

Scholarships for Educational Excellence Program
Agreed-Upon Procedures Report for the Year Ended June 30, 2014





8550 United Plaza Blvd., Suite 1001
Baton Rouge, LA 70809

Tel: (225) 922-4600 • Fax: (225) 922-4611

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND FINANCE

## AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES REPORT

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
		Page
Independent A	Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	1
Executive Sur	mmary	2 - 7
Attachments:		
Schedule A:	Agreed-Upon Procedures	8 - 12
Schedule B: F	Findings Resulting from Procedures Performed for each school:	
Site Code	School Name	Page
502018	St. Elizabeth School	13

Site Code	School Name	Page
502018	St. Elizabeth School	13
P26001	Faith Christian Academy	16
616001	Lutheran High School	19
889001	Community Day School	22
506036	Our Lady of Divine Providence School	25
506041	Our Lady of Perpetual Help	28
506043	Our Lady of Prompt Succor School	31
572001	Ridgewood Preparatory School	34
506054	St. Agnes School	37
506057	St. Angela Merici School	40
506059	St. Anthony School	43
506094	St. Mary Magdalen School	46
760001	Victory Christian Academy	49
503003	Holy Rosary School	52
503004	Holy Savior School	55
503009	St. Genevieve School	58
503012	St. Joseph Elementary School	61
503013	St. Mary's Nativity	64
506044	Our Lady of Prompt Succor School	67
727001	Boutte Christian Academy	70
503001	Central Catholic School	73
503005	Maria Immacolata School	76
506049	Sacred Heart of Jesus School	79
718001	Dreamkeepers Academy	82
719001	Evangel Christian Academy	85
500020	St. Joseph School	88
656001	Old Bethel Christian Academy	91
579001	Family Community Christian School	94
886001	Claiborne Christian School	97
874001	Northeast Baptist School	100
706001	Prevailing Faith Christian Academy	103

## <u>DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION</u> <u>OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND FINANCE</u>

## AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES REPORT

C4. C. J.	C.I I W	D
Site Code	School Name	Page
500008	Our Lady of Fatima School	107
905001	Quest Schools	110
500010	St. Frederick High School	113
992001	Union Christian Academy	116
505006	Our Lady's School	119
505011	St. Theodore's Holy Family Catholic School	122
582001	Gethsemane Christian Academy	125
504007	Holy Family Catholic School	128
504009	Immaculate Heart of Mary School	131
667001	John Paul the Great Academy	134
502033	Catholic Elementary School of Pointe Coupee	137
502003	Catholic High of Pointe Coupee	140
619001	Cenla Christian Academy	143
501003	Holy Savior Menard Central High School	147
501016	St. Frances Cabrini School	150
538001	Family Worship Christian Academy	153
049035	Park Vista Elementary School	156
571001	Lighthouse Christian Preparatory School	158
533001	Alfred Booker, Jr. Academy	161
641001	Alexandria Country Day School	164
785001	Westminster Christian Academy	167



A Professional Accounting Corporation
Associated Offices in Principal Cities of the United States
www.pncpa.com

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

June 26, 2014

Office of Management and Finance Department of Education Baton Rouge, Louisiana

We have performed the procedures listed in the attached Schedule A, which were agreed to by the Louisiana Department of Education (LDE), solely to assist you in monitoring each of the specific schools listed, in the attached Schedule A, for compliance with the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program (Program) laws and regulations for the year ended June 30, 2014. LDE is responsible for establishing procedures and enforcing laws and regulations as specifically required by *Title 28, Education, Part CLIII, Bulletin 133-Scholarship Programs* (BESE Policy). LDE is also responsible for providing guidance for compliance with these laws and regulations to the participating schools. This engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

The scope and sufficiency of our procedures is solely the responsibility of LDE. Our procedures were limited to those that you have determined will best meet your informational and regulatory needs and may not necessarily disclose all significant errors, frauds, noncompliance and other illegal acts that may exist. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described in the attached Schedule A, either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The results of our procedures, by school, are described in the attached Schedule B.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the information provided. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the use of the Department of Education and should not be used by those who have not agreed to the procedures and taken responsibility for the sufficiency of the procedures for their purposes.

Postlethwaite & Netterville, APAC

Postlethwaite + Netterville

The primary purpose of this engagement was to assist the Louisiana Department of Education (LDE) in monitoring each of the specific schools listed in the accompanying report for compliance with the Scholarships for Educational Excellence Program (Program) limits and requirements for the academic school year (AY) 2013-2014. Procedures performed were agreed to by the LDE and are included in Schedule A of the agreed-upon procedures report. Fifty-two (52) schools were subject to these procedures. Of the fifty-two (52) schools, one (1) was a public school and only procedures III and IV applied.

