>instructional suggestions for lengthening or shortening lessons as needed to accommodate differences in required coverage of the LSSS for Physics or days available for instruction. The pacing is provided in the Lesson Overview for each lesson of each unit. The Learning Plan Snapshots provide suggested time needed for each portion of the lessons in minutes. The integrated nature of the materials demonstrates reasonable pacing and progression of rigor.</p>
	<p>Required 3c) Science content is accurate, reflecting the most current and widely accepted explanations.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The science content is accurate, up-to-date, and aligned with the most current and widely accepted explanations. No evidence of incorrect or out of date science explanations could be found. The materials consistently align with accepted DCIs of the LSSS for Physics. Lessons integrate accurate models, simulations, and lab investigations that represent current explanations in matter, force, and energy. The materials consistently present scientifically accurate content grounded in current, research-based explanations recognized by the broader scientific community. For example, in Unit P.3, Collisions and Momentum, Lessons 4–6, students conduct investigations to develop and apply Newton’s Second Law ($F = ma$) to model how braking time and force interact. They use graphs and motion data to establish the relationship between mass, force, and acceleration. This relationship accurately reflects foundational mechanics principles</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>recognized in modern physics. Later in the unit, Lessons 8–9, students use simulations to investigate how seat belts and airbags reduce force during a collision by extending the time over which momentum changes occur. This accurately reflects the impulse-momentum relationship ($F\Delta t = \Delta p$), a core, up-to-date concept in classical mechanics and vehicle safety engineering. These lessons demonstrate accurate application of contemporary physics, with no misconceptions regarding inertia, acceleration, or momentum. In Unit P.4, Meteor, Orbits, and Gravity, Lesson 7, students analyze current strategies from NASA and other space agencies worldwide to divert asteroids that could pose a serious danger to life on Earth. In Unit P.5, Electromagnetic Radiation, Lesson 6, students analyze current NASA and NOAA Sun’s energy and global temperature graphs to explain that an increase in greenhouse gases in the atmosphere contributes to the overall increase in global temperatures because the gases absorb electromagnetic radiation.</p>
	<p>Required 3d) In any one grade or course, instructional materials spend minimal time on content outside of the course, grade, or grade-band.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Overall, the instructional materials spend minimal time on content outside of the course, grade, or grade-band. Time spent on content outside of the course serves to maintain coherence in relation to the phenomenon, brief reviews, contextual connections utilized for reinforcement, or further exploration of the integration of science disciplines. For example, in Unit P.1, Energy Flow from Earth’s Systems,</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>Lessons 2–6, students explore how electrical energy transfers through systems by dissecting power strips and building a model showing a community powered by generators. These investigations focus on energy transfer, system stability, and conservation, concepts that align directly with the standard expectations, LSSS HS-PS3-1 and HS-PS3-3. In Lessons 9–11, students evaluate and design solutions to improve community energy reliability using engineering design practices (DCI, HS.ETS1A.a) integrated with physical science concepts about energy input, output, and storage. This aligns with the standard expectation, LSSS HS-PS-3-3, as students apply science to real-world engineering contexts. Although the unit revisits foundational ideas, such as electrical circuits and current flow, these topics intentionally bridge prior middle school knowledge toward high school-level reasoning about energy transfer and system optimization, rather than focusing on lower-grade content. In Unit P.5, Electromagnetic Radiation, Lessons 1-8, students investigate wave properties and their relationships, conduct investigations to obtain evidence which explains wave reflection, and use a simulation to understand how moving a charged particle back and forth will create ripples in the electric field surrounding it that propagate through space as electromagnetic waves. These lessons are fully grounded in the standard expectations, LSSS HS-PS2-5, HS-PS4-1 and HS-PS4-3. However, several units</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>take an integrated science approach to develop understanding of the anchor phenomena. For example, in Unit P.2, Energy, Forces, and Earth’s Crust, only a short portion addresses the course-level LSSS HS-PS2-1. The majority of the unit focuses on the following standards, LSSS HS-ESS1-5, HS-ESS2-1, HS-ESS2-3 and HS-PS1-8. Unit P.6, Stars and the Big Bang, focuses on LSSS for Environmental Science LSSS HS-ESS1-1, HS-ESS1-2, HS-ESS1-3 and a LSSS for Chemistry, LSSS HS-PS1-8. No Physics standards are addressed in this unit.</p>
