



Louisiana Believes

LOUISIANA TEACHER
Performance Evaluation Rubric
Mentor Teacher



LOUISIANA TEACHER Performance Evaluation Rubric- Mentor Teacher

Complete Framework for Teaching Instrument

Domain 1: Planning and Preparation

Component 1c: Setting Instructional Outcomes

Teaching is a purposeful activity; even the most imaginative activities are directed towards certain desired learning. Therefore, establishing instructional outcomes entails identifying exactly what students will be expected to learn; the outcomes do not describe what students will do, but what they will learn. The instructional outcomes should reflect important learning and must lend themselves to various forms of assessment so that all students are able to demonstrate their understanding of the content. Insofar as the outcomes determine the instructional activities, the resources used, their suitability for diverse learners, and the methods of assessment employed, they hold a central place in Domain 1.

Learning outcomes are of a number of different types: factual and procedural knowledge, conceptual understanding, thinking and reasoning skills, and collaborative and communication strategies. In addition, some learning outcomes refer to dispositions; it's important not only for students to learn to read, but educators also hope that they will like to read. In addition, experienced teachers are able to link their learning outcomes with others both within their discipline and in other disciplines.



LOUISIANA TEACHER Performance Evaluation Rubric

Domain 1: Planning and Preparation

Component 1c: Setting Instructional Outcomes

Elements Include:

Value, Sequence, and Alignment: Students must be able to build their understanding of important ideas from concept to concept

Clarity: Outcomes must refer to what students will learn, not what they will do, and must permit viable methods of assessment

Balance: Outcomes should reflect different types of learning: such as knowledge, conceptual understanding, and thinking skills

Suitability for Diverse Students: Outcomes must be appropriate for all students in the class

Indicators Include:

Outcomes of a challenging cognitive level

Statements of student learning, not student activity

Outcomes central to the discipline and related to those in other disciplines

Permit assessment of student attainment

LOUISIANA TEACHER Performance Evaluation Rubric

Domain 1: Planning and Preparation			
Component 1c: Setting Instructional Outcomes			
Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outcomes represent low expectations for students and lack of rigor, nor do they all reflect important learning in the discipline. • Outcomes are stated as activities, rather than as student learning. • Outcomes reflect only one type of learning and only one discipline or strand, and are suitable for only some students. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outcomes represent moderately high expectations and rigor. • Some reflect important learning in the discipline, and consist of a combination of outcomes and activities. • Outcomes reflect several types of learning, but teacher has made no attempt at coordination or integration. • Most of the outcomes are suitable for most of the students in the class based on global assessments of student learning. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Most outcomes represent rigorous and important learning in the discipline. • All the instructional outcomes are clear, written in the form of student learning, and suggest viable methods of assessment. • Outcomes reflect several different types of learning and opportunities for coordination. • Outcomes take into account the varying needs of groups of students. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All outcomes represent rigorous and important learning in the discipline. • The outcomes are clear, written in the form of student learning, and permit viable methods of assessment. • Outcomes reflect several different types of learning and, where appropriate, represent opportunities for both coordination and integration. • Outcomes take into account the varying needs of individual students.

Domain 1: Planning and Preparation				
Component 1c: Setting Instructional Outcomes				
Critical Attributes	Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outcomes lack rigor Outcomes do not represent important learning in the discipline Outcomes are not clear or are stated as activities Outcomes are not suitable for many students in the class 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outcomes represent a mixture of low expectations and rigor Some outcomes reflect important learning in the discipline Outcomes are suitable for most of the class 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outcomes represent high expectations and rigor Outcomes are related to “big ideas” of the discipline Outcomes are written in terms of what students will learn rather than do Outcomes represent a range of outcomes: factual, conceptual understanding, reasoning, social, management, communication Outcomes are suitable to groups of students in the class, differentiated where necessary

Domain 1: Planning and Preparation				
Component 1c: Setting Instructional Outcomes				
	Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective
Possible Examples	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A learning outcome for a fourth grade class is to make a poster illustrating a poem. • All the outcomes for a ninth grade history class are factual knowledge. • The topic of the social studies unit involves the concept of “revolutions” but the teacher only expects his students to remember the important dates of battles. • Despite having a number of ELL students in the class, the outcomes state that all writing must be grammatically correct. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outcomes consist of understanding the relationship between addition and multiplication and memorizing facts. • The outcomes are written with the needs of the “middle” group in mind; however, the advanced students are bored, and some lower level students struggle. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One of the learning outcomes is for students to “appreciate the aesthetics of 18th century English poetry.” • The outcomes for the history unit include some factual information, as well as a comparison of the perspectives of different groups in the run-up to the Revolutionary War. • The teacher reviews the project expectations and modifies some goals to be in line with students’ IEP objectives. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The teacher encourages his students to set their own goals; he provides them a taxonomy of challenge verbs to help them strive for higher expectations. • Students will develop a concept map that links previous learning goals to those they are currently working on. • Some students identify additional learning

