RISK ASSESSMENT FISCAL YEAR 2000-2001

PROJECT REPORT

Audit Control Number 01-02 December 29, 2000



Issued: December 29, 2000

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION BUREAU OF INTERNAL AUDIT Baton Rouge, LA

NOTICE

Under provisions of state law, this report is a public document. A copy of this report has been submitted to the Superintendent of Education, members of the Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, and to other officials as appropriate or required by law. A copy of this report is available for public inspection in the Bureau of Internal Audit at the Louisiana State Department of Education.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
Distribution Letter		N/A
Executive Summary		1
Description of Risk Factors		2
Weighting of Risk Factors	***************************************	6
Risk Factor Summary		7

STATE OF LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

POST OFFICE BOX 94064, BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70804-9064

http://www.doe.state.la.us

December 29, 2000

Board of Elementary and Secondary Education Mr. Cecil J. Picard, Superintendent of Education Louisiana Department of Education

Enclosed is our risk assessment of the Department's programs for the year 2000 – 2001. This project was undertaken in order to develop a long-term audit plan for the Department. If you have any questions, please contact us.

Respectfully submitted,

Dudley J. Gandel, Jr., CPA Director of Internal Audit

DJGJr

Attachment

Distribution:

Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (11)

Mr. Cecil J. Picard, Superintendent

Ms. Carole Wallin, Deputy Superintendent of Education

Ms. Marlyn J. Langley, Deputy Superintendent of Management and Finance

Ms. Mary L. (Weegie) Peabody, Executive Director, BESE

Mr. George Silbernagel, House Appropriations Committee

Mr. Grover C. Austin, Office of the Legislative Auditor

Mr. Bill Lynch, Office of the State Inspector General

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This document contains an audit risk assessment for the Louisiana Department of Education (SDE). The main objective of assessing risk exposure is to identify (in as objective a manner as possible) those areas considered essential to SDE operations when developing an audit plan and reasonably ensure activities with the greatest risk are audited. This strengthens the audit planning and scheduling process; however, this is not intended to replace professional judgement, but, rather, to supplement it.

The risk assessment and audit planning process consists of the following four basic steps:

- Develop a method to identify potential audit area or processes/functions.
- Identify potential audit areas.
- 3. Assess risk and rank audit areas in order of priority.
- 4. Select audits for the annual audit plan.

We identified the processes/functions by reviewing the SDE organizational structure and interviewing selected SDE management. Note: a process is a collection of activities requiring one or more types of input which results in an output of value. Potential audit areas were identified through interviews conducted with and questionnaires completed by the Deputies to the Superintendent, Assistants to the Superintendent, Division Directors, and other personnel, as might have been the case.

All potential audit areas are weighted based on several risk factors, which considered the results of prior audits, as applicable, and the control environment in which the processes take place. In addition, the size and scope of the SDE Bureau of Internal Audit were considered in determining the performance of this risk assessment.

We then ranked potential audit areas. These rankings will be a primary, although not the sole, source from which we develop our annual audit plan. Although it is desirable for any audit plan to be risk-based, the reality is a number of factors, such as potential fraud or compliance considerations, might cause an annual audit plan to contain audits or projects taken out of order or not contained in these rankings.

Finally—as with any such endeavor, completing this cycle within a five-year time-frame is dependent on a number of factors. e.g. staffing, special projects, etc. At this time, the Bureau of Internal Audit has two vacant staff positions and will have a third vacant position not later than September 2001. With the current staffing, it will not be possible to complete this audit cycle in five years due to the large number of programs to be audited. To do so will require full staffing during the entire five-year cycle.

DESCRIPTION OF RISK FACTORS

Each of the following factors was discussed with senior management to determine relative importance (see "Weighting of Risk Factors" table).

Quality of Automated Controls

Automated controls are computerized action taken by management to enhance the likelihood that established objectives and goals will be achieved. The evaluation of automated controls in a particular area of activity must address the requirements of this definition. The objectives of this evaluation are to determine whether: a) a system of automated controls exists; b) the system is operating effectively; c) the system of controls is weak; and d) the system is adaptable to change.