<p>Non-Negotiable 4. DISCIPLINARY LITERACY:</p> <p>Materials have students engage with authentic sources and incorporate speaking, reading, and writing to develop scientific literacy.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Required 4a) Students regularly engage with authentic, grade-appropriate sources that represent the language and style that is used and produced by scientists (e.g., journal excerpts, authentic data, photographs, sections of lab reports, and media releases of current science research). Frequency of engagement with authentic sources should increase in higher grade levels and courses.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Students regularly engage with authentic sources that represent the language and style used and produced by scientists in the form of authentic photographs, satellite images, videos, and real-world scientific sources and data sets. Students also regularly investigate concepts with science simulations, video demonstrations, and lab investigations that mirror the practices and communication style of scientists. The progression across units ensures that students frequently analyze and evaluate scientific texts, data tables, and visual models in ways that align with authentic scientific practices. This approach provides students the opportunity to develop literacy in the discipline while building confidence in interpreting real-world sources. For example, in Unit P.3, Collisions and Momentum, Lesson 5, students analyze realistic motion graphs and real-world collision data related to friction</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>and stopping distance on wet surfaces. The lesson tasks students with interpreting slope and change in motion using the M–E–F (Model–Evidence–Force) framework, integrating both experimental and authentic graphical data. The lesson’s use of realistic motion data, graphical analysis, and interpretation of unbalanced forces provides an authentic connection to data scientists and engineers use to understand vehicle safety and road design. Students apply the conventions of graph interpretation and data-based argumentation characteristic of professional physics and engineering practices. In Unit P.4, Meteors, Orbits, and Gravity, Lesson 1, students watch authentic videos of the Chelyabinsk Meteor Event to make predictions of why objects are falling out of space. In Unit P.6, Stars and the Big Bang, Lesson 6, students analyze authentic spectra of stars, galaxies, and empty space for patterns in each that might hold clues to how the matter in the Universe has changed over time.</p>
	<p>Required 4b) Students regularly engage in grade-appropriate speaking and writing about scientific phenomena and engineering solutions using authentic science sources (e.g., authentic data, models, lab investigations, or journal excerpts). Materials address the necessity of using scientific evidence to support scientific ideas.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Students regularly engage in speaking and writing about scientific phenomena and engineering solutions using authentic sources. Materials address the necessity of using scientific evidence to support ideas. The materials provide frequent and structured opportunities for students to articulate their scientific reasoning both orally and in writing. Students use authentic data, models, and investigations to develop claims and support</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>them with evidence. Students regularly engage in Driving Question Boards, allowing all students to provide questions that help guide their learning experience. Materials address the necessity of using scientific evidence to support ideas. Students regularly engage in productive science discourse to generate driving questions, build understanding, and come to consensus. They also present and revise designs, gather evidence from multiple sources, and explain findings. Students use science notebooks, progress trackers, and argumentation tools to document findings and share ideas. Teacher resources guide the teacher in providing verbal feedback and facilitating small-group discussions, ensuring that communication is continuous and grounded in evidence. These practices ensure that communication is rooted in the style and reasoning of scientists, strengthening students' ability to explain phenomena and evaluate engineering solutions. The integration of speaking and writing tasks across units also emphasizes evidence-based discourse as a key element of science learning. For example, in Unit P.2, Energy, Forces, and Earth's Crust, Lesson 5, students analyze real seismic tomography data using the SubMachine visualization tool to construct explanations for Earth's internal processes. They record, discuss, and revise explanations in their science notebooks, mirroring how scientists analyze and communicate research findings. In Unit P.3, Collisions and Momentum, Lesson 14,</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>students engage in evidence-based argumentation and collaborative presentation as they design engineering solutions related to vehicle safety. Using a design challenge organizer and scaffolded argument tool, students plan, draft, and refine written and spoken explanations supported by scientific reasoning and authentic case studies such as “Electric Cars” and “Crumple Zone Designs. Students write and speak about engineering design solutions, grounding their arguments in real data and physics models developed in prior lessons. The structure of the lesson promotes scientific communication through authentic contexts, integrating both written reasoning and verbal defense of ideas. In Unit P.5, Electromagnetic Radiation, Lesson 8, students observe patterns of wave interferences using a PhET simulation, Wave on a String. Later in the lesson, students write and communicate their explanations of how wave interference can cause cold and hot spots in a microwave oven. In Lesson 9, students explore various types of electromagnetic (EM) radiation and construct the EM spectrum based on their wavelength and frequency. Later in the lesson, students construct an argument about the relationship between the frequency and wavelength of EM radiation and its interactions with matter, and how this relationship helps explain some of the uses of EM radiation.</p>
	Required	Yes	Materials provide variability in the tasks that