The results of our procedures are presented in detail in a Schedule B presented for each school. However, the following narrative provides a high level summary of the findings (also referred to as exceptions) across all schools and the procedures performed:

### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students Compared to Non-scholarship Students

As more fully described in Schedule A, this set of procedures called for comparison of the tuition and fees charged to and paid by the scholarship program to that charged to and paid by, or for, non-scholarship students. For eighteen (18) out of fifty-one (51) private schools tested, P&N noted exceptions when making that comparison. For purposes of this procedure, an exception is defined as an instance where the tuition and fees charged and collected from a non-scholarship student were less than the tuition paid by the Program for scholarship students. These exceptions were generally a result of tuition waivers issued to non-scholarship students, in-kind assistance, or differences in fee charges. Exceptions were noted at the following schools:

School Site Code	School	Non Scholarship Sample - 1st Qtr. (# of students)	Number of non-scholarship students with exceptions (Tuition and Fees)
503003	Holy Rosary School	5	5
500008	Our Lady of Fatima School	5	5
500020	St. Joseph School	5	5
506094	St. Mary Magdalen School	5	5
P26001	Faith Christian Academy	5	3
874001	Northeast Baptist School	5	4
582001	Gethsemane Christian Academy	5	3
506044	Our Lady of Prompt Succor School	5	3
501016	St. Frances Cabrini School	5	3
503013	St. Mary's Nativity	5	3
889001	Community Day School	5	2
719001	Evangel Christian Academy	5	2
501003	Holy Savior Menard Central High School	5	2
533001	Alfred Booker, Jr. Academy	5	1
503001	Central Catholic School	5	1
579001	Family Community Christian School	5	1
505006	Our Lady's School	5	1
503012	St. Joseph Elementary School	5	1

We would like to make the LDE aware that while P&N reports the tuition and fee differences as exceptions, they do not qualify as findings; therefore the cost is not questioned.

### II. Use of Funds

Internal Control Questionnaire:

This procedure called for us to obtain a completed internal control questionnaire for each school. P&N noted that all (51) private schools tested, completed and provided the internal control questionnaire to the LDE.

*Verification of Educational Purpose:* 

This procedure called for observation of supporting documentation for costs charged to the Program and evidence of educational purpose. P&N noted the following observations for the fifty-one (51) private schools for which expenditures were tested as described in Schedule A:

- Two (2) schools account for scholarship expenditures separately through separate bank accounts or accounting systems identifying scholarship program activity: Dreamkeepers Academy (718001) and Quest Schools (905001). For those schools, P&N selected samples and performed testing in accordance with the procedures described in Schedule A and noted no exceptions.
- For the remaining forty-nine (49) Schools that did not keep separate accounting records, P&N obtained allocations of general operating costs to the scholarship program and performed the procedures described in Schedule A.

A summary of the findings is as follows:

School Site Code	School	Expen Q	holarship diture Testing uestioned Amounts
706001	Prevailing Faith Christian Academy	\$	13,035.75
506044	Our Lady of Prompt Succor School	\$	235.87
533001	Alfred Booker, Jr. Academy	\$	128.04
506036	Our Lady of Divine Providence School	\$	74.55

TOTAL OVERPAYMENT \$ 13,474.21

### Budget to Actual Expenditures:

This procedure called for gathering budget to actual expenditure reports of the program from each school and noting where actual expenditures were less than 50% of the amount budgeted. Of thirty-four (34) schools that provided us with budget and actual expenditure schedules as of the required cut-off date of January 31, 2014, six (6) schools reported less than 50% of budget expended. P&N notes that the expenditures reported for each school were based on varying allocation methods, which should be considered when analyzing percent expended information.

Seventeen (17) schools provided us with a budget to actual expenditure schedule that applied an incorrect cut-off date. For these schools, P&N was not able to determine if at least 50% of the budget was expended as of January 31, 2014.

#### Enrichment:

This procedure called for comparison of the rate of change in scholarship enrollment from prior year to the current year to the rate of change in key employee salaries. For forty-three (43) out of fifty-one (51) private schools that were reviewed, there were no exceptions noted.

This procedure was not applicable for eight (8) private schools due to one of the following: this being the first year of participation in the program, turnover in key positions in the current year or key employees not receiving compensation by the school.

### **III. Payment Verification**

These procedures called for verifying residency and attendance for scholarship students as described in Schedule A. P&N noted that six (6) out of a total of fifty-two (52) schools (51 private and one public schools) reviewed received scholarship tuition and fee payments for students that were identified as ineligible during residency and attendance verification procedures. A summary of the testing, the exceptions noted, and the tuition overpayment resulting from those exceptions is set forth in the table below.

School Site Code	School	New Scholarship Student Population - 3rd Qtr.	New Scholarship Students Sample - 3rd Qtr.	Number of Students with Exceptions Related to Residency and/or Attendance	Total Overpayments <sup>1</sup> Based Upon Test Sample
533001	Alfred Booker, Jr. Academy	38	5	1	\$ 1,050.00
874001	Northeast Baptist School	<10	5	1	\$ 1,992.50
656001	Old Bethel Christian Academy	19	5	1	\$ 899.50
506044	Our Lady of Prompt Succor School	46	5	1	\$ 1,253.75
506054	St. Agnes School	37	5	1	\$ 1,162.50
506059	St. Anthony School	62	6	2	\$ 4,418.75

TOTAL OVERPAYMENT \$ 10,777.00

<sup>1</sup> Overpayments may be duplicated if the scholarship payment for a student is disallowed in more than one procedure. These duplicated overpayments are quantified and eliminated under the caption Duplicate Overpayments on the last page of this executive summary.