Domain 2: The Classroom Environment

Component 2c: Managing Classroom Procedures

A smoothly functioning classroom is a prerequisite to good instruction and high levels of student engagement. Teachers establish and monitor routines and procedures for the smooth operation of the classroom and the efficient use of time. Hallmarks of a well-managed classroom are that instructional groups are used effectively, non-instructional tasks are completed efficiently, and transitions between activities and management of materials and supplies are skillfully done in order to maintain momentum and maximize instructional time. The establishment of efficient routines, and teaching students to employ them, may be inferred from the sense that the class “runs itself.”

Elements Include:

- **Management of Instructional Groups:** Teachers help students to develop the skills to work purposefully and cooperatively in groups, with little supervision from the teacher
- **Management of Transitions:** Many lessons engage students in different types of activities—large group, small group, independent work. It’s important that little time is lost as students move from one activity to another; students know the “drill” and execute it seamlessly
- **Management of Materials and Supplies:** Experienced teachers have all necessary materials to hand, and have taught students to implement routines for distribution and collection of materials with a minimum of disruption to the flow of instruction
- **Performance of Non-Instructional Duties:** Overall, little instructional time is lost in activities such as taking attendance (e.g., recording the lunch count, or the return of permission slips for a class trip.)

Indicators Include:

- Smooth functioning of all routines
- Little or no loss of instructional time
- Students playing an important role in carrying out the routines
- Students know what to do, where to move

LOUISIANA TEACHER Performance Evaluation Rubric

Domain 2: The Classroom Environment			
Component 2c: Managing Classroom Procedures			
Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Much instructional time is lost due to inefficient classroom routines and procedures. • There is little or no evidence of the teacher managing instructional groups, transitions, and/or the handling of materials and supplies effectively. • There is little evidence that students know or follow established routines. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some instructional time is lost due to only partially effective classroom routines and procedures. • The teacher’s management of instructional groups, transitions, and/or the handling of materials and supplies is inconsistent, leading to some disruption of learning. • With regular guidance and prompting, students follow established routines 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is little loss of instructional time due to effective classroom routines and procedures. • The teacher’s management of instructional groups and/or the handling of materials and supplies are consistently successful. • With minimal guidance and prompting, students follow established classroom routines. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Instructional time is maximized due to efficient classroom routines and procedures. • Students contribute to the management of instructional groups, transitions, and/or the handling of materials and supplies. • Routines are well understood and may be initiated by students.

Domain 2: The Classroom Environment				
Component 2c: Managing Classroom Procedures				
Critical Attributes	Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Students not working with the teacher are disruptive to the class. • There are no established procedures for distributing and collecting materials. • Procedures for other activities are confused or chaotic. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small groups are only partially engaged while not working directly with the teacher. • Procedures for transitions, and distribution/collection of materials, seem to have been established, but their operation is rough. • Classroom routines function unevenly. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The students are productively engaged during small group work. • Transitions between large and small group activities are smooth. • Routines for distribution and collection of materials and supplies work efficiently. • Classroom routines function smoothly. 	<p>In addition to the characteristics of “proficient,”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Students take the initiative with their classmates to ensure that their time is used productively. • Students themselves ensure that transitions and other routines are accomplished smoothly. • Students take initiative in distributing and collecting materials efficiently.

Domain 2: The Classroom Environment				
Component 2c: Managing Classroom Procedures				
	Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective
Possible Examples	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> When moving into small groups, students are confused as to where they are supposed to go, whether they should take their chairs, etc. There are long lines for materials and supplies or distributing supplies is time consuming. Students bump into one another lining up or sharpening pencils. Roll-taking consumes much time at the beginning of the lesson and students are not working on anything. Most students ask what they are to do or look around for clues from others. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some students not working with the teacher are not productively engaged in learning. Transitions between large and small group activities are rough but they are accomplished. Students are not sure what to do when materials are being distributed or collected. Students ask some clarifying questions about procedures. The attendance or lunch count consumes more time than it would need if the procedure were more routinized. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students get started on an activity while the teacher takes attendance. Students move smoothly between large and small group activities. The teacher has an established timing device, such as counting down, to signal students to return to their desks. The teacher has an established attention signal, such as raising a hand, or dimming the lights. One member of each small group collects materials for the table. There is an established color-coded system indicating where materials should be stored. In small group work, students have established roles, they listen to one another, summarizing different views, etc. Clean-up at the end of a lesson is fast and efficient. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students redirect classmates in small groups not working directly with the teacher to be more efficient in their work. A student reminds classmates of the roles that they are to play within the group. A student redirects a classmate to the table she should be at following a transition. Students propose an improved attention signal. Students independently check themselves into class on the attendance board.