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
	<p>4c) Materials provide variability in the tasks that students execute. For example, students produce solutions to problems, models of phenomena, explanations of theory development, and conclusions from investigations.</p>		<p>students execute. The materials consistently include a variety of tasks that require students to think and work like scientists and engineers. Materials do not confine students to a single type of activity, but, instead, task students to solve real-world problems, create and revise models, design solutions, and draw evidence-based conclusions from investigations. This variability ensures that learning goes beyond rote memorization and supports the development of transferable scientific ideas and practices. By alternating between modeling, investigation, explanation, and design tasks, the materials mirror the authentic processes of scientific investigation and engineering design. For example, in Unit P.2, Energy, Forces, and Earth’s Crust, Lesson 4, students determine what is changing in matter at a particle level before an earthquake and when a solid elastically deforms or breaks. On Day 1, students evaluate the merits and limitations of two models, foam panels and inverter magnets, for understanding and explaining earthquakes, elastic deformation, and breaking of solid matter. On Day 2, students use a computer simulation to investigate how external forces on a solid affect matter changes and energy transfers at the particle level. In Unit P.4, Meteors, Orbits, and Gravity, students determine how gravity causes only some objects to orbit. On Day 1, students explore the relationship between gravitational force and velocity to make sense of the motion of space objects using a PhET</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>simulation, Gravity and Orbits. Students use a physical model to investigate how distance affects the velocity of an orbiting object. Students develop an explanation about how the relationship between distance, gravitational force, and velocity affects the motion of space objects. On Day 2, students develop a mathematical relationship between the period of revolution of a planet and the size of the orbit to derive Kepler’s third law. In Unit P.5, Electromagnetic Radiation, Lesson 7, students determine why some substances heat up faster than other materials in a microwave oven. On Day 1, students identify patterns in charge distribution using molecule cutout cards to make predictions about particle-electric field interactions. Students then investigate particle-electric field interactions using a simulation to make connections between particle motion, absorption of microwave radiation, and increase of temperature in certain materials. On Day 2, students build a class consensus model to explain why polar particles heat up in the microwave oven but nonpolar particles do not.</p>
	<p>Required 4d) Materials provide a coherent sequence of learning experiences that contextually build scientific vocabulary and knowledge over the course of study. Students build conceptual knowledge of science vocabulary in preparation for formal introduction to terminology.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Materials provide a coherent sequence of authentic science sources that contextually build scientific vocabulary and knowledge over the course of study. Vocabulary is addressed as needed, but only after students have first had the opportunity to build conceptual understanding of the term. The teacher guide explicitly sequences activities to ensure</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>conceptual understanding precedes vocabulary introduction. Students first engage with phenomena, models, or data to build an understanding of the concept, and only then encounter scientific terms which the materials continue to reinforce. This ensures that vocabulary is rooted in meaning and tied directly to deeper learning, not simply memorization. The sequence across units is coherent, allowing students to revisit and refine their understanding of terms in connection with increasingly complex applications. For example, in Unit P.2, Energy, Forces, and Earth’s Crust, Lesson 10, students first manipulate maps and data sets to explore plate interactions and magma formation before being introduced to related terms such as convergent boundary, divergent boundary, and magma chamber through readings and slides. In Unit P.4, Meteors, Orbits and Gravity, Lesson 2, students investigate field forces through magnets to establish a mathematical model for gravity over great distances before formally naming the term gravitational field, demonstrating that vocabulary is introduced only after conceptual exploration. Similarly, in Unit P.5, Electromagnetic Radiation, Lesson 9, students explore electromagnetic radiation cards to make sense of wave properties. Through the manipulation of the electromagnetic radiation cards, students define the Electromagnetic Spectrum.</p>

