

2014-2015 School Report Card for Principals

XX School	Letter Grade
District: XXX Parish • Grades: 9-12	
Site Code: XXXX • XXXX Students Enrolled • XXX Special Education • XXX Free and Reduced Lunch • XXX Non-White	

This report is being provided to assist principals in analyzing the details of the accountability results for their school. The information contained in this report is not fully protected by Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA). Therefore, recipients must ensure that the confidentiality and security of personally identifiable information is maintained for promoting compliance with FERPA.

All data in this report card are unsuppressed and should not be shared externally. In some cases percentages may not equal 100% due to rounding.

Importantly, all data is based on accountability and school results; this means that only students who meet the definition of full academic year are included.

Report Card Overview

School, Teacher, and Workforce Performance At a Glance	Section I
How did students perform?	Section II
How did different subgroups of perform?	Section III
How did students improve?	Section IV
How prepared for college and career are students?	Section V
What led to student performance?	Section VI
Data Appendix	Section VII

School, Teacher, and Workforce Performance At a Glance

School Accountability: Letter Grade and Performance Score Information

	School Letter Grade	School Performance Score	End-of-Course Assessment Index	ACT Index	Cohort Graduation Rate Index	Cohort Graduation Rate	Strength of Diploma (Grad Index)	Progress Points Earned
2012-2013 Performance								
2013-2014 Performance								
2014-2015 Performance								

Teacher Performance and Evaluation Information

St	udent Growth	Teacher Pe	rformance
Basic and Above (Good or Above)	Mastery and Above (Excellent or Above)	Highly Effective Overall	Highly Effective on Goals

	Overall Evaluation	Professional Practice	Student Outcomes	Transitional Student Growth
Highly Effective				
Effective Proficient				
Effective Emerging				
Ineffective				

Workforce Information

	Repeat Ineffective on Value-Added	Repeat Highly Effective on Value-Added	Repeat Highly Effective on Professional Practice	Percent Highly Effective on Student Learning Targets	Percent Growth in Basic and Above
2013-2014					
2014-2015					

How did students perform?

Spring 2015 EOC Test: Performance by Achievement Levels

		Excel	lent		Good					Fa	ir		Needs Improvement			
Subjects	School	School Last Year	District	State	School	School Last Year	District	State	School	School Last Year	District	State	School	School Last Year	District	State
English II																
Algebra I																
Geometry																
Biology																
English III																
U. S. History																

Note: In some cases percentages may not equal 100% due to rounding. All school values are counts while district and state are percentages.

How did different subgroups of students perform?

Planning Data

Subgroup Comparisons

		Per	centage of Students (All Subje		:	Percentage of Students Fair, Good, or Excellent (All Subjects)						
Subgroups	Groups	School	School Last Year	District	State	School	School Last Year	District	State			
Special	Students with Disabilities											
Education	Regular Education Students											
Economically Disadvantaged	Students who are Economically Disadvantaged											
	Students who are non Economically Disadvantaged											
English	Students who are English Language Learners											
Language Learners	Students who are not English Language Learners											
Race	Non-white Students											
	White Students											

Students with Disabilities

Spring 2015 LAA1 Tests: Performance by Achievement Levels

		English Lang	guage Arts			Mathe	matics		Science					
Achievement Levels (Grades 9 to 11)	School	School Last Year	District	State	School	School Last Year	District	State	School	School Last Year	District	State		
Exceeds Standard														
Meets Standard														
Working Toward Standard														

Note: In some cases percentages may not equal 100% due to rounding. The Working Toward Standard achievement level includes students who performed in the Working Toward Standard range, who were not exempt and did not take the test, and students with testing irregularities.