Domain 3: Instruction

Component 3b: Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques

Questioning and discussion are the **only** instructional strategies specifically referred to in the framework for teaching; this reflects their central importance to teachers' practice. But in the framework, it is important that questioning and discussion are used as techniques to deepen student understanding, rather than serving as recitation, or a verbal "quiz." Good teachers use divergent as well as convergent questions, framed in such a way that they invite students to formulate hypotheses, make connections, or challenge previously held views. Students' responses to questions are valued; effective teachers are especially adept at responding to and building on student responses and making use of their ideas. High quality questions encourage students to make connections among concepts or events previously believed to be unrelated, and arrive at new understandings of complex material.

Effective teachers also pose questions for which they do not know the answers. Even when a question has a limited number of correct responses, the question, being non-formulaic, is likely to promote thinking by students. Class discussions are animated, engaging all students in important issues and in using their own language to deepen and extend their understanding. They may be based around questions formulated by the students themselves.

Not all questions must be at a high cognitive level in order for a teacher's performance to be rated at a high level; that is, when exploring a topic, a teacher might begin with a series of questions of low cognitive challenge to provide a review, or to ensure that everyone in the class is "on board." Furthermore, if questions are at a high level, but only a few students participate in the discussion, the teacher's performance on the component cannot be judged to be at a high level. In addition, in lessons involving students in small-group work, the quality of the students' questions and discussion in their small groups may be considered as part of this component.

In order for students to formulate high-level questions, they must have learned how to do this. Therefore, high-level questions from students, either in the full class, or in small group discussions, provide evidence that these skills have been taught.

Domain 3: Instruction

Component 3b: Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques

Elements Include:

- **Quality of Questions/Prompts:** Questions of high quality cause students to think and reflect, to deepen their understanding, and to test their ideas against those of their classmates. When teachers ask questions of high quality, they ask only a few of them, and they provide students with sufficient time to think about their response, to reflect on the comments of their classmates, and to deepen their understanding. Occasionally, for the purposes of review, teachers ask students a series of (usually low-level) questions in a type of verbal quiz. This may be helpful for the purpose of establishing the facts of an historical event, for example, but they should not be confused with the use of questioning to deepen students' understanding.
- **Discussion Techniques:** Effective teachers promote learning through discussion. Some teachers report that “we discussed x” when what they mean is that “I said x.” That is, some teachers confuse discussion with explanation of content; as important as that is, it’s not discussion. Rather, in a true discussion, a teacher poses a question, and invites all students’ views to be heard, and enabling students to engage in discussion directly with one another, not always mediated by the teacher.
- **Student Participation:** In some classes a few students tend to dominate the discussion, other students, recognizing this pattern, hold back their contributions. Teacher uses a range of techniques to ensure that all students contribute to the discussion, and enlist the assistance of students to ensure this outcome.

Indicators Include:

- Questions of high cognitive challenge, formulated by both students and teacher
- Questions with multiple correct answers, or multiple approaches even when there is a single correct response
- Effective use of student responses and ideas
- Discussion with the teacher stepping out of the central, mediating role
- High levels of student participation in discussion

Domain 3: Instruction			
Component 3b: Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques			
Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Teacher’s questions are of low cognitive challenge, single correct responses, and asked in rapid succession. Interaction between teacher and students is predominantly recitation style, with the teacher mediating all questions and answers. A few students dominate the discussion. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Teacher’s questions lead students through single path of inquiry, with answers seemingly determined in advance. Alternatively, the teacher attempts to frame some questions designed to promote student thinking and understanding, but only a few students are involved. Teacher attempts to engage all students in the discussion and to encourage them to respond to one another, with uneven 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> While the teacher may use some low-level questions, he or she poses questions to students designed to promote student thinking and understanding. Teacher creates a genuine discussion among students, providing adequate time for students to respond, and stepping aside when appropriate. Teacher successfully engages most students in the discussion, employing a range of strategies to ensure that most students are heard. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Teacher uses a variety or series of questions or prompts to challenge students cognitively, advance high level thinking and discourse, and promote metacognition. Students formulate many questions, initiate topics and make unsolicited contributions. Students themselves ensure that all voices are heard in the discussion.