SECTION II: ADDITIONAL CRITERIA OF SUPERIOR QUALITY

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
<p>5. LEARNING PROGRESSIONS:</p> <p>The materials adequately address Appendix A: Learning Progressions. They are coherent and provide natural connections to other performance expectations, including science and engineering practices, crosscutting concepts, and disciplinary core ideas; the content complements the Louisiana Student Standards for Math.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Required</p> <p>5a) The overall organization of the materials and the development of disciplinary core ideas, science and engineering practices, and crosscutting concepts are coherent within and across units. The progression of learning is coordinated over time, clear, and organized to develop student understanding and support mastery of the Louisiana Student Standards for Science.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The overall organization of the materials and the development of the disciplinary core ideas, science and engineering practices, and crosscutting concepts are coherent within and across units. They are organized to support learning through a natural progression. Students engage with and build understanding of the three dimensions of the standards at increasing levels of complexity and sophistication, and engage in a coherent progression of learning that is coordinated over time, clear, and organized. This supports student proficiency of the Performance Expectations and prevents misunderstanding as the lessons flow and build in a coherent sequence. The unit materials demonstrate a coherent organization that builds students' understanding of complex phenomena through carefully sequenced activities aligned with the LSSS. Each unit begins with an anchoring phenomenon, followed by activities, such as developing models, asking questions, and analyzing data, supporting a natural progression of learning that emphasizes investigation and conceptual understanding. The organization supports student proficiency by scaffolding knowledge and skills across the units, ensuring that DCIs, SEPs, and CCCs are interconnected and built upon each other over time. For example, across Units 1–3, the materials show a clear and intentional progression of ideas and skills. In Unit P.1, Energy Flow from Earth's Systems, students build models of electric and magnetic fields,</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>which later supports their understanding of gravity and how energy moves through systems and lays essential conceptual groundwork on energy transfer and conservation. Unit P.2, Energy, Forces, and Earth’s Crust, extends the ideas from Unit 1 by introducing forces to explain Earth-scale phenomena, which helps students understand how unbalanced forces transfer energy and cause large-scale changes. The unit introduces forces conceptually, saving the mathematical work for Unit 3. This helps students develop a strong intuitive understanding before they take on formal calculations. In Unit P.3, Collisions and Momentum, students deepen their use of data analysis and modeling as they investigate the motion of colliding carts and establish the conservation of momentum, strengthening students’ understanding of forces by quantifying relationships among mass, velocity, momentum, and acceleration. The unit also strengthens their understanding of forces as vectors, which prepares them for future work on gravity and other forces that act at a distance. Unit P.4, Meteors, Orbits, and Gravity, is designed to introduce students to the motion of objects in our solar system by applying the concepts of Newton’s universal law of gravitation, orbital motion, energy transfer with gravitational fields, and the history of Earth through the perspectives of matter, force, and energy. Students investigate how and why objects from space sometimes collide with Earth. In Lessons 1-7,</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>students investigate how gravity between two objects changes over distance. They then mathematically model gravitational forces at far distances by investigating magnetic forces at tangible distances. Students investigate and model Kepler's Laws to consider how to model the potential for an object to collide with Earth. At the end of this Lesson Set, students use their ideas to explain how a kinetic impactor and a gravity tractor, two asteroid-deflection strategies, work. In Lessons 8-15, students analyze data about objects that recently came close to colliding with Earth and use that analysis to predict the probability of a high-energy collision event. Students carry out an investigation to explain which meteor property, its mass or velocity upon impact, can have a larger effect on the amount of damage it can cause. Finally, students read about an especially large crater in Chicxulub that dates to the same time that there was a mass extinction event on Earth and use these ideas to explain how the impactor led to both short-term and longer-term effects that caused the extinction of some types of organisms but not others.</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
	<p>5b) Students apply grade-appropriate mathematical thinking in meaningful ways, when applicable. The materials do not introduce math skills that are beyond or far below the applicable grade level expectations in the Louisiana Student Standards for Mathematics. Preferably, math connections are made explicit through clear references to the math standards, specifically in teacher materials.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Students apply grade-appropriate mathematical thinking when applicable. Across the majority of the materials, lessons and activities do not introduce students to math skills that go beyond the Louisiana Student Standards for Mathematics (LSSM) for high school. Students regularly apply mathematics skills and understanding to engage in Using Mathematics and Computational Thinking (SEP) appropriately in the context of their learning. Students do not engage with math skills in isolation; instead, students apply math skills only when needed for the sense-making of scientific phenomena. For example, in Unit P.2, Energy, Forces, and Earth’s Crust, Lesson 5, students analyze and interpret graphs of seismic arrival times to reveal anomalies that suggest a heterogeneous Earth. In Lessons 11–13, students develop a model in groups of forces acting on plates that could explain their motion. Students then investigate forces on an object placed on an incline and model how the force of gravity can be split into two vector components to make sense of how gravity pulls down inclines. Students connect the results to plate motion. These lessons incorporate the following LSSM: A1: A-CED.A.2, HS.N-VM.1 and HS.N-VM.3. In Unit P.3, Momentum and Collisions, Lesson 2, students create and interpret graphs that show how being distracted affects the motion of the vehicle over time. Using data from these graphs, students use a scaling ratio to</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>calculate the actual distances (LSSM A1: A-CED.A.2). In Lesson 3, students use mathematical models to generate data about how speed affects reaction distance based on average reaction times for distracted versus undistracted drivers. Students then identify design features that decrease reaction distances to prevent collision in the event of a sudden obstacle using the mathematical models (LSSM A1: A-CED.A.2). In Lessons 4–5, students build a mathematical model for braking time and then rearrange it to connect with Newton’s second law, using algebra and proportional reasoning appropriately. Lessons 6–7, extend this work as students apply their model to collisions, examining relationships among mass, velocity changes, and momentum through proportional reasoning and graph interpretation. These lessons incorporate the following LSSM: A1: A-SSE.A.1b, A1: A-SSE.A.2, A1: A-CED.A.2, A1: A-CED.A.4, A2: F-IF.B.4, A1: F-LE.A.1a, HS.N-VM.1, and HS.N-VM.3. In Unit P.4, Meteors, Orbits and Gravity, Lesson 2, students carry out an investigation of magnetic forces versus distance. Then students analyze data on gravitational forces for various objects and distances. Lastly, students use mathematical models to determine the strength of the force acting on different space objects. In Lesson 3, students investigate how the distance between two objects affects the relationship between velocity and force. Students use mathematical modelling to determine the relationship</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>between period and orbital radius. In Lesson 7, students analyze data collected by scientists and use previous lessons mathematical models to develop an explanation of how two strategies are designed to deflect hazardous impactors on a collision course with Earth. These lessons incorporate the following LSSM: A2: N-RN.A.2, A1: N-Q.A.1, A1: N-Q.A.2, A1: A-SSE.A.1a,A1: A-SSE.A.2, and A1: A-CED.A.4.</p>