Risk Factor Rating Scheme

- Appear to be strong
- 2. Adequate or no basis for assessment
- 3. Thought to be weak
- 4. Known to be weak
- 5. Nonexistent

Quality of Manual Controls

Manual controls are noncomputerized, paper based systems or procedures taken by management to enhance the likelihood that established objectives and goals will be achieved. The objectives of this evaluation are to determine whether; a) a system of written or implied procedures exists; b) the system is operating effectively; c) the system of controls is weak; and d) the system is adaptable to change.

Risk Factor Rating Scheme

- Appear to be strong
- 2. Adequate or no basis for assessment
- 3. Thought to be weak
- 4. Known to be weak
- Nonexistent

Flow of Funds

The amount of funds that flow through an entity, department or division, and its related accounts. This attribute includes the commitments to be paid by the organization, such as contracts or purchase orders. The flow-of-funds attribute considers the possible impact of a diversion or misapplication of funds may have on the organization.

Risk Factor Rating Scheme

- 1. Small or no flow
- 2. Low inflow or outflow
- 3. Moderate inflow or outflow
- 4. Large inflow or outflow
- 5. Substantial inflow or outflow

Liquidity of Asset

The determination of the liquidity of the assets and to what extent these assets are safeguarded. The significance of the assets involved relative to total assets and the integrity of the record-keeping system to control these assets are also considered.

Risk Factor Rating Scheme

- 1. Value small and nonliquid
- 2. Value low and nonliquid
- Value moderate and liquid
- Value large and very liquid
- 5. Value substantial and very liquid

Public Disclosure Implications

Concerned with the potential public relations impact if an error is made or if confidential, sensitive information is made public. The types of applications addressed include customer records, employee and payroll information, shareholders' records, safety and environment practices, and quality control information and standards. Also included are audits of consumer interest, such as satisfying requests for service and safety, and audits that involve face-to-face customer contact.

Risk Factor Rating Scheme

- 1. No significant importance and limited distribution
- 2. Minor importance and average or limited distribution
- Minor importance and wide distribution
- 4. Major importance and limited distribution
- Major importance and wide or average distribution

Management Interest

The express or implied concern on the part of executive management relating to a specific activity or project increases the interest in performing the audit. Requests for audits that originated in planning meetings with the organization's management or those received during the year are considered.

Risk Factor Rating Scheme

- 1. No management interest
- 2. Low management interest
- 3. No basis for assessing management interest
- 4. Moderate management interest
- 5. Strong management interest or requested

Complexity of Operations

Measures the complexity of an activity with regard to the number of techniques or procedures that are necessary for completion and the number of different data systems or departments with which activity must interface. Complexity can increase both the probability or error and the effort requested to monitor the system.

Risk Factor Rating Scheme

- 1. Low complexity with limited interface
- 2. Medium complexity with no interface
- 3. Medium complexity with limited interface
- 4. High complexity with limited interface
- 5. High complexity or heavy interface

Management

Considers the competence, integrity, and experience of management. This assessment is a very subjective measure, which directly relates to the amount of confidence that the director of internal audit has in the management of operations that are under consideration for review. A lack of competence or integrity bears an obvious relationship to the probability of losses to the organization. These conditions may be indicative of an atmosphere where the control system may be easily overridden. The lack of experience and the possible consequence of errors must also be considered when evaluation this factor.

Risk Factor Rating Scheme

- 1. Capable and experienced
- 2. Average and experienced
- 3. Capable and not experienced
- 4. Average and not experienced
- 5. Low priority on internal control

Results and Time since Last Audit

Appraised any prior audit results and the length of time since the last audit. The findings and related recommendations from previous audits must evaluated based on their importance to the overall activity. The determination of how past findings relates to the current risk evaluation of the actions is based on audit judgement. The length of time since the last audit must also be considered along with the prior results. In general, risk increases as the time since the last audit increases.

Risk Factor Rating Scheme

- 1. No findings and less than two years
- 2. Minor findings and less than two years
- 3. No prior audits or minor findings and more than two years
- 4. Major findings and less than two years
- 5. Major findings and less than two years

Change In Systems, Processes, Or Procedures

A recent change in system, process, or procedure may invalidate past performance or past audit results as measures of control strength. A change may also bring about new responsibilities, which may increase risk. Conversion from a manual to a computerized system is a type of change that should be considered.