### IV. Income Eligibility

This procedure called for verifying income eligibility for scholarship students as described in Schedule A. P&N noted that five (5) out of fifty-two (52) schools (51 private and one public schools) reviewed received scholarship tuition and fee payments for students that were identified as ineligible. A summary of the testing performed, identified exceptions, and tuition overpayment resulting from those exceptions is set forth in the table below.

School Site Code	School	New Scholarship Student Population - 3rd Qtr.	New Scholarship Students Sample - 3rd Qtr.	Number of Students with Exceptions Related to Income Eligibility	Total Overpayments <sup>1</sup> Based Upon Test Sample
533001	Alfred Booker, Jr. Academy	38	5	1	\$ 1,050.00
619001	Cenla Christian Academy	11	5	1	\$ 3,345.00
667001	John Paul the Great Academy	22	5	1	\$ 4,668.75
506044	Our Lady of Prompt Succor School	46	5	1	\$ 1,253.75
506059	St. Anthony School	62	6	1	\$ 3,303.75

TOTAL OVERPAYMENT \$ 13,621.25

## V. Special Education Tuition

Of the fifty-two (52) schools reviewed, St. Agnes School and Gethsemane Christian Academy were the only schools that received additional Scholarship Program tuition for providing special education services to students. No exceptions were reported.

### **Additional Observations**

#### Procedure I

Our procedures under caption I regarding tuition payments were directed toward non-scholarship students. In general, the dollar impact to the Scholarship Program of exceptions noted when performing those procedures could not be determined because of a lack of evidence that the exception would apply to all or any scholarship student. However, P&N noted certain exceptions that could reasonably apply to at least one or more scholarship students. Those exceptions and the potential overpayments are described below:

School Site Code	School	Total 1st Quarter Overpayments Based Upon Additional Observation <sup>2</sup>	Comments/Observations
619001	Cenla Christian Academy	\$ 2,230.00	During sample selection process it was noted that there were three scholarship students in the fifth grade, however per the school, fifth grade was cancelled due to low enrollment. Based on discussion with the Principal, one of the 5th grade scholarship students was promoted to 6th grade. Of the two other scholarship students, one transferred out of the school and the other never returned after enrollment. The tuition payment would therefore be questioned for those two students because of a lack of attendance. The school received \$2,230 for these two scholarship students during quarter 1 (\$1,115 per student).
500008	Our Lady of Fatima School	\$ 861.25	The school erroneously included book fee, classroom fee, and supply fee on LDE's required tuition and fee schedule for Scholarship students. This error resulted in overpayments of scholarship tuition of \$1,267.50 However, this overpayment is partially offset by an underpayment of \$406.25 due to a \$25 difference (\$6.25 per qtr) in the registration fees. The registration fee was assessed at \$175 for scholarship students, but at \$200 for non-scholarship students. Thus, the net LDE overpayment was \$861.25 (\$1,267.5-\$406.25).

TOTAL Q1 OVERPAYMENT \$ 3,091.25

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It should be noted that the above overpayments apply to the first quarter only. Further investigation should be performed to determine if these overpayments occurred in subsequent quarters.

### Procedure II

While performing our procedures around scholarship expenditures as defined in Schedule A, P&N noted the following exception related to a non-sampled disbursement from a school's scholarship program bank account.

School Site Code		Total 1st Quarter Overpayments Based Upon Additional Observation	Comments/Observations
706001	Prevailing Faith Christian Academy	\$ 600.00	Per review of school's scholarship program account bank statements, P&N noted a transaction of \$600 from the scholarship fund to a personal account. In response to the request for support, the school stated that this was a reimbursement for a payment made from the personal account to the school's scholarship program account for an insurance payment. Since there was no invoice to support payment to an outside party, the cost is questioned.

TOTAL OVERPAYMENT

600.00

## **Duplicate Overpayments (Procedure III and IV)**

Multiple testing exceptions may have been noted among the testing procedures for any one selected student. To prevent double-counting of tuition overpayments that result from these exceptions, the chart below reflects adjustments for duplicate exceptions identified in procedures III and IV:

School Site Code	School		Total verpayments vedure III - IV	Total Overpayments Less Duplicate Exceptions
533001	Alfred Booker, Jr. Academy	\$	2,100.00	\$ 1,050.00
506044	Our Lady of Prompt Succor School		2,507.50	\$ 1,253.75
506059	St. Anthony School	\$	7,722.50	\$ 4,418.75