<p>6. SCAFFOLDING AND SUPPORT:</p> <p>Materials provide teachers with guidance to build their own knowledge and to give all students extensive opportunities and support to explore key concepts using multiple, varied experiences to build scientific thinking.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Required</p> <p>6a) Materials include the following separate teacher support materials: scientific background knowledge, support in three-dimensional learning, learning progressions, strategies for addressing diverse emerging conceptions, guidance targeting speaking and writing in the science classroom (i.e., conversation guides, rubrics, exemplar student responses). Support also includes teacher guidance in the materials’ approach to phenomenon-based instruction and provides explicit guidance on how the materials address, build, and integrate the three dimensions.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Materials include the following separate teacher support material: scientific background knowledge, support in three-dimensional learning, learning progressions, strategies for addressing diverse emerging conceptions, guidance targeting speaking and writing in the science classroom. Support also includes teacher guidance in the materials’ approach to phenomenon-based instruction and provides explicit guidance on how the materials address, build, and integrate the three dimensions. Support materials include, within each lesson, scientific background knowledge, support in three-dimensional learning, learning progressions, strategies for addressing diverse emerging conceptions, guidance targeting speaking and writing in the science classroom, 3-D Strategies sections that detail explicit techniques for highlighting SEPs, DCIs, and CCCs further, and sample prompts and conversation guides for class discussions. For example, in Unit P.1, Energy Flow from Earth’s Systems, teacher guidance explains why the 2021 Texas power crisis was</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>selected, how it supports engineering-focused sensemaking, as well as how the unit builds energy-transfer ideas across models, investigations, and data work. It also lays out the lesson structure, the purpose of each lesson set, and describes how students develop and revise models of electricity systems. In Unit P.5, Electromagnetic Radiation, Unit Overview, Unit Planning, the unit overview section provides teachers with background knowledge which includes, what the anchoring phenomenon is and why was it chosen, the Louisiana Student Standards for Science developed in the context, the mathematics which is required to fully access the unit’s learning experiences and strategies, lab safety procedures, scope and sequence suggestions and guidance for word walls. Unit Overviews also include, in the unit planning section, investigative material, assessment overview and the unit storyline. The storyline offers quick guidance about each lesson, including what the students will do, what they will figure out, how they will figure it out, and how each lesson connects to the previous and following lessons. In addition, for each lesson, the Prep and Guidance tab provides a detailed lesson which includes teacher prompts and possible student responses.</p>
	<p>Required 6b) Teacher resources include educational resources that are designed to promote teacher learning and support the wide range of teachers</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Teacher resources include educational resources that are designed to promote teacher learning and support the wide range of teachers who use the materials. Unit and</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
	<p>who use the materials. Unit and lesson planning resources include explicit guidance designed to ensure that students experience phenomena, design solutions, and apply scientific knowledge and skills in ways that are aligned to the Louisiana Student Standards for Science and associated learning progressions.</p>		<p>lesson planning resources include explicit guidance designed to ensure that students experience phenomena, design solutions, and apply scientific knowledge and skills in ways that are developmentally appropriate and aligned to the LSSS and associated learning progressions. The Teacher Background Knowledge section, located at the beginning of the unit, provides extensive guidance that supports teacher learning in order to support student learning. This section includes lab safety requirements, where the unit falls within the scope and sequence, the anchor phenomenon and the reason it was chose, how the unit was chose, the dimensions developed in the unit, connections to the middle school units, how the unit builds three-dimensional progressions across the course and program, common ideas students may have, possible modifications if taught out of sequence or if units need to be shortened or extended, mathematical concepts of the unit, strategies for supporting all students, and guidance for developing personal glossaries. Embedded guidance at the lesson level also supports teachers in ensuring students engage with the phenomenon and develop and apply science knowledge and skills aligned to the LSSS. The inclusion of tools such as teacher references, instructional videos, procedural guides, discussion-mapping tools, and preparation notes ensures that teachers understand both the content and the pedagogy necessary for students to experience phenomena, engage in</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>design thinking, and apply scientific knowledge coherently across lessons. For example, in Unit P.1, Energy Flow from Earth’s Systems, Lesson 3, the Teacher Reference provides The Identify and Interpret (I²) Strategy, which supports teachers in implementing a structured sensemaking routine designed to build students’ proficiency with analyzing data and interpreting evidence. This reflects an educative feature aligned to Appendix A: Learning Progression by helping teachers deepen students’ engagement with science and engineering practices such as Analyzing and Interpreting Data. In Unit P.4, Meteors, Orbits and Gravity, Unit overview, Teacher Resources, Teacher Guide, teachers are introduced to the phenomena and how the phenomena develop over the course of the unit. This section is supported by the Performance Expectations for the unit. Materials provide teachers an overview of the unit in the form of a Unit Storyline. This Storyline provides the teacher with an overview of the lessons, navigation from lesson to lesson, and samples of models used by the teacher and/or developed by the students. Lastly, in Unit P.5, Electromagnetic Radiation, each lesson includes a lesson overview section which provides teachers with a resource titled, <i>Where We Are Going and Where We Are Not Going</i>. This resource is designed to inform teachers of the focus of the lesson and where to put emphasis on student learning expectations. This resource also provides teachers with the</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
	<p>Required 6c) Materials provide support for diverse learners, including English Learners and students with disabilities. Appropriate suggestions and materials are provided for supporting varying student needs at the unit and lesson level using an accelerating learning approach². The language in which questions and problems are posed is not an obstacle to understanding the content, and if it is, additional supports are included (e.g., alternative teacher approaches, pacing and instructional delivery options, strategies or suggestions for supporting access to text and/or content, suggestions for modifications, suggestions for vocabulary acquisition, extension activities, etc.). Materials include teacher guidance to help support special populations and provide opportunities for these students to meet the expectations of the standards and enable regular progress monitoring.</p>	Yes	<p>background knowledge students should have already developed.</p> <p>Materials provide support for diverse learners, including English Learners (ELs) and students with disabilities. Appropriate suggestions and materials are provided for supporting varying student needs at the unit and lesson level. Materials include teacher guidance to help support special populations and provide the opportunities for these students to meet the expectations of the standards and enable regular progress monitoring. Each Unit Overview includes a pacing guide that provides a teacher with an opportunity to include key points for students who need additional experiences and time developing core ideas of the unit. Lesson materials contain embedded support that address diverse learning needs through multimodal representations, opportunities for partner talk before whole-group sharing, intentional linguistic scaffolds, leveled texts, Universal Design for Learning (UDL) practices, and structured tools that lower cognitive load for students who need additional processing support. Teacher guides contain support and guidance assistance in sidebar callout boxes and subheadings that address learning for all students, including English Learners (ELs). Other callout boxes</p>