Risk Factor Rating Scheme

- 1. No changes recently
- 2. Minor changes from six months to two years
- 3. Minor changes within six months
- 4. Not recently reviewed
- 5. Major changes within six months

WEIGHTING OF RISK FACTORS RISK ASSESSMENT

(greatest to least)

			MA		NAGEMENT	E							
	F	2	65	4	2	9	/	8	6	10	11	12	13
L											IIPnV	Overall	
									Average	Management	Director	Average	
									(Col. 1-8)	Ranking	Ranking	(Col 10+11) / 2	
Quality of Automated Controls	4	4	6	7	5	6	9	7	6.38	6	10	9.5	
Change in Systems, Procedures,	ú	ū	G	40	o	ਤ	Ö	40	7.50	\$		- ox	
	٩ŀ	1	0	2 0	٥	D C				2 4	Ó	7.5	
	5	5	8	8	9	2	,	٥		۵	ה	C.)	
	3	3	7	6	6	3	10	4	6.00	8	7	7.5	
	7	2	1	9	10	7	7	3	5.63	4	8	9	
Public Disclousure Implications	8	8	4	5	7	8	2	5	5.88	9	3	4.5	
	6	6	3	4	4	4	Į.	8	5.25	3	5	4	
-	2	2	10	2	3	10	6	6	5.88	9	1	3.5	
Results and Time Since Last Audit	10	10	2	-	-	-	8	7	3.75	7	4	3	
	1	1	5	3	2	S	9	1	2.88	1	2	1.5	
	55	55	55	55	55	92	99	55		55	55		

Management Chart

- 1. Superintendent
- Deputy Superintendent Of Education
 Deputy Superintendent of Management & Finance
- 4. Chairman, BESE Finance and Audit Review Committee
- 5. Assistant Superintendent, Office of Student and School Performance
- 6. Acting Assistant Superintendent, Office of School and Community Support
 - 7. State Director, Special School Districts 1 & 2
- 8. Assistant Superintendent, Office of Quality Educators

December 29, 2000

Risk Assessment

Risk Factor Summary All Offices

OVERALL 2.6818 2.6455 2.6636 2.6545 2.9727 2.9727 2.9000 2.8636 2.7364 2.6455 **FACTOR** 2.8091 RISK System Change <u>0 0 4</u> 274274289 Last Audit Mgmt Operations Complexity 4 C 2 4 C 2 4 C 2 4 4 D 4 C C 200 4 6 5 Mgmt Interest Disclosure Public - е 2 2 Asset Liquidity Funds Flo₩ ģ Manual Controls Automated Controls Risk Factor Rating Extended Value Extended Value Extended Value Extended Value Extended Value **Extended Value** Extended Value Extended Value Extended Value **Extended Value** Extended Value Audit Value Risk Weight Distinguished Educator Special School District Sertification & Higher Specialized Services **Audit Area Expenditure Control** Career & Technical Migrant Education Administration Communication nfant/Toddler Education Support Personne Payroll