Spring 2015 EOC Test: Performance by Achievement Levels

		Excel	lent		Good					Fa	ir		Needs Improvement				
Subjects	School	School Last Year	District	State	School	School Last Year	District	State	School	School Last Year	District	State	School	School Last Year	District	State	
English II																	
Algebra I																	
Geometry																	
Biology																	
English III																	
U. S. History																	

Students Who Are Economically Disadvantaged

		Excel	lent		Good					Fa	ir		Needs Improvement				
Subjects	School	School Last Year	District	State	School	School Last Year	District	State	School	School Last Year	District	State	School	School Last Year	District	State	
English II																	
Algebra I																	
Geometry																	
Biology																	
English III																	
U. S. History																	

English Language Learners

		Excel	lent		Good				Fair				Needs Improvement			
Subjects	School	School Last Year	District	State	School	School Last Year	District	State	School	School Last Year	District	State	School	School Last Year	District	State
English II																
Algebra I																
Geometry																
Biology																
English III																
U. S. History																

Non-white Students

		Excellent				Good				Fa	ir			Needs Improvement			
Subjects	School	School Last Year	District	State	School	School Last Year	District	State	School	School Last Year	District	State	School	School Last Year	District	State	
English II																	
Algebra I																	
Geometry																	
Biology																	
English III																	
U. S. History																	

ACT Performance: Comparative Analysis

				ACT Perfo	rmance			
ACT Information	School (#)	School (%)	School Last Year (#)	School Last Year (%)	District (#)	District (%)	State (#)	State (%)
Average ACT Score								
Total Students Tested								
Students Scoring 17+ (TOPS Tech)								
Students Scoring 18+								
Students Scoring 20+ (TOPS Opportunity)								
Students Scoring 23+ (TOPS Performance)								
Students Scoring 27+ (TOPS Honors)								

Note: Only full academic year (FAY) students are included in the calculation. Students who graduated in December 2014 are included.

Planning Data: WorkKeys Performance

	WorkKeys Performance									
Total Students Scoring Silver + (all students, regardless of grade)										
Total Students Taking WorkKeys (all students, regardless of grade)										

How did students improve?

Growth for Non-Proficient Students: Progress Points for Schools

	Hi	gh School Progress Points						
	Numbe	er of test units	Percent of non-proficient	Progress District HS: Percent of non-proficient students scoring at				State HS: Percent of non-proficient students scoring at
Subject	Number of non-proficient students	Number of non-proficient students who scored at the top of the expected range or higher	students at or above the top of the expected range or higher*	Points Earned	the top of the expected score range or higher	the top of the expected score range or higher		
ELA (includes both EXPLORE to PLAN and PLAN to ACT)								
Math (includes both EXPLORE to PLAN and PLAN to ACT)								
Total**								

*It must be at least 30% of non-proficient students at or above the top of the expected range to gain progress points.

**The maximum number of progress points is 10 even if the number of total progress points awarded is greater than 10.

NOTE: Grade 12 repeating students included in 2012-13 SPS are excluded from the ACT and progress points indexes in 2013-14.

Growth of Non-Proficient Students: Student Analysis of EXPLORE to PLAN and PLAN to ACT Growth

		P	LAN					АСТ	
EXPLORE Score	ACT Expected Score Range	Students Scoring at the Median or Below	Students Scoring Above the Median	Students Scoring at the Top of the Range or Above	PLAN Score	ACT Expected Score Range	Students Scoring at the Median or Below	Students Scoring Above the Median	Students Scoring at the Top of the Range or Above
1 -5					0-6				
6-10					7-12				
11					13-15				
12					16				
13					17				
14					18				
15					19				
16					20				
17					21				
18					22				
19					23				
20					24				
21-25					25 - 32				

How prepared for college and career are students?

Strength of Diploma (Graduation Index) Measures

Category	Student Outcome	Index Points	Cohort Member Count	Total Points
High School Diploma	 (a) Advanced Placement score of 3+, International Baccalaureate of 4+, CLEP score of 50+ and (b) Advanced statewide Jump Start credential 	160		
Plus:	 (a) Advanced Placement score of 3+, International Baccalaureate of 4+, CLEP score of 50+ or Advanced statewide Jump Start credential 	150		
High School Diploma Plus: **Students must take	 (a) At least one passing course grade for TOPS core curriculum credit of the following type: Advanced Placement, college credit, dual enrollment, or International Baccalaureate and (b) Basic statewide Jump Start credential 	115		
the Advanced Placement exam and pass the course.	 (a) At least one passing course grade for TOPS core curriculum credit of the following type: Advanced Placement, college credit, dual enrollment, or International Baccalaureate or (b) Basic statewide Jump Start credential 	110		
On-time Graduate	High School Diploma (no additional credentials earned)	100		
5 th year Graduate with Credentials	Graduates with an Advanced Placement score of 3+, International Baccalaureate score of 4+, or CLEP score of 50+	140		
5 th year Graduates	High School Diploma (no additional credentials earned)	75		
6 th year Graduates	High School Diploma	50		
High School Equivalency	HiSet/GED	25		
Non-Graduates without Hi	gh School Equivalency	0		
Total Points				
Cohort Graduation Index (Strength of Diploma)			
Prior Year Cohort Graduat	ion Index (Strength of Diploma)			