² **Accelerating Learning** is the prioritization of equitable access to high-quality, grade level instruction for ALL students as the center of the design and implementation of educational supports and services. Accelerating learning is both a mindset and an approach to teaching and learning, not a service, place or time. This approach leverages acceleration, a cyclical instructional process that connects unfinished learning in the context of new grade-level learning utilizing high-quality materials to provide timely, individualized supports throughout a variety of flexible instructional settings and groupings.

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>like “Additional Guidance,” “Alternate Activity,” and “Key Ideas” offer strategies to support learning for all students. Each unit includes the development of a Word Wall as part of students’ routines to encounter scientific language that promote equitable participation, and many of the lessons of each unit provide extension readings or activities offered as alternatives or home learning. The readings can be used as either in-class or home learning extensions. For example, in Unit P.3, Momentum and Collisions, Lesson 12, incorporates intentional scaffolds that promote access for all students and teacher readiness to support diverse learners. The lesson includes leveled argumentation readings written at 11th, 9th, and 6th-grade Lexile levels, accompanied by an equity callout explaining the accessibility purpose of these versions. This directly benefits multilingual learners and students with disabilities who require text support to fully participate in evidence-based argumentation. The lesson also features additional guidance, noting that the content may elicit “strong responses” and directing teachers to use Community Agreements to maintain a safe and supportive environment, an essential practice for learners with emotional or behavioral needs. The materials also offer structured scaffolds such as the Science-Ideas Argument Comparison chart and teacher instructions prompting educators to monitor students’ clarifying questions and annotate class copies. These</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>tools break down a complex, language-heavy task into manageable parts and explicitly support ELs and students with disabilities. The lesson also emphasizes partner talk before whole-group discussion, intentionally providing additional language rehearsal time for multilingual learners. In Unit P.2, Energy, Forces, and Earth’s Crust, Lesson 12 provides multiple supports that promote access and teacher ability to differentiate instruction in alignment with learning progressions. The lesson includes explicit guidance grounded in Universal Design for Learning, stating that “the representations used by students in free-body diagrams do not need to match any specific convention” and encouraging teachers to allow students agency in how they show their thinking. This reduces symbolic and linguistic barriers for students with disabilities and multilingual learners by validating varied modes of expression. Additionally, the teacher slides offer structured sensemaking prompts such as “What do we know about how the force of gravity acts on objects?” and directs teachers to reactivate prior learning using earlier models and posters. These visuals and guided questions help stabilize meaning for ELs and support conceptual recall for all learners. The lesson also embeds progress-monitoring supports through an Assessment Opportunity section that tells teachers exactly “what to look for/listen for,” how to interpret typical and problematic responses, and what to do “if students struggle,” providing teachers</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			actionable strategies for reteaching and differentiating instruction in real time. Lastly, in Unit P.4, Meteors, Orbits and Gravity, Lesson 3 the callout box informs teachers that the PhET simulation includes sound and sonification features intended to create a more immersive simulation environment for students with visual impairments. In Lesson 11, the section Supporting Students in Three-Dimensional Learning suggests making connections to chemistry lessons about glowing air.
<p>7. USABILITY: Materials are easily accessible, promote safety in the science classroom, and are viable for implementation given the length of a school year.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Required 7a) All materials for effective implementation and student engagement are readily accessible through vendor packaging or certified partners.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>All materials for effective implementation and student engagement are readily accessible through vendor packaging or certified partners, including text sets, laboratory, and other scientific materials. The information needed for activities is readily available. The teacher portal provides teacher access to student and teacher books, all the student worksheets, and slides for each lesson. Kits are made for each unit, and the materials list provides what is needed for investigations. The program also consistently integrates core instructional resources, lab materials, authentic texts, and teacher support materials that are practical for classroom use. For example, in Unit P.3, Momentum and Collisions, Lesson 5 uses only materials that are readily accessible within the vendor’s digital platform or standard classroom supplies. The Teacher Interactive Board is offered as a digital alternative to physical chart paper, making the</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>activity accessible in both high-tech and low-tech classrooms. The lesson's required materials, including dry-erase markers, whiteboards, chart paper, and student notebooks, are common and already available in typical classrooms. All graphs, slides, and visuals referenced in the lesson are embedded within the vendor-provided digital lesson package, eliminating the need to source external media. The motion modeling and slope-analysis activities use manipulatives such as toy cars only as optional supports, with clear alternatives included. No difficult-to-acquire materials are necessary, and the vendor provides all investigation and representation tools needed for implementation. In Unit P.4, Meteors, Orbits and Gravity, Unit Overview, Unit Planning, Instructional Materials provides a list of instructional materials into categories, items included in the kits, items included in previous unit kits, and items not included in the kits. The materials include a link for teachers that explains where to buy kits. Lastly, in Unit P.5, Electromagnetic Radiation, each lesson has materials needed listed per student, per group, and per class, as well as a description of the activity preparation, which is located in the lesson set-up under the Prep and Guidance section.</p>
	<p>Required 7b) Materials help students build an understanding of standard operating</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Materials help students build an understanding of standard operating procedures in a science laboratory and include</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
	<p>procedures in a science laboratory and include safety guidelines, procedures, and equipment. Science classroom and laboratory safety guidelines are embedded in the curriculum.</p>		<p>safety guidelines, procedures, and equipment. The program integrates safety awareness and laboratory procedures throughout lessons when hands-on or lab-based activities are present. For example, in Unit P.1, Energy Flow from Earth Systems, Lesson 2, the Student Procedure document lists safety precautions before students begin to dissect a power strip and connect it to a battery to power multiple devices. Precautions include guidance such as “Before working with any power strip, make sure it is disconnected from an electrical receptacle to avoid an electrical shock!” and “Never stand directly in front of a circuit breaker enclosure when throwing a breaker/switch. If there is an arc flash, you want to be as safe as possible.” Later in the lesson, a safety precaution icon instructs students to answer the following question, “Tinkering with a power strip would be dangerous if it was hooked up to an electrical wall socket. Why?” In Unit P.4, Lesson 3, Student Resources, the Student Procedure document provides general safety protocols for the circular motion investigation. Lastly, in Unit P.5, Electromagnetic Radiation, Unit Overview, the Teacher Background information provides lab safety requirements.</p>
<p>8. ASSESSMENT: Materials offer assessment opportunities that genuinely measure</p>	<p>Required 8a) Materials include multiple types of curriculum-embedded formative and summative assessments (iterative student models, student-centered discussions, data</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Materials include multiple types of curriculum-embedded formative and summative assessments that allow teachers to evaluate student progress toward mastery of the LSSS. Each Unit Overview provides an Assessment</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