Domain 3: Instruction				
Component 3b: Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques				
	Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective
Critical Attributes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Questions are rapid-fire, and convergent, with a single correct answer. • Questions do not invite student thinking. • All discussion is between teacher and students; students are not invited to speak directly to one another. • A few students dominate the discussion. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Teacher frames some questions designed to promote student thinking, but only a few students are involved. • The teacher invites students to respond directly to one another's ideas, but few students respond. • Teacher calls on many students, but only a small number actually participate in the discussion. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Teacher uses open-ended questions, inviting students to think and/or have multiple possible answers. • The teacher makes effective use of wait time. • The teacher builds on student responses to question effectively. • Discussion enables students to talk to one another, without ongoing mediation by the teacher. • The teacher calls on most students, even those who don't initially volunteer. • Many students actively engage in the discussion. 	<p>In addition to the characteristics of "proficient,"</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Students initiate higher-order questions. • Students extend the discussion, enriching it. • Students invite comments from their classmates during a discussion

Domain 3: Instruction				
Component 3b: Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques				
	Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective
Possible Examples	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All questions are of the “recitation” type, such as “What is 3 x 4?” The teacher asks a question for which the answer is on the board; students respond by reading it. The teacher only calls on students who have their hands up. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Many questions are of the “recitation” type, such as “How many members of the House of Representatives are there?” The teacher asks: “Who has an idea about this?” but the same three students offer comments. The teacher asks: “Michael, Can you comment on Mary’s idea?” but Michael does not respond, or makes a comment directly to the teacher. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The teacher asks: “What might have happened if the colonists had not prevailed in the American war for independence?” The teacher uses the plural form in asking questions, such as: “What are some things you think might contribute to...?” The teacher asks: “Michael, can you comment on Mary’s idea?” and Michael responds directly to Mary. The teacher asks a question and asks every student to write a brief response, then share with a partner before inviting a few to offer their ideas to the entire class. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A student asks “How many ways are there to get this answer?” A student says to a classmate: “I don’t think I agree with you on this, because....” A student asks other students: “Does anyone have another idea as to how we might figure this out?” A student asks “What if...?”

Domain 3: Instruction

Component 3c: Engaging Student in Learning

Student engagement in learning is the centerpiece of the framework for teaching; all other components contribute to it. When students are engaged in learning, they are not merely “busy,” nor are they only “on task.” Rather, they are intellectually active in learning important and challenging content. The critical distinction between a classroom in which students are compliant and busy, and one in which they are engaged, is that in the latter students are developing their understanding through what they do. That is, they are engaged in discussion, debate, answering “what if?” questions, discovering patterns, and the like. They may be selecting their work from a range of (teacher arranged) choices, and making important contributions to the intellectual life of the class. Such activities don’t typically consume an entire lesson, but they are essential components of engagement.

A lesson in which students are engaged usually has a discernible structure: a beginning, a middle, and an end, with scaffolding provided by the teacher or by the activities themselves. Student tasks are organized to provide cognitive challenge, and then students are encouraged to reflect on what they have done and what they have learned. That is, there is closure to the lesson, in which students derive the important learning from their own actions. A critical question for an observer in determining the degree of student engagement is “What are the students being asked to do?” If the answer to that question is that they are filling in blanks on a worksheet, or performing a rote procedure, they are unlikely to be cognitively engaged.

In observing a lesson, it is essential not only to watch the teacher, but also to pay close attention to the students and what they are doing. The best evidence for student engagement is what students are saying and doing as a consequence of what the teacher does, or has done, or has planned.

Domain 3: Instruction

Component 3c: Engaging Student in Learning

Elements Include:

- **Activities and Assignments:** The activities and assignments are the centerpiece of student engagement, since they determine what it is that students are asked to do. Activities and assignments that promote learning are aligned with the goals of the lesson, and require student thinking that emphasizes depth over breadth, and that may allow students to exercise some choice.
- **Grouping of Students:** How students are grouped for instruction is one of the many decisions teachers make every day. There are many options; students of similar background and skill may be clustered together, or the more advanced students may be spread around into the different groups. Alternatively, a teacher might permit students to select their own groups, or they could be formed randomly.
- **Instructional Materials and Resources:** The instructional materials a teacher selects to use in the classroom can have an enormous impact on students' experience. While some teachers are obliged to use a school or district's officially sanctioned materials, many teachers use these selectively or supplement them with others of their choosing that are better suited to engaging students in deep learning, for example, the use of primary source materials in social studies.
- **Structure and Pacing:** No one, whether adults or students, likes to be either bored or rushed in completing a task. Keeping things moving, within a well-defined structure, is one of the marks of an experienced teacher. And since much of student learning results from their reflection on what they have done, a well-designed lesson includes time for reflection and closure.