Planning Data Strength of Diploma (Graduation Index) Summary Table

		Cohort Member Count	Prior Year
Total (Cohort Member/4 Year	s Graduation Points)		
Advanced Placement, CLEP and International Baccalaureate	Total Cohort Members/Graduates with an AP Score of 3+, IBof 4+, or CLEP of 50+Total Cohort Members/Graduates with course credit and APexam score of 1-2 or IB score of 1-3		
Dual Enrollment	TOPS core curriculum credit earned in a dual enrollment course		
Career Preparation: Jump	Advanced statewide Jump Start credential		
Start and Industry Based Credentials	Basic Jump Start credential or Industry Based Credential		
On-time Graduate	High School Diploma (no additional credentials earned)		
5 th year Graduate with Credentials	Graduates with an AP Score of 3+, IB of 4+, or CLEP of 50+		
5 th year Graduates	High School Diploma (no additional credentials earned)		
6 th year Graduates	High School Diploma		
High School Equivalency	HiSet/GED		
Non-graduates	Non-Graduates without High School Equivalency		

Graduation Results and College-Going Cohort Graduation Rate Index

		Cohort Graduation R	ate (2014 Graduates)	
	School	School Last Year	District	State
4-year Graduates				
Cohort Graduation Rate				
Cohort Graduation Rate Index				

Informational and Planning Data 5th and 6th Year Graduates

		Cohort Grac	luation Rate	
	School	School Last Year	District	State
5th-year Cohort Graduates (4 th year, on-time cohort was 2013)				
6 th -year Cohort Graduates (4 th year, on-time cohort was 2012)				

First-Time Freshmen and College Entrance (2013-2014 Graduates)

	At /	A Glance	Of Those High School	Graduates Entering Colle School Graduation	ge the First Fall After High
	Total High School Graduates	Enrolled in College First Fall After High School Graduation	% 2 year college-going	% 4 year college-going	% In-state college-going
School					
School Prior Year (2012-13 Graduates)					
District					
State					

What led to the student performance?

All Subjects,	Overall Evaluation			Professional Practice			Student Outcome Rating			Trai	Transitional Student Growth					
All Grades	School	School Last Year	District	State	School	School Last Year	District	State	School	School Last Year	District	State	School	School Last Year	District	State
Highly Effective																
Effective Proficient																
Effective Emerging																
Ineffective																

How do we compare to other schools?

School Performance at a Glance: Average School Performance By Letter Grade and Index*

	Avg. Percent of End-of-Course Exams Good or Excellent	Avg. End-of-Course Assessment Index	Avg. ACT Assessment Index	Avg. Grad Rate	Avg. Grad Rate Index	Avg. Strength of Diploma (Grad Index)	Avg. Progress Points Earned
XX School**							
A Schools							
B Schools							
C Schools							
D Schools							
F Schools							
Total***							

* The average for each performance refers to average percent of students by each letter grade.

**The green row indicates the performance of the school; therefore, in the columns with "average," it is not the average, rather it is just the school performance.

***Note: T schools not included, total may not equal 100%.

How is my school performance score calculated?

2014-2015 SPS Calculation

Grade 9-12 Indicators and Weighting			
SPS Indicators:	Index x Weight		= Weighted Index
ACT Assessment			
End-of-Course (EOC) / LAA1 Assessment			
Cohort Graduation Index			
Cohort Graduation Rate Index			
Progress Points			
SPS			