#### **OBJECTIVE:**

The primary purpose of this engagement was to assist the LDE in determining whether the specific schools identified by the LDE are operating in accordance with various Scholarships for Educational Excellence Program (Program) guidelines. The schools included in the scope of this engagement are as follows:

gu g 1	G.L. IV
Site Code	School Name
502018	St. Elizabeth School
522001	Conquering Word Christian Academy*
P26001	Faith Christian Academy
616001	Lutheran High School
889001	Community Day School
506036	Our Lady of Divine Providence School
506041	Our Lady of Perpetual Help
506043	Our Lady of Prompt Succor School
572001	Ridgewood Preparatory School
506054	St. Agnes School
506057	St. Angela Merici School
506059	St. Anthony School
506094	St. Mary Magdalen School
760001	Victory Christian Academy
503003	Holy Rosary School
503004	Holy Savior School
503009	St. Genevieve School
503012	St. Joseph Elementary School
503013	St. Mary's Nativity
506044	Our Lady of Prompt Succor School
727001	Boutte Christian Academy
503001	Central Catholic School
503005	Maria Immaculate School
506049	Sacred Heart of Jesus School
718001	Dreamkeepers Academy
719001	Evangel Christian Academy
500020	St. Joseph School

Site Code	School Name
656001	Old Bethel Christian Academy
579001	Family Community Christian School
886001	Claiborne Christian School
874001	Northeast Baptist School
706001	Prevailing Faith Christian Academy
500008	Our Lady of Fatima School
905001	Quest School
500010	St. Frederick High School
992001	Union Christian Academy
505006	Our Lady's School
505011	St. Theodore's Holy Family Catholic School
582001	Gethsemane Christian Academy
504007	Holy Family Catholic School
504009	Immaculate Heart of Mary School
667001	John Paul the Great Academy
502033	Catholic Elementary School of Pointe Coupee
502003	Catholic High of Pointe Coupee
619001	Cenla Christian Academy
501003	Holy Savior Menard Central High School
501016	St. Frances Cabrini School
538001	Family Worship Christian Academy
049035	Park Vista Elementary School
571001	Lighthouse Christian Preparatory School
533001	Alfred Booker, Jr. Academy
641001	Alexandria Country Day School
785001	Westminster Christian Academy

<sup>\*</sup> Please note that this school was initially included in the scope of the engagement and was later removed per LDE's request.

### SCOPE/PROCEDURES:

### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students (Ch. 13, Sec. 1303-Financial Practice)

- ➤ We obtained a copy of the LDE's Approved Tuition and Fees form from LDE.
- After the first payment, we selected one non-scholarship student from each tuition level for a minimum sample of five students. For each selected student, we observed supporting documentation evidencing that the tuition and fees were charged and that payments have been made on behalf of the student and that the tuition and fees charged to the non-scholarship student were greater than or equal to the amount charged to the Program, as indicated by the LDE's Approved Tuition and Fees form.
- Any non-scholarship student selected whose tuition and fees were less than the amount charged to the Program was considered to be an exception in Schedule B of the report. However, the following reasons for the non-scholarship tuition and fees being less than scholarship tuition and fees were deemed acceptable and thus not reported as an

exception: differences in tuition attributable to early assessment of graduation and field trip fees for scholarship students, early registration discount, member of the associated church discount, multiple family members' discounts, teachers' son or daughter discounts, parent participation in fundraising activities or fund raising fees, or other.

### II. Use of Funds (Ch. 13, Sec. 1303-Financial Practice)

### A. Internal Control Questionnaire

➤ We obtained the internal control questionnaires from LDE as completed by the Schools' Management. P&N's procedures included maintaining information on whether schools provided the internal control questionnaire and reporting on any schools that have not complied with LDE's request.

All schools submitted the questionnaire required by LDOE, P&N performed no verification on the answers provided by the schools' management on the submitted questionnaires. Any school not completing and providing the questionnaire was considered to be an exception in Schedule B of this report.

### B. Verification of Educational Purpose

- For schools that account for scholarship expenditures separately through a separate bank account or accounting system identifying scholarship program activity, we obtained the system-generated schedule of expenditures to-date for scholarship funds (report generated from accounting system, check register, etc.). P&N selected a sample of five payroll and five non-payroll scholarship expenditures, as applicable, and verified that each transaction occurred, was in agreement with supporting documentation, and was for educational purposes as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- For all schools that do not account separately for scholarship expenditures (no separate bank account used to segregate scholarship expenditures or accounting system to identify scholarship program activity), P&N obtained the transactions from the schools' general operating funds that they asserted were allocable to the scholarship program. The transactions were provided by way of various formats including:
  - o Spreadsheet identifying summary and/or detailed payroll and/or non-payroll information, with or without the percentage charged to the scholarship program;
  - o General ledger transactions;
  - o Roster of personnel of the school with annual compensation, and the percentage allocable to the scholarship program.

P&N made no attempts to reconcile the expenditure amounts identified for, or allocated to, the scholarship program to expenditures reported to the LDE through budget to actual expenditures reports.

From detailed information provided by the schools, P&N selected five payroll and five non-payroll, as applicable, observed supporting documentation and determined that expenditures were for educational purposes.

> Supporting documentation evidencing educational purpose included at least one of the following: employee job description, payroll register noting employee title, school web

site directory, teacher's class roster and teacher's class schedule, invoice from vendor identifying items or services purchased, and lease or use agreement for facilities.

Educational purposes were defined as: Instructional Programs, Pupil Support Programs, Instructional Staff Programs, School Administration, General Administration, Business Services, Operations and Maintenance of Plant Services, Transportation, Food Services Operations, Enterprise Operations, Community Services Operations, Facility Acquisition and Construction Services, and Debt Services.