Indicators Include:

- Activities aligned with the goals of the lesson
- Student enthusiasm, interest, thinking, problem-solving, etc.
- Learning tasks that require high-level student thinking and are aligned with lesson objectives
- Students highly motivated to work on all tasks and are persistent even when the tasks are challenging
- Students actively "working," rather than watching while their teacher "works."
- Suitable pacing of the lesson: neither dragging nor rushed, with time for closure and student reflection

LOUISIANA TEACHER Performance Evaluation Rubric

Domain 3: Instruction			
Component 3c: Engaging Student in Learning			
Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The learning tasks and activities, materials, resources, instructional groups and technology are poorly aligned with the instructional outcomes or require only rote responses. • The pace of the lesson is too slow or rushed. • Few students are intellectually engaged or interested. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The learning tasks or prompts are partially aligned with the instructional outcomes but require only minimal thinking by students to be passive or merely compliant. • The pacing of the lesson may not provide students the time needed to be intellectually engaged. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The learning tasks and activities are aligned with the instructional outcomes and are designed to challenge student thinking, resulting in active intellectual engagement by most students with important and challenging content, and with teacher scaffolding to support that engagement. • The pacing of the lesson is appropriate, providing most students the time needed to be intellectually engaged. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Virtually all students are intellectually engaged in challenging content, through well designed learning tasks, and suitable scaffolding by the teacher, and fully aligned with the instructional outcomes. • In addition, there is evidence of some student initiation of inquiry, and student contributions to the exploration of important content. • The pacing of the lesson provides students the time needed to intellectually engage with and reflect upon their learning, and to consolidate their understanding. • Students may have some choice in how they complete tasks and may serve as resources for one another.

Domain 3: Instruction				
Component 3c: Engaging Student in Learning				
Critical Attributes	Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Few students are intellectually engaged in the lesson. Learning tasks require only recall or have a single correct response or method. The materials used ask students only to perform rote tasks. Only one type of instructional group is used (whole group, small groups) when a variety would better serve the instructional purpose. Instructional materials used are unsuitable to the lesson and/or the students. The lesson drags, or is rushed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some students are intellectually engaged in the lesson. Learning tasks are a mix of those requiring thinking and recall. Student engagement with the content is largely passive, learning primarily facts or procedures. Student have no choice in how they complete tasks. The teacher uses different instructional groupings; these are partially successful in achieving the lesson objectives. The materials and resources are partially aligned to the lesson objectives, only some of them demanding student thinking. The pacing of the lesson is uneven; suitable in parts, but rushed or dragging in others. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Most students are intellectually engaged in the lesson. Learning tasks have multiple correct responses or approaches and/or demand higher order thinking. The students have some choice in how they complete learning tasks. There is a mix of different types of groupings, suitable to the lesson objectives. Materials and resources support the learning goals and require intellectual engagement, as appropriate. The pacing of the lesson provides students the time needed to be intellectually engaged.

Domain 3: Instruction				
Component 3c: Engaging Student in Learning				
	Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective
Possible Examples	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students are able to fill out the lesson worksheet without understanding what it's asking them to do. The lesson drags, or feels rushed. Students complete "busy work" activities. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students are asked to fill in a worksheet, following an established procedure. There is a recognizable beginning, middle, and end to the lesson. Parts of the lesson have a suitable pace; other parts drag or feel rushed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students are asked to formulate a hypothesis about what might happen if the American voting system allowed for the direct election of presidents. Students are given a task to do independently, then to discuss with a table group, followed by a report-out from each table. There is a clear beginning, middle, and end to the lesson. The lesson is neither rushed nor drags. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students are asked to write an essay "in the style of Hemingway." A student asks whether they might remain in their small groups to complete another section of the activity, rather than work independently. Students identify or create their own learning materials. Students summarize their learning from the lesson.