				Flow				Complexity				OVERALL
	Audit	Automated	Manual	ō	Asset	Public	Mgmt	ţ		Last	System	RISK
Audit Area	Value	Controls	Controls	Funds	Liquidity	Disclosure	Interest	Operations	Mgmt	Audit	Change	FACTOR
Education Finance -	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	1	K)	4	7.5	ဖ	2	O.	
MFP Budget	Risk Factor Rating	•	7	LC)	74	C)	£	4	_	2	2	
•	Extended Value	10	15	15	2	25	20	30	9	4	18	2.6364
PAIR - Planning	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	+	S.	4	7.5	Q	2	6	
Analysis	Risk Factor Rating	2	7	ന	7	4	n	4		2	er i	•
,	Extended Value	20	15	9	2	20	12	30	ထ	4	27	2.6364
Education Finance -	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	1	5	4	7.5	φ	7	6	
Subgrantee Budget	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	C)	7	6	מו	3		7	2	
1	Extended Value	20	15	15	2	15	20	22.5	12	4	18	2.6091
LCET - Technology	Risk Weight	10	2.5	3	+	5	4	7.5		2	6	
Planning &	Risk Factor Rating	2	8	4	က	e	က	3	0	7	3	
Development	Extended Value	20	15	12	9	15	12	22.5		4	27	2.5909
Bunkie Youth Center	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	-	Û	4	7.5	ဖ	7	ð	
	Risk Factor Rating	n	n	7	ო	8	Ċ.	2		ო	2	
	Extended Value	30	22.5	9	3	10	20	15		9	18	2.5909
School Accountability	Risk Weight	10	7.5	က	-	5	4	7.5	ဖ	7	o,	
	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	2	7	·C	<u>Ω</u>	8		_	2	
	Extended Value	20	15	6	2	25	20	22.5		2	18	2.5909
School Assistance	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	1	5	4	7.5		2	o	
	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	2	63	S	2	3	2	_	2	
	Extended Value	20	15	9	2	25	20	22.5		2	18	2.5909
Regional Service	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	-	5	4	7.5		7	6	
Centers	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	က	2	6	8	3	7	n	6	
j	Extended Value	20	15	6	2	15	12	22.5		9	27	2.5545
OMF - Contracts	Risk Weight	40	7.5		-	5	4	7.5	φ	2	6	
	Risk Factor Rating	2	8	ო	2	6	c)	n		2	3	
	Extended Value	20	15		2	15	20	22.5	9	4	27	2.5545
Even Start,	Risk Weight	10	2.5	3	+	S.	4	7.5	9	2	6	
Adult/Family Literacy	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	8	9	7	6	e	ന	7	3	
	Extended Value	20	15	6	3	10	12	22.5	8	4	27	2.5545

				No.				Complexity				OVERALL
	1 10 · · · ◆	# - #		7	10004	1110	Month	96		100	Creetom	DICK
Audit Area	Audit Value	Automated	Manual Controls	or Funds	Asset Liquidity	Public Disclosure	mgm. Interest	Operations	Mgmt	Audit	Change	FACTOR
JTPA/Jobs for LA	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	ļ	5	4	7.5	9	2	6	
Graduates	Risk Factor Rating	2	8	7	က	2	က	e		2	4	
	Extended Value	20	15	9	6	10	12	22.5	12	4	36	2.5545
Professional	Risk Weight	01	7.5	6	_	5	4	7.5	6	7	on.	
Accountability	Risk Factor Rating	8	2	n	က	2	က	4		_	e	
•	Extended Value	20	15	9	3	10	12	30	12	2	27	2.5455
Community Based	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	+	5	4	7.5	9	2	o	
Tutorial	Risk Factor Rating	7	4	9	7	2	Ω.	2		7	7	
	Extended Value	20	30	9	2	10	20	15,	12	4	18	2.5455
Quality Assurance	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	1	9	4	7.5	Ģ	7	တ	
	Risk Factor Rating	2	6	_	2	6	ਲ	3	7	7	co	
	Extended Value	20	22.5	3	2	15	12	22.5	12	4	27	2.5455
PAIR - Mainframe	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	1	ı.	4	7.5	90	2	6	
Services	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	7	8	2	e	£0	_	7	m	
	Extended Value	20	15		2	10	12	37.5	Đ	4	27	2.5364
OMF - Cash	Risk Weight	10	5.7	3	+	5	4	7.5		7	თ	_
Management	Risk Factor Rating	-	2		6	2	9	4	22	7	က	
•	Extended Value	10	15		3	10	12	30		4	27	2.5091
Legislative	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	-	5	4	7.5	9	2	6	
	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	_	_	'n	E	3	_	n	m	
	Extended Value	20	15	3	1	25	12	22.5	9	9	27	2.5000
Adult Education	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	1	5	4	7.5	တ	2	6	
	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	4	೮	2	n	3		2	m	
	Extended Value	20	15	12	6	10	12	22.5	12	4	27	2.5000
Preschools	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	1	5	4	7.5	9	2	о	-
	Risk Factor Rating	2	9	2	7	2	ίΩ	m	7	7	2	
	Extended Value	20	22.5	9	2	10	20	22.5	12	4	18	2.4909
Homeless	Risk Weight	10	7.5	ന	-	5	4	7.5		7	O.	
	Risk Factor Rating	7	m		-	5	7		7	7	2	
	Extended Value	20	22.5	3	*-	25	8	22.5	12	4	18	2.4727