Any expenditure selected that lacked supporting documentation and/or evidence of educational purpose was reported as an exception in Schedule B of the report.

### C. Budget to Actual Expenditures

- ➤ We obtained the budget to actual expenditures schedule as of January 31, 2014 from LDE as completed by the Schools' Management.
- We reviewed the budget to actual expenditures schedule and reported if expenditures as of January 31, 2014 were less than 50 percent of the amount budgeted.
- ➤ We reviewed the reserved budget category and noted the percent of reserved in comparison to the total scholarship budget. Where applicable, we reported any explanations for the reserved balance.

### D. Individual Enrichment

- ➤ We obtained a list of key personnel and their salaries for current (2013-14) and prior year (2012-13) from each School. Key personnel were defined by LDE as Board Members, School Administrators, Principals, and Academic Officers/Directors.
- For schools that have participated in the Scholarship Program during AY2012-13 and that have incurred scholarship payments for salaries and benefits, we reviewed to determine whether salaries for key personnel have increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship student growth. If so, we reported that percentage of growth and explanations for salary growth.

### III. Payment Verification (Act 2, Section 4017(B))

- ➤ We obtained Q1 Roster from LDE, specifically identifying new students and selected a sample based on the following criteria: if the new incoming student scholarship enrollment was 10 or less, we examined 5 new students or all new students if less than 5; if there were more than 10, we examined a random sample of 10% of the new students or a minimum of 5 students, whichever was greater.
- ➤ P&N verified that each selected student was enrolled in and actively attending the school within two weeks before and after each of the first three count dates for which tuition and fees were claimed. Count dates were September 11, 2013, December 4, 2013, and February 5, 2014, with the final count date on May 7, 2014. Active attendance was defined as no more than 10 absences within the four week period from two weeks before

- and two weeks after the count date. Enrollment was verified through observation of class roll books and/or attendance records. Any selected student not actively attending was reported as an exception on Schedule B of the report.
- For the same sample, P&N verified that residency documentation maintained by the school agrees with information on the application data file, including parish code, as provided by LDE. Per LDE's application guidelines, one of the following was considered sufficient for residency documentation: rental lease agreement or mortgage agreement, electricity/gas bill, telephone bill, cable or internet service bill, sewage/water bill, current official letter from a Government Agency (DSS,DHH), Homestead Exemption Notice, and Property tax notice. In addition, per LDE's guidance, the following documentation was deemed acceptable in explaining identified differences in residency documentation and the application data: notarized letters related to residency address/living arrangement discrepancies (i.e. parent/guardian lives with a relative etc.), marriage license, birth certificate, or other. Any student whose residency documentation did not match the application data file or did not contain acceptable differences was reported as an exception in Schedule B of the report.

### IV. Income Eligibility (Act 2, Section 4013(2))

➤ Using the same sample as in Procedure III, we verified that each scholarship student met the income eligibility requirements. We reviewed the income eligibility and supporting documentation to ensure that the family income was not greater than 250% of the current federal poverty guidelines. The following table, as published by the LDE in Scholarship Program 2013-2014 Application Guide, was used to test income eligibility.

Persons in Family/Household	Yearly Income	Monthly Income	Bi-Weekly Income	Weekly Income
1	\$28,725.00	\$2,393.75	\$1,104.81	\$552.40
2	\$38,775.00	\$3,231.25	\$1,491.35	\$745.67
3	\$48,825.00	\$4,068.75	\$1,877.88	\$938.94
4	\$58,875.00	\$4,906.25	\$2,264.42	\$1,132.21
5	\$68,925.00	\$5,743.75	\$2,650.96	\$1,325.48
6	\$78,975.00	\$6,581.25	\$3,037.50	\$1,518.75
7	\$89,025.00	\$7,418.75	\$3,424.04	\$1,712.02
8	\$99,075.00	\$8,256.25	\$3,810.58	\$1,905.29
Add this amount for each additional person	\$10,050.00	\$837.50	\$386.54	\$193.27

➤ If students participated in any one of several federal assistance programs, the income requirement for the scholarship program was deemed to be met as long as the following documentation was provided: Louisiana Purchase Card, SNAP Benefits, Social Security Benefits. Per LDE's guidance, the following documentation was also deemed acceptable: Medicaid card, SNAP renewal application, and Case Summary Snapshot from Office of Family Services (OFS). Any student whose family income exceeded the table above or for whom we did not observe the required documentation was reported as an exception in Schedule B of the report.

- ➤ If a student did not participate in a federal assistance program, any of the following documentation was required:
  - Federal Income Tax Return for the 2012 Calendar Year
  - W-2s From All Employers for the Tax Period Ending December 31, 2012
  - Unemployment Compensation Statement for the Period Ending on December 31, 2012
  - 1099s and/or Statements From Banks or Other Institutions Showing Interest Earnings for the Period Ending on December 31, 2012
  - Alimony as Shown in Court Decree or Agreement
  - Social Security Benefits Statement for the Period Ending on December 31, 2012
  - Pension Statement for the Period Ending on December 31, 2012

### V. Special Education Tuition (Ch. 13, Sec. 1303-Financial Practice, Use of Funds)

- We obtained the list of students for which special education tuition was being paid.
- After the third payment, we verified that the participating school was providing special education services to each eligible student for which special education tuition was paid. This was verified by reviewing the log of services that identified the student receiving the services, the date services were rendered, the services rendered, and the name of the provider.
- ➤ If the number of scholarship-eligible students identified as receiving special education services from the participating school in which they are enrolled was only one, we selected that one student as a sample item. Otherwise, we tested a minimum of two students or 10% of the special education population receiving scholarship funding, whichever was greater. We then documented any variances or observations.