<p>progress and elicit direct, observable evidence of the degree to which students can independently demonstrate the assessed standards.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>analysis, self-reflection and peer feedback investigations, and projects) that allow teachers to evaluate student progress toward mastery of the Louisiana Student Standards for Science.</p>		<p>System Overview, which outlines the varied opportunities for different types of assessments throughout the lessons, including pre-assessment, formative assessment, summative assessment, and student self-assessment. Lessons include embedded formative assessments, and the materials directly call out the assessment opportunities in the lesson plans. Examples of these opportunities include student handouts, home learning assignments, Exit Tickets, progress trackers, and student discussions. For example, in Unit P.2, Energy, Forces and Earth’s Crust, Lesson 11, students engage in multiple model-based and discussion-based assessments which allows teachers to monitor student progress. Students first revisit the Plate Interactions Consensus Model to articulate what they know and identify forces acting on plates, which works as a formative check on prior learning. Groups then create initial models of forces acting on plates, participate in a round-robin share-out in which peers ask clarifying questions, and revise their models based on new variables. Each step functions as an iterative formative assessment. The lesson explicitly identifies an assessment opportunity in which teachers review student models for correct representation of mantle–plate interactions and evidence of scale, force, and motion. Finally, the gallery walk at the end of the lesson provides another embedded assessment tool, allowing teachers to evaluate</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>students' ability to compare models, critique scientific explanations, and refine ideas. In Unit P.4, Meteors, Orbits, and Gravity, Lesson 7, students apply Newton's Universal Law of Gravitation, Keppler's Laws, and energy transfer to change an asteroid's orbit. This summative assessment addresses LSSS HS-PS2-4. In Unit P.5, Electromagnetic Radiation, Lesson 2, students complete an Exit Ticket as a formative assessment to integrate ideas from a reading and a video to recognize the role of electric fields produced by moving electrons in transferring energy through space. In Lesson 10, students self-assess their knowledge of what happens with an increase in energy with the questions, "Do you think increasing the energy of a wave increases the risk of harm?" and "What do you think causes more harm: an increase in amplitude or an increase in frequency? Why?" before sharing their ideas with other students. Lastly, in Unit P.6, Stars and the Big Bang, Lesson 2, Test Bank Summary document, provides optional assessment opportunities in addition to those included with the instructional materials. Test banks contain editable, multi-dimensional questions for each lesson in three formats: multiple choice, evidence-supported response and scenario-based free response. In Lesson 3, Teacher Resources, the Example Student Model document provides teachers with various examples of the strengths and weaknesses of student models as well as what feedback to provide students.</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
	<p>Required 8b) Assessment items and tasks integrate the three dimensions and include opportunities to engage students in applying understanding to new contexts.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Assessment items and tasks integrate the three dimensions and include opportunities to engage students in applying understanding to new contexts. Lesson-Level Performance Expectations (LLPE) are included within several lessons of the units. These three-dimensionally structured assessments include the SEP, DCI, and CCC assessed. The Transfer Tasks and Unit Assessments of each unit integrate SEPs as students use data, construct explanations, and develop models. For example, in Unit P.3, Momentum and Collisions, Lesson 4, students analyze speed versus time graphs to predict a change in motion of a vehicle (SEP, Analyzing and Interpreting Data; CCC, Patterns). Students then create a mathematical model (SEP, Using Mathematics and Computational Thinking) to identify relationships among variables such as braking force mass, speed, and stopping time (DCI, HS-PS2A.a). Later, students test the mathematical relationships using the braking investigation simulation (CCC, Cause and Effect; DCI, HS.PS2.A.a). These tasks function as multidimensional assessments that require deep application of ideas rather than procedural recall. In Unit P.4, Meteors, Orbits, and Gravity, Lesson 2, students complete an Exit Ticket where they calculate (SEP, Using Mathematics and Computational Thinking) the force of gravity between Earth and different space objects. Students also identify Patterns (CCC) from mathematical representations that help make sense of</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>gravity acting across space (DCI, HS.PS2B.a,HS.PS2B.b). Lastly, in Unit P.5, Electromagnetic Radiation, Lesson 13, Summative End-of-Unit Transfer Task, students evaluate claims about the causes of the risks of using 5G technology and the effects this technology has on human health (SEP, Obtaining, Evaluating, and Communicating Information; CCC, Cause and Effect). Students critique claims and write an argument of which claim is more valid in describing the health risk of 5G technology (SEP, Engaging in Argument from Evidence; DCI, HS.PS3B.b, HS.PS3D.a).</p>
	<p>8c) Scoring guidelines and rubrics align with the three dimensions of the Louisiana Student Standards for Science. Scoring criteria should be at the appropriate depth for the grade band and incorporate look-fors that are specific, observable, and measurable.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Scoring guidelines and rubrics align with the three dimensions of the Louisiana Student Standards for Science (LSSS) and incorporate criteria that are specific, observable, and measurable criteria and look-fors at the appropriate depth for the grade band. Each unit’s Assessment System Overview outlines assessment opportunities in each lesson, including a Lesson-Level Performance Expectations (LLPEs) and Assessment Guidance that reference the related DCIs, CCCs, and SEPs. Provided answer keys include specific answers, look-fors, and exemplar answers. Materials also provide in-the-moment guidance for teachers on what to look for and listen for during instruction, helping teachers identify key evidence of student progress toward proficiency of the objectives. These embedded prompts appear across lessons as</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>part of formative assessment opportunities. The Teacher Resources section within each lesson provides rubrics and guidance with assessment opportunities. Depending on the assessment, rubrics may provide student answer examples, look-fors, or Performance Expectations addressed. Materials include graded and non- graded assessments with guidance, as well. In addition, materials provide rubrics for teacher and peer feedback. Exit Tickets include correct answers, LLPEs, and scoring guidance noting “What to look for” and “What to do.” For example, in Unit P.5, Electromagnetic Radiation, Lesson 8, the Exit Ticket document notes the relevant LLPEs, such as “Develop and revise a model to illustrate absorption of microwave radiation as movement of charged particles in response to particle-level forces from changing electric fields” (SEP, Developing and Using Models; CCC, Cause and Effect; DCI, HS.PS2B.b). For Question 1, “Which molecule structures would most likely absorb energy due to the forces from the fields that make up microwave radiation in the microwave oven?” (CCC, Structure and Function; DCI, HS.PS2B.b), the teacher resource includes the correct answer and then provides the following guidance, “Encourage students to revisit the Field-Particle Interactions handout from Lesson 7 to consider what about water made it special and caused it to vibrate when interacting with microwave radiation. Further, you can remind them about the conditions for changing motion</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>to result from (unbalanced) forces. Students may need support in recalling that the different charges on the molecules would experience forces exerted by the electric fields of the waves in opposite directions.” In Unit P.4, Meteors, Orbits and Gravity, Lesson 7, Key: Changing Asteroid Orbits, the rubric provides the Performance Expectation assessed, scoring guidance with examples of mastery level answers, explanation of the answers to the task questions, and a scoring rubric with student examples. In Unit P.3, Collisions and Momentum, Lesson 15, students engage in a Summative End-of-Unit Transfer Task, Pedestrian Solutions. The Key: Pedestrian Solutions, provides the LSSS and Performance Expectation assessed (LSSS HS-PS2-3) along with the three-dimensional elements addressed, possible student responses, and a rubric for question 7. The rubric details and examples for Foundation Understanding, Linked Understanding, and Organized Understanding, each response progressing to the full integration of three dimensions. For each level of understanding, Feedback is provided along with Suggestions for Instruction. For example, a student with foundational understanding may simply suggest “modifications to a pedestrian-catching device” and to “add airbags to it.” Feedback suggests to help students see “how to parse an assessment question in the future, and underline the second sentence in the question” and to “ask the student to list the</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			equations and science ideas that support their modification." Suggestions for Instruction states, "If the majority of the class demonstrates a foundational understanding, ask students to discuss the forces involved in the use of these devices. Push students to use forces in their explanations as they describe how each device might work."