Risk Assessment

				Elow				Complexity				OVERALL
	117		1000	7	*****	- cildia	Mannt	, je		- tea	Sveter	DISK
Audit Area	Audit	Automated	Controls	or Funds	Asset Liquidity	Disclosure	Interest	Operations	Mgmt	Audit	Change	FACTOR
Insurance	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	1	5	4	7.5	φ	2	6	
	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	m	2	2	6	3	7	m	က	
	Extended Value	20	15	6	2	10	12	22.5	12	9	27	2.4636
Operations - Printing &	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	+	5	4	7.5	9	77	on	
Copying	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	က	2	2	6	e		6	m	
	Extended Value	20	15	12	2	40	16	22.5		9	27	2.4636
Nursing	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	-	ις,	4	7.5	9	S)	O)	•
_	Risk Factor Rating	7	n	2	7	4	7	3		7	2	_
	Extended Value	2	22.5	6	2	20	8	22.5		4	18	2.4545
Resource Coordination/	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	₩	O.	4	7.5	9	7	o	
Design (Titles II & VI)	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	4	70	2	m	က		_	m	
8(G)	Extended Value	20	15	12	2	10	12	22.5		2	27	2.4455
School Improvement	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	-	D	4	7.5	9	12	6	
•	Risk Factor Rating	2	7	2	0	ro.	9	m		_	7	
	Extended Value	20	15	6	2	25	12	22.5		2	9	2.4455
Management Consultants	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	ļ .	S.	4	7.5	ဖ	2	on.	
•	Risk Factor Rating	-	7	2	0	6	က	4		7	m	
	Extended Value	9	15	9	2	15	12	30		4	27	2.4182
Bilingual Education	Risk Weight	-	7.5	ဗ	+	2	4	7.5		2	O	
1	Risk Factor Rating	2	e	2	7	2	2	4	2	7	2	
	Extended Value	20	22.5	6	2	10	8	30		4	\$ 2	2.4091
Policy Research &	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	4	5	4	7.5	9	7	6	
Program Effectiveness	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	1	2	4	4	e.		2	7	
	Extended Value	20	15	3	2	20	16	22.5	12	4	2	2.4091
Operations - Supplies	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	_	ic.	4	7.5	9	2	6	
	Risk Factor Rating	2	4	ę,	ਲ		ਲ	1		ന	m	
	Extended Value	20	30	Ġ.	ë	5	12	7.5	12	9	27	2.3909
Operations - Property	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	-	ţ.	4	7.5	9	7	o	
Control	Risk Factor Rating	•	2	4	4	2	သ	er .	_	7	e	
	Extended Value	10	15	12	4	10	20	22.5	Ó	4	27	2.3727