School: St. Elizabeth School
Site Code: 502018
Questioned Costs
(Overpayments)

### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$

School: St. Elizabeth School	Site Code:	502018 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the sc off date as of January 31, 2014.	chool with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 64% of their budget according to the sched reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	lule provided. No	
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship study.		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: St. Elizabeth School	Site Code:	Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		(Over payments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures is with Schedule A.	n accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures is with Schedule A.	n accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	al education \$	-
Total Overpayment:	\$	-

School: Faith Christian Academy Site Code: P26001

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

A total of 3 non-scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted for a total of \$37.50.

\$

### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$ -

School: Faith Christian Academy	Site Code:	P26001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the so off date as of January 31, 2014.	chool with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 80% of their budget according to the schedule reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	dule provided. No	
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether to increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship studies.		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Faith Christian Academy	Site Code:	P26001
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
Per a Q1 student roster provided by LDE, there were no new schola for this school.	arship students	
Results:		
Procedure was not applicable.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
Per a Q1 student roster provided by LDE, there were no new schola for this school.	arship students	
Results:		
Procedure was not applicable.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spectuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program		
Total Overpayment:	\$	-

\$

# LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

School: Lutheran High School	Site Code:	616001  Questioned Costs  (Overpayments)
I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedur with Schedule A.	res in accordance	
Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences of fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts of scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.	where tuition and charged to e cost is not scholarship	
Results: No exceptions noted.		\$ -
II. Use of Funds		
a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnal by the LDE. No exception noted.	aire as requested	
b) Verification of Educational Purpose		
Scope and Selection:		
The school provided an allocation of its general operating expend Program.  A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accor Schedule A.		
Results:		

No exceptions noted.

School: Lutheran High School	Site Code:	616001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school the school did not use a cut-off date as of January 31, 2014.	ool. However,	
Results:		
The school expended 65% of their budget according to the schedul reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	e provided. No	
Exception noted because the expenditures were reported through a January 31, 2014.	date other than	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship stude		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Lutheran High School	Site Code:	Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		(Over payments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures with Schedule A.	in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures with Schedule A.	in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	al education	-
Total Overpayment:	\$	-

School: Community Day School Site Code: 889001

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

A total of 2 non-scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted for a total of \$2,277.14.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$ -

School: Community Day School	Site Code:	889001  Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school. It the school did not use a cut-off date as of January 31, 2014.	However,	
Results:		
The school expended 58% of their budget according to the schedule pro- reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	ovided. No	
Exception noted because the expenditures were reported through a date January 31, 2014.	other than	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
Procedure was not applicable since there was a turnover in key position the current year.	s during	
Results:		
Procedure was not applicable.	\$	-

School: Community Day School	Site Code:	889001
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 2 new scholarship students was selected for procwith Schedule A.	edures in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 2 new scholarship students was selected for procwith Schedule A.	edures in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Pr	-	-
Total Overpayment:	\$	-

School: Our Lady of Divine Providence School Site Code: 506036

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

From a total of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll expenditures tested, P&N noted 1 exception related to non-payroll expenditures that lacked supporting documentation.

\$ 74.55

School: Our Lady of Divine Providence School  Site Code:	:	506036 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut- off date as of January 31, 2014.		
Results:		
The school expended 57% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship student growth.		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Our Lady of Divine Providence School	Site Code:	506036
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedur with Schedule A.	es in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedur with Schedule A.	es in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spetuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Progra		<u>-</u>
Total Overpayment:	\$	74.55

School: Our Lady of Perpetual Help Site Code: 506041

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$ -

School: Our Lady of Perpetual Help	Site Code:	506041 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the schoff date as of January 31, 2014.	nool with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 56% of their budget according to the schedureserve budget category was included on the schedule.	ule provided. No	
No exceptions noted.		
	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
Procedure was not applicable since there was a turnover in key pothe current year.	ositions during	
Results:		
Procedure was not applicable.	\$	-

School: Our Lady of Perpetual Help	Site Code:	506041 Questioned Costs
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 7 new scholarship students was selected for procedures with Schedule A.	s in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 7 new scholarship students was selected for procedures with Schedule A.	s in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spec tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program		-
Total Overpayment:	\$	-

School: Our Lady of Prompt Succor School Site Code: 506043

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$ -

School: Our Lady of Prompt Succor School	Site Code:	506043  Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the sch off date as of January 31, 2014.	nool with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 59% of their budget according to the schedureserve budget category was included on the schedule.	ıle provided. No	
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether th increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship stud		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Our Lady of Prompt Succor School	Site Code:	506043
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedur with Schedule A.	res in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedur with Schedule A.	res in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive sp tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Progra		<del>-</del>
Total Overpayment:	\$	-