FINAL EVALUATION

Tier 1 ratings receive a "Yes" for all Non-Negotiable Criteria and a "Yes" for each of the Additional Criteria of Superior Quality.
Tier 2 ratings receive a "Yes" for all Non-Negotiable Criteria, but at least one "No" for the Additional Criteria of Superior Quality.
Tier 3 ratings receive a "No" for at least one of the Non-Negotiable Criteria.

Compile the results for Sections I and II to make a final decision for the material under review.

Section	Criteria	Yes/No	Final Justification/Comments
I: Non-Negotiable Criteria of Superior Quality³	1. Three-Dimensional Learning	Yes	Materials support students in developing scientific content knowledge and scientific skills through interacting with the three dimensions of the science standards.
	2. Phenomenon-Based Instruction	Yes	Observing and explaining phenomena and designing solutions provide the purpose and opportunity for students to engage in learning a majority of the time. Materials are designed to provide sufficient opportunities for students to design and engage in investigations at a level appropriate to their grade band to explain phenomena. Materials provide frequent opportunities for students to make meaningful connections to their own knowledge and

³ Must score a "Yes" for all Non-Negotiable Criteria to receive a Tier 1 or Tier 2 rating.

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			experiences as well as those of their community during sense-making about the phenomena.
	3. Alignment and Accuracy	Yes	The materials incorporate the majority (7 out of 12) of the Louisiana Student Standards for Science to the full depth of the standards. The total amount of content is viable for a school year. The science content is accurate, up-to-date, and aligned with the most current and widely accepted explanations. No evidence of incorrect or out of date science explanations could be found. Instructional materials spend minimal time on content outside of the course, grade, or grade-band.
	4. Disciplinary Literacy	Yes	Students regularly engage with authentic, grade-appropriate sources that represent the language and style used and produced by scientists. Students regularly engage in grade-appropriate speaking and writing about scientific phenomena and engineering solutions using authentic sources. Materials address the necessity of using scientific evidence to support ideas. Materials provide variability in the tasks that students execute. Materials provide a coherent sequence of authentic science sources that contextually build scientific vocabulary and knowledge over the course of study.
II: Additional Criteria of Superior Quality⁴	5. Learning Progressions	Yes	The overall organization of the materials and the development of the disciplinary core ideas,

⁴ Must score a “Yes” for all Additional Criteria of Superior Quality to receive a Tier 1 rating.

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>science and engineering practices, and crosscutting concepts are coherent within and across units and are organized to support learning through a natural progression. Students engage with and build understanding of the three dimensions of the standards at increasing levels of complexity and sophistication and engage in a coherent progression of learning that is coordinated over time, clear, and organized. Students apply grade-appropriate mathematical thinking when applicable.</p>
	6. Scaffolding and Support	Yes	<p>Materials include the following separate teacher support material: scientific background knowledge, support in three-dimensional learning, learning progressions, strategies for addressing diverse emerging conceptions, guidance targeting speaking and writing in the science classroom. Support also includes teacher guidance in the materials' approach to phenomenon-based instruction and provides explicit guidance on how the materials address, build, and integrate the three dimensions. Teacher resources include educational resources that are designed to promote teacher learning and support the wide range of teachers who use the materials. Unit and lesson planning resources include explicit guidance designed to ensure that students experience phenomena, design solutions, and apply scientific knowledge and skills in ways that are developmentally appropriate and aligned to the Louisiana Student Standards for</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			<p>Science and associated learning progressions. Materials provide support for diverse learners, including English Learners and students with disabilities. Teacher Guidance includes appropriate suggestions and materials for supporting varying student needs at the unit and lesson level using an accelerating learning approach. Materials include teacher guidance to help support special populations and provide the opportunities for these students to meet the expectations of the standards and enable regular progress monitoring.</p>
	7. Usability	Yes	<p>All materials for effective implementation and student engagement are readily accessible through vendor packaging or certified partners. Materials help students build an understanding of standard operating procedures in a science laboratory and include safety guidelines, procedures, and equipment. Science classroom and laboratory safety guidelines are embedded in the materials.</p>
	8. Assessment	Yes	<p>Materials include multiple types of curriculum-embedded formative and summative assessments that allow teachers to evaluate student progress toward mastery of the Louisiana Student Standards for Science. Assessment items and tasks integrate the three dimensions and include opportunities to engage students in applying understanding to new contexts. Scoring guidelines and rubrics align with the three dimensions of the Louisiana Student Standards for Science and incorporate specific, observable, and</p>

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
			measurable criteria and look-fors at the appropriate depth for the grade band.
FINAL DECISION FOR THIS MATERIAL: <u>Tier 1, Exemplifies quality</u>			

Reviewer Information

Instructional Materials Review

Instructional materials are one of the most important tools educators use in the classroom to enhance student learning. It is critical that they fully align to state standards – what students are expected to learn and be able to do at the end of each grade level or course – and are high quality if they are to provide meaningful instructional support.

The Louisiana Department of Education is committed to ensuring that every student has access to high-quality instructional materials. In Louisiana, all districts are able to purchase instructional materials that are best for their local communities since those closest to students are best positioned to decide which instructional materials are appropriate for their district and classrooms. To support local school districts in making their own local, high-quality decisions, the Louisiana Department of Education leads online reviews of instructional materials.

Instructional materials are reviewed by a committee of Louisiana educators. Teacher Leader Advisors (TLAs) are a group of exceptional educators from across Louisiana who play an influential role in raising expectations for students and supporting the success of teachers. Teacher Leader Advisors use their robust knowledge of teaching and learning to review instructional materials.

The [2025-2026 Teacher Leader Advisors](#) are selected from across the state and represent the following parishes and school systems: Acadia, Ascension, Avoyelles, Bienville, Bossier, Caddo, Calcasieu, CSAL, East Feliciana, East Baton Rouge, Hynes Charter School Corporation, Iberia, Iberville, Jefferson, Lafayette, Lincoln, Livingston, LSU Laboratory School, Natchitoches, Ouachita, Plaquemines, Richland, St. Charles, St. Landry, St. Mary, St. Tammany, Tangipahoa, Terrebonne, University View Academy, West Baton Rouge, and Zachary Community Schools. This review represents the work of current Louisiana educators with experience in grades 6-12.

Appendix I.

Publisher Response



The publisher had no response.

Appendix II.

Public Comments



There were no public comments submitted.