					!			Complevity				OVERALI
				2				Complexity		•		
Audit Area	Audit	Automated Controls	Manual	of Funds	Asset Liquidity	Public Disclosure	Mgmt Interest	of Operations	Mgat	Last	System	FACTOR
Summer Food	Risk Weight	5	7.5	က	-	S.	4	7.5	9	2	6	
Services	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	ო	n	2	က	9	2	N	2	
	Extended Value	20	15	σ	6	10	12	22.5	12	4	18	2.2818
TITLE IV - Safe and	Risk Weight	10	7.5	e	-	9	4	7.5	9	2	6	
Drug-Free Schools &	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	က	2	2	m	9	2	7	2	
Communities	Extended Value	20	15	o	2	10	12	22.5	12	4	18	2.2636
HIPPY	Risk Weight	10	7.5	8	1	5	4	7.5	9	2	ਰ	
	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	7	2	2	က	3		e	2	
	Extended Value	20	15	Ð	2	10	12	22.5	12	9	18	2.2455
Education Finance -	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	1.	ហ	4	7.5	9	2	O	
Audit	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	2	n	2	e	E.		7	2	
	Extended Value	20	15	6	3	10	12	22.5	12	4	18	2.2273
PAIR - LAN Support	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	-	K)	4	7.5	9	7	6	
	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	2	2	2	က	er T		7	2	
	Extended Value	50	15	9	2	10	12	22.5		4	18	2.2091
Assessment	Risk Weight	10	7.5	Э	1	5	4	7.5		2	Ġ.	
Administration	Risk Factor Rating	7	2	ಣ	m	2	e	2	2	က	2	
	Extended Value	20	15	9	3	10	12	15		9	18	2.1818
Family Day-Care Home	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	1	5	4	7.5		2	6	•
	Risk Factor Rating	Ţ-	2	4	က	2	ന	e	2	7	2	·
	Extended Value	10	15	12	3	10	12	22.5		4	18	2.1545
School Food Services	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	1	π.	4	7.5	9	2	6	
	Risk Factor Rating	_	2	4	e	2	n	က		2	2	
	Extended Value	10	15	12	က	10	12	22.5	12	4	18	2.1545
Secondary Standards	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	-	5	4	7.5		2	Ð	
	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	2	က	2	'n	°	7	e	2	
	Extended Value	20	15	9	3	10	12	15	`	9	18	2.1273
LCET - Instructional	Risk Weight	10	7.5	က	-	LC)	4	7.5	ý.	2	6	•
	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	4	က	-	m	2		2	2	***
	Extended Value	20	15	12	3	5	12	15	12	4	18	2.1091

				Flow				Complexity				OVERALL
	Audit	Automated	Manual	5	Asset	Public	Mgmt	ō,		Last	System	RISK
Audit Area	Value	Controls	Controls	Funds	Liquidity	Disclosure	Interest	Operations	Mgmt	Audit	Change	FACTOR
Child & Adult Care Food	Risk Weight	10	7.5	ဗ	ļ	5	4	7.5	9	2	6	
	Risk Factor Rating	-	2	ო	က	2	m	3	7	7	2	
	Extended Value	10	15	ക	ო	9	12	22.5	12	4	18	2.1000
Out-of-District Placement	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	-	5	4	7.5	9	2	6	
	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	_	2	2	2	3	7	7	2	
	Extended Value	22	5	e	2	5	80	22.5	12	4	18	2.0818
Nutrition Education	Risk Weight	10	7.5	က	-	5	4	7.5	9	2	6	
	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	~	2	+	n	•	2	2	_	
	Extended Value	20	15	φ	8	5	12	7.5	12	4	9	1.6818
Travel	Risk Weight	10	7.5	60	+	9	4	7.5	9	2	9	
	Risk Factor Rating	2	2	2	2	-	6	-	7	_	-	
	Extended Value	20	15	9	2	5	12	7.5	12	2	9	1.6455
Motorcycle Safety/	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	1	5	4	7.5	Ф	7	9	
Driver's Education	Risk Factor Rating	0	ю	2	2	**	6	2	7	7	-	
	Extended Value	0	22.5	9	2	5	12	15	12	4	9	1.5909
HIV - AIDS	Risk Weight	01	7.5	3	1	2	4	7.5		8	6	
	Risk Factor Rating	0	2	_	2	2	6	2	2	က	-	
	Extended Value	0	15	m	2	10	12	15	12	9	9	1.5273
Child Welfare &	Risk Weight	10	7.5	3	*	5	4	7.5	9	2	6	
Attendance	Risk Factor Rating	0	7	÷	+	2	e	2	2	n	-	
	Extended Value	0	15	3	1	10	12	15	12	9	9	1.5091
School Bus Transportation	Risk Weight	01	7.5	9	1	en.	4	7.5	9	N	6	
	Risk Factor Rating	0	2	_	_	-	n	2	7	m	-	
	Extended Value	0	15	m	_	9	12	15	12	9	6	1.4182

By provisions of state law, this report is a public document and has been distributed to appropriate public officials.

We acknowledge with appreciation the courtesies extended to our representatives during the project.

Dudley J. Garldel, Jr., CPA Director of Internal Audit

Charles J. Trahan, CCFM

Audit Supervisor

DJGJr