School: Ridgewood Preparatory School	Site Code:	572001
		uestioned Costs
		(Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: Ridgewood Preparatory School	Site Code:	572001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the off date as of January 31, 2014.	e school with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 60% of their budget according to the sc Reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	chedule provided.	
No exceptions noted.		
	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Ridgewood Preparatory School	Site Code:	572001  Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		(overpusments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 3 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in a with Schedule A.	accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 3 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in a with Schedule A.	accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special equition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	education\$_	-
Total Overpayment:	\$	<u>-</u>

School: St. Agnes School
Site Code: 506054

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: St. Agnes School	Site Code:	506054 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the sthe school did not use a cut-off date as of January 31, 2014.	school. However,	
Results:		
The school expended 80% of their budget according to the school reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	edule provided. No	
Exception noted because the expenditures were reported throug January 31, 2014.	gh a date other than	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship st		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: St. Agnes School	Site Code:	506054 Questioned Costs
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures with Schedule A.	s in accordance	
Results:		
A total of 1 scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted.	\$	1,162.50
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures with Schedule A.	s in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
Scope and Selection: A sample of 2 students were selected for our procedures.		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		
Total Overpayment:	\$	1,162.50

School: St. Angela Merici School Site Code: 506057

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: St. Angela Merici School	Site Code:	506057 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the sc off date as of January 31, 2014.	hool with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 58% of their budget according to the sched reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	lule provided. No	
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship study.		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: St. Angela Merici School	Site Code:	506057
		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in ac with Schedule A.	ecordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in ac with Schedule A.	cordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special ed tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	lucation\$	
Total Overpayment:	\$	-

School: St. Anthony School
Site Code: 506059
Questioned Costs
(Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: St. Anthony School	Site Code:	506059 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the off date as of January 31, 2014.	school with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 64% of their budget according to the sch reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	edule provided. No	
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship s		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: St. Anthony School	Site Code:	506059
		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 6 new scholarship students was selected for procedu with Schedule A.	ures in accordance	
Results:		
A total of 2 scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted.	\$	4,418.75
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 6 new scholarship students was selected for procedu with Schedule A.	ures in accordance	
A total of 1 scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted.	\$	3,303.75
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive s tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Programmer 2.	•	
Total Overpayment:	\$	7,722.50
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments	\$	4,418.75

School: St. Mary Magdalen School
Site Code: 506094
Questioned Costs

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

A total of 5 non-scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted for a total of \$206.25.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: St. Mary Magdalen School Site Code:	506094 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures	
Scope and Selection:	
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut- off date as of January 31, 2014.	
Results:	
The school expended 58% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	
No exceptions noted.	\$ -
c.2) Enrichment	
Scope and Selection:	
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship student growth.	
Results:	
No exceptions noted.	\$ -

School: St. Mary Magdalen School	Site Code:	506094
		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 4 new scholarship students was selected for procedure with Schedule A.	s in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 4 new scholarship students was selected for procedure with Schedule A.	s in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spectuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program		-
Total Overpayment:	\$	-

\$

# LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

School: Victory Christian Academy	Site Code:	760001  Questioned Costs
I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedure with Schedule A.	es in accordance	
Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences w fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts cl scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-sc students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.	where tuition and harged to cost is not cholarship	
Results: No exceptions noted.		\$ -
II. Use of Funds		
a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnai by the LDE. No exception noted.	ire as requested	
b) Verification of Educational Purpose		
Scope and Selection:		
The school provided an allocation of its general operating expendit Program.  A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accord Schedule A.		
Results:		

School: Victory Christian Academy	Site Code:	760001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the schooff date as of January 31, 2014.	ool with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 50% of their budget according to the schedule reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	e provided. No	
No exceptions noted.		
	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship students.		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Victory Christian Academy	Site Code:	760001
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu with Schedule A.	ares in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu with Schedule A.	ires in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive sp tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Progr		-
Total Overpayment:	\$	-

School: Holy Rosary School Site Code: 503003

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

A total of 5 non-scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted for a total of \$5,647.50.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: Holy Rosary School	Site Code	<b>)</b> :	503003 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures			
Scope and Selection:			
A budget to actual expenditures sched the school did not use a cut-off date as	tule was provided by the school. However, s of January 31, 2014.		
Results:			
The school expended 93% of their buc reserve budget category was included	dget according to the schedule provided. No on the schedule.		
Exception noted because the expenditude January 31, 2014.	ures were reported through a date other than	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment			
Scope and Selection:			
- 1	nel to determine whether the salaries have the rate of scholarship student growth.		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-

School: Holy Rosary School	Site Code:	503003
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedur with Schedule A.	res in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedur with Schedule A.	res in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spetuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Progra		-
Total Overpayment:	\$	