Domain 3: Instruction

Component 3d: Using Assessment in Instruction

Assessment of student learning plays an important role in instruction; no longer does it signal the end of instruction; it is now recognized to be an integral part of instruction. While assessment of learning has always been and will continue to be an important aspect of teaching (it's important for teachers to know whether students have learned what they intend) assessment for learning has increasingly come to play an important role in classroom practice. And in order to assess student learning for the purposes of instruction, teachers must have their finger on "the pulse" of a lesson, monitoring student understanding and, where appropriate, offering feedback to students.

Of course, a teacher's actions in monitoring student learning, while it may superficially look the same as monitoring student behavior, has a fundamentally different purpose. When a teacher is monitoring behavior, he/she is alert to students who may be passing notes, or bothering their neighbors; when teachers monitor student learning, they look carefully at what students are writing, or listen carefully to the questions students ask, in order to gauge whether they require additional activity or explanation in order to grasp the content. In each case, the teacher may be circulating in the room, but his/her purpose in doing so is quite different in the two situations.

Similarly, on the surface, questions asked of students for the purpose of monitoring learning, are fundamentally different from those used to build understanding; in the former, teachers are alert to students' revealed misconceptions, whereas in the latter the questions are designed to explore relationships, or deepen understanding. Indeed, for the purpose of monitoring, many teachers create questions specifically to elicit the extent of student understanding, and use techniques (such as exit tickets) to ascertain the degree of understanding of every student in the class. Indeed, encouraging students (and actually teaching them the necessary skills) of monitoring their own learning against clear standards is demonstrated by teachers at high levels of performance. As important as monitoring of student learning and providing feedback to students are, however, they are greatly strengthened by a teacher's skill in making mid-course corrections when needed, seizing on a "teachable moment."

Domain 3: Instruction

Component 3d: Using Assessment in Instruction

Elements Include:

- **Assessment Criteria:** It is essential that students know the criteria for assessment. At its highest level, students have had a hand in articulating the criteria for, for example, a clear oral presentation.
- **Monitoring of Student Learning:** A teacher's skill in eliciting evidence of student understanding is one of the true marks of expertise. This is not a hit-or-miss effort, but is carefully planned in advance. But even after carefully planning, monitoring of student learning must be woven seamlessly into the lesson, using a variety of techniques.
- **Feedback to Students:** Feedback on learning is an essential element of a rich instructional environment; without it, students are constantly guessing as to how they are doing, and how their work can be improved. Valuable feedback must be timely, constructive, and substantive, and provide students the guidance they need to improve their performance.
- **Student Self-Assessment and Monitoring of Progress:** The culmination of student assumption of responsibility for their learning is when they monitor their own learning, and take appropriate action. Of course, they can only do this if the criteria for learning are clear and if they have been taught the skills of checking their work against clear criteria.

Indicators Include:

- Teacher paying close attention to evidence of student understanding
- Teacher posing specifically-created questions to elicit evidence of student understanding
- Teacher circulating to monitor student learning and to offer feedback
- Students assessing their own work against established criteria
- Teacher adjusting instruction in response to evidence of student understanding (or lack of it)

Domain 3: Instruction			
Component 3d: Using Assessment in Instruction			
Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is little or no assessment or monitoring of student learning; feedback is absent, or of poor quality. • Students do not appear to be aware of the assessment criteria and do not engage in self-assessment. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assessment is used sporadically to support instruction, through some monitoring of progress of learning by teacher and/or students. • Feedback to students is general, and students appear to be only partially aware of the assessment criteria used to evaluate their work but few assess their own work. • Questions/prompts/assessments are rarely used to diagnose evidence of learning. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assessment is regularly used during instruction, through monitoring of progress of learning by teacher and/or students, resulting in accurate, specific feedback that advances learning. • Students appear to be aware of the assessment criteria; some of them engage in self-assessment. • Questions/ prompts/ assessments are used to diagnose evidence of learning. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assessment is fully integrated into instruction, through extensive use of formative assessment. • Students appear to be aware of, and there is some evidence that they have contributed to, the assessment criteria. • Students self-assess and monitor their progress. • A variety of feedback, from both the teacher and peers, is accurate, specific, and advances learning. • Questions/prompts/assessments are used regularly to diagnose evidence of learning by individual students.

