

# Virtual Workplace Experience II

## Portfolio Projects Resources – 03-16b DIY TED Talk Rubric



Name \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_ Period \_\_\_\_\_

Presentation/Speech				
	4 – Excellent	3 – Good	2 – Fair	1 – NI
<b>Ideas, Content</b>	Ideas, Content Ideas are compelling, and carefully reflective. Creative.	Ideas are interesting and reflective.	Ideas may be of interest.	Ideas seem unfocused, unrelated, or tangential.
<b>Oral Presentation</b>	Interesting, well-rehearsed with smooth delivery that holds audience attention.	Relatively interesting, rehearsed with a fairly smooth delivery that usually holds audience attention.	Delivery not smooth, but able to hold audience attention most of the time.	Delivery not smooth and audience attention lost.
<b>Sources</b>	All sources (information and graphics) are accurately documented in the desired format.	All sources (information and graphics) are accurately documented, but a few are not in the desired format.	All sources (information and graphics) are accurately documented, but many are not in the desired format.	Some sources are not accurately documented.
<b>Originality</b>	Product shows a large amount of original thought. Ideas are creative and inventive.	Product shows some original thought. Work shows new ideas and insights.	Uses other people's ideas (giving them credit), but there is little evidence of original thinking.	Uses other people's ideas, but does not give them credit.
<b>Mechanics</b>	No misspellings or grammatical errors.	Three or fewer misspellings and/or mechanical errors.	Four misspellings and/or grammatical errors.	More than 4 errors in spelling or grammar.
<b>Preparedness</b>	Student is completely prepared and has obviously rehearsed.	Student seems pretty prepared but might have needed a couple more rehearsals.	The student is somewhat prepared, but it is clear that rehearsal was lacking.	Student does not seem at all prepared to present.
<b>Organization</b>	Content is well organized using headings or bulleted lists to group related material.	Uses headings or bulleted lists to organize, but the overall organization of topics appears flawed.	Content is logically organized for the most part.	There was no clear or logical organizational structure, just lots of facts.
Reflection				
<b>Sentence Structure and Grammar</b>	Sentences are well constructed and there is no more than 1 grammatical errors.	Sentences are well constructed and there are minor grammatical errors.	Sentences lack proper construction but the point can still be found.	Poor sentence construction and grammar. Main point is unclear.
<b>Connection</b>	Reflection clearly speaks to the research performed and work produced and shows strong awareness of areas of strength and potential growth.	Reflection is mostly on topic and speaks to the work produced and shows some awareness of areas of strength and potential growth.	Reflection is mostly on topic but does not address either areas of strength or potential areas of growth.	Reflection is not on topic and does not address the work.
<b>Sources</b>	All sources (information and graphics) are accurately documented in the desired format.	All sources (information and graphics) are accurately documented, but a few are not in the desired format.	All sources (information and graphics) are accurately documented, but many are not in the desired format.	Some sources are not accurately documented.