Domain 3: Instruction				
Component 3d: Using Assessment in Instruction				
Critical Attributes	Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The teacher gives no indication of what high quality work looks like. The teacher makes no effort to determine whether students understand the lesson. Feedback in only global. The teacher does not ask students to evaluate their own classmates' work. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is little evidence that the students understand how their work will be evaluated. The teacher monitors understanding through a single method, or without eliciting evidence of understanding from all students. Teacher requests global indications of student understanding. Feedback to students is not uniformly specific, not oriented towards future improvement of work. The teacher makes only minor attempts to engage students in self- or peer-assessment. The teacher's attempts to adjust the lesson are partially successful. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students indicate that they clearly understand the characteristics of high quality work. The teacher elicits evidence of student understanding during the lesson. Students are invited to assess their own work and make improvements. Feedback includes specific and timely guidance for at least some groups of students The teacher attempts to engage students in self or peer-assessment. When necessary, the teacher makes adjustments to the lesson to enhance understanding by groups of students.

Domain 3: Instruction				
Component 3d: Using Assessment in Instruction				
	Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective
Possible Examples	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A student asks: “How is this assignment going to be graded?” • A student asks “Does this quiz count towards my grade?” • The teacher forges ahead with a presentation without checking for understanding. • The teacher says: “good job, everyone.” 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Teacher asks: “Does anyone have a question?” • When a student completes a problem on the board, the teacher corrects the student’s work without explaining why. • The teacher, after receiving a correct response from one student, continues, without ascertaining whether all students understand the concept. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The teacher circulates during small group or independent work, offering suggestions to groups of students. • The teacher uses a specifically formulated question to elicit evidence of student understanding. • The teacher asks students to look over their papers to correct their errors. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The teacher reminds students of the characteristics of high-quality work (the assessment criteria), suggesting that the students themselves helped develop them. • While students are working, the teacher circulates providing substantive feedback to individual students. • The teacher uses popsicle-sticks or exit tickets to elicit evidence of individual student understanding. • Students offer feedback to their classmates on their work. • Students evaluate a piece of their writing against the writing rubric and confer with the teacher about how it could be improved.



LOUISIANA TEACHER Performance Evaluation Rubric

Mentor Teacher

In addition to their duties as classroom teachers, the Mentor Teacher's role is to promote the growth and development of teachers to improve student learning. Mentor Teachers provide effective, on-going coaching to teachers that is deeply rooted in high quality curriculum. They engage teachers in ongoing observation and feedback cycles utilizing student data to make instructional decisions that meet the needs of all students. Mentor Teachers possess a deep knowledge of their content and curriculum as well as a deep knowledge of adult learning theory. They use this knowledge to help other teachers deepen their understanding of the content and improve both instructional and management practices. Additionally, Mentor Teachers build trusting relationships with both mentees and principals, promote high expectations for students, and help to establish a culture of collaboration and professional learning within the school and/or district. Given, their roles as leaders in schools and districts, they must effectively demonstrate and be evaluated on the following competencies:

- **Apply effective coaching cycles and strategies to build the knowledge base and pedagogical skills of mentees**
- **Build strong professional relationships with mentees rooted in student learning**
- **Demonstrate a deep knowledge of the Louisiana standards, aligned high quality curriculum, and aligned pedagogical approaches**



LOUISIANA TEACHER Performance Evaluation Rubric

Evaluation Framework

Mentor Teachers provide one-on-one coaching to undergraduate and post-baccalaureate residents. To obtain the ancillary certificate, mentors must be observed in their role as a Mentor Teacher. Observations of Mentor Teachers include:

- Two classroom observations using the domains and competencies on the Compass Rubric
- One observation in the role as a Mentor Teacher, evaluating the growth and development of teachers to improve student learning through effective, on-going coaching rooted in high quality curriculum.


The domains of the Mentor Teacher rubric will count for 12.5% of a teacher's overall score.

Compass Waiver

LEAs and Charter Organizations/Schools choosing to use an alternative evaluation rubric(s) as professional practice rubric, must email [this form](#) to compass@la.gov.

The following domains encompass the additional responsibilities on which Mentor Teachers should be evaluated, in addition to those previously outlined in the Compass rubric.

VAM Teacher



LOUISIANA TEACHER Performance Evaluation Rubric

Domain 4: Mentor Teacher			
Competency: Apply effective coaching cycles and strategies to build the knowledge base and pedagogical skills of mentees			
Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Provides feedback that is not evidence-based and/or not given in a timely manner. ● Rarely or never provides constructive feedback on lesson plans, instruction, assessments, and other curriculum issues. ● Does not track progress of coaching goals and rarely uses data to facilitate mentee self-reflection and improvement. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Occasionally provides relevant feedback (not necessarily leading to a clear goal) that is evidence-based and given in a timely manner ● Inconsistently provides feedback that is evidence-based on lesson plans, instruction, assessments, and other curriculum issues. Feedback rarely includes reflective questions centered on areas for improvement. ● Inconsistently tracks progress toward coaching goals and infrequently uses data to facilitate mentee self-reflection and improvement. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Frequently (but not regularly) provides relevant feedback leading to a clear goal that is evidence-based, given in a timely manner, and designed to increase the mentee’s teaching skills by reinforcing best practices. ● Consistently provides constructive feedback on lesson plans, instruction, assessments, and other curriculum issues; Feedback sometimes includes reflective questions centered on areas for improvement. ● Tracks progress toward coaching goals and occasionally uses data to facilitate mentee self-reflection and improvement. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Regularly provides relevant feedback leading to a clear goal that is evidence-based, given in a timely manner, and designed to increase the mentee's skills by reinforcing best practices. ● Consistently utilizes a variety of methods to provide constructive feedback on lesson plans, instruction, assessments, and other curriculum issues; Feedback often includes reflective questions centered on areas for improvement. ● Consistently tracks progress toward coaching goals and regularly uses data to facilitate mentee self-reflection and improvement.

LOUISIANA TEACHER Performance Evaluation Rubric

Domain 4: Mentor Teacher			
Competency: Build strong professional relationships with mentees rooted in student learning			
Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Rarely holds scheduled meetings with the mentee and initiates little or no additional contact. ● Provides little or no coaching encouragement to the mentee. ● Fails to deliver constructive and/or difficult feedback to mentees in a way that promotes open dialogue and receptivity to feedback. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Occasionally schedules meetings with mentee to address questions/concerns and initiates some additional contact with the mentee for general discussion. ● Occasionally provides non-specific or non-evidence-based encouragement to the mentee (e.g., to keep up his/her hard work and efforts). ● Delivers constructive and often difficult feedback to some mentees in a way that promotes open dialogue and receptivity to feedback 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Regularly schedules meetings to address mentee questions/concerns and occasionally initiates additional contact with the mentee for general discussion. ● Regularly provides narrow but targeted encouragement to improve mentee practice (e.g., to expand his/her teaching skills or become actively involved with students, parents, and staff.). ● Delivers constructive and often difficult feedback to all mentees in a way that promotes open dialogue, receptivity to feedback, and/or general conversations on mentee growth. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Frequently schedules well-structured meetings with the mentee, and proactively plans regular interactions to address specific goals. ● Frequently provides a variety of specific, evidence-based, and high-quality encouragement to improve mentee practice. ● Consistently delivers constructive and often difficult feedback to all mentees in a way that promotes open dialogue, receptivity to feedback, and productive conversations on mentee growth.

LOUISIANA TEACHER Performance Evaluation Rubric

Domain 4: Mentor Teacher			
Competency: Demonstrate a deep knowledge of the Louisiana standards aligned, high quality curriculum, and aligned pedagogical approaches			
Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Understands some (but not all) of the content standards and links between various curriculum components, and demonstrates a little knowledge of how use the curriculum in planning for instruction and assessment ● Demonstrates an incomplete understanding of the concepts of the curriculum and/or rarely is able to support others' understanding of it. ● Models pedagogical approaches that are misaligned to high quality curricula. ● Infrequently communicates clear understanding of or ability to assess others on implementation of pedagogical approaches aligned to high quality curricula. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Basically understands the content standards and links between various curriculum components, and demonstrates a basic knowledge of how to use the curriculum in planning for instruction and assessment ● Demonstrates a basic understanding of the concepts of the curriculum and occasionally uses it to build conceptual understanding in others. ● Inconsistently models pedagogical approaches aligned to high quality curricula. ● Inconsistently demonstrates general understanding of and ability to assess others on implementation of pedagogical approaches aligned to high quality curricula. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Generally understands the content standards and links between various curriculum components, and demonstrates some knowledge of how to effectively use the curriculum in planning for instruction and assessment ● Demonstrates an understanding of the concepts of the curriculum and regularly uses it to build conceptual understanding in others. ● Consistently models pedagogical approaches aligned to high quality curricula. ● Consistently demonstrates general understanding of and ability to assess others on implementation of narrow range of pedagogical approaches aligned to high quality curricula. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Deeply understands the content standards and links between various curriculum components, and demonstrates a deep knowledge of how to effectively use the curriculum in planning for instruction and assessment ● Demonstrates an advanced understanding of the concepts of a curriculum and frequently uses it to build deep conceptual understanding in others. ● Consistently models multiple pedagogical approaches aligned to high quality curricula. ● Consistently demonstrates deep understanding of and strong ability to assess others on implementation of multiple pedagogical approaches aligned to high quality curricula.