School: Holy Savior School Site Code: 503004

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: Holy Savior School	Site Code:	503004 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the off date as of January 31, 2014.	school with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 50% of their budget according to the school reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	edule provided. No	
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship st		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Holy Savior School	Site Code:	503004 Questioned Costs
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in with Schedule A.	n accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in with Schedule A.	n accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive specia tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	l education\$	-
Total Overpayment:	\$	

School: St. Genevieve School Site Code: 503009

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: St. Genevieve School	Site Code:	503009 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school off date as of January 31, 2014.	with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 58% of their budget according to the schedule p reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	rovided. No	
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the sal increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship student §		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: St. Genevieve School	Site Code:	503009
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
scope and screenon.		
Per a Q1 student roster provided by LDE, there were no new sch for this school.	nolarship students	
Results:		
Procedure was not applicable.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
Per a Q1 student roster provided by LDE, there were no new sch for this school.	nolarship students	
Results:		
Procedure was not applicable.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive stuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Prog	TRO 100	
tuttion through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Flog	\$	-
Total Overpayment:	\$	<u>-</u>

School: St. Joseph Elementary School Site Code: 503012

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

A total of 1 non-scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted for a total of \$93.75.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: St. Joseph Elementary School	Site Code:	503012 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school did not use a cut-off date as of January 31, 2014.	he school. However,	
Results:		
The school expended 59% of their budget according to the s reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	chedule provided. No	
Exception noted because the expenditures were reported through January 31, 2014.	ough a date other than	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine wheth increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: St. Joseph Elementary School	Site Code:	503012
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
scope una screenon.		
A sample of 1 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in with Schedule A.	n accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 1 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in with Schedule A.	n accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive specia	l education	
tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	_\$_	
Total Overpayment:	\$	-

School: St. Mary's Nativity Site Code: 503013

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

A total of 3 non-scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted for a total of \$6.25.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: St. Mary's Nativity	Site Code:	503013 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the off date as of January 31, 2014.	school with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 56% of their budget according to the sch Reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	edule provided.	
No exceptions noted.		
	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship states.		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: St. Mary's Nativity	Site Code:	503013
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedur with Schedule A.	res in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedur with Schedule A.	res in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive sp tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Progra		-
Total Overpayment:	\$	

School: Our Lady of Prompt Succor School Site Code: 506044

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

#### Results:

A total of 3 non-scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted for a total of \$37.50.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

From a total of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll expenditures tested, P&N noted 2 exceptions related to non-payroll expenditures that did not meet the criteria for educational purpose.

\$ 235.87

School: Our Lady of Prompt Succor School Sit	te Code:	506044  Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a off date as of January 31, 2014.	cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 60% of their budget according to the schedule provided reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	l. No	
No exceptions noted.		
	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries has increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship student growth.		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Our Lady of Prompt Succor Scho	ool Site	Code:	506044
III. Payment Verification (Attendance	and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 5 new scholarship student with Schedule A.	ts was selected for procedures in accorda	ince	
Results:			
A total of 1 scholarship student(s) with	h exception(s) noted.	\$	1,253.75
IV. Income Eligibility			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 5 new scholarship student with Schedule A.	ts was selected for procedures in accorda	nce	
Results:			
A total of 1 scholarship student(s) with	h exception(s) noted.	\$	1,253.75
The procedure was not applicable as the tuition through the Scholarship for Education	he school did not receive special education ucational Excellence Program.	on \$	
Total Overpayment:		\$	2,743.37
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for	Duplicate Overpayments	\$	1,489.62

School: Boutte Christian Academy Site Code: 727001

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: Boutte Christian Academy	Site Code:	727001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school did not use a cut-off date as of January 31, 2014.	ne school. However,	
Results:		
The school expended 68% of their budget according to the se Reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	chedule provided.	
Exception noted because the expenditures were reported thro January 31, 2014.	ough a date other than	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine wheth increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Boutte Christian Academy	Site Code:	727001
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu with Schedule A.	ares in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu with Schedule A.	ures in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive s tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Programmer Scholarship for Education Educa	•	-
Total Overpayment:	\$	

School: Central Catholic School Site Code: 503001

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

#### Results:

A total of 1 non-scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted for a total of \$500.00.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: Central Catholic School  Site Code:	503001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures	
Scope and Selection:	
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut- off date as of January 31, 2014.	
Results:	
The school expended 63% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	
No exceptions noted.	\$ -
c.2) Enrichment	
Scope and Selection:	
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship student growth.	
Results:	
No exceptions noted.	\$ -

School: Central Catholic School	Site Code:	503001
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
Per a Q1 student roster provided by LDE, there were no new schola for this school.	arship students	
Results:		
Procedure was not applicable.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
Per a Q1 student roster provided by LDE, there were no new schola for this school.	arship students	
Results:		
Procedure was not applicable.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spectuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program		-
Total Overpayment:	\$	-

School: Maria Immacolata School Site Code: 503005

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: Maria Immacolata School	Site Code:	503005 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the schoolf date as of January 31, 2014.	ool with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 4% of their budget according to the schedule reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	e provided. No	
Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2014, we of the amounted budget.	ere less than 50%	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship stude		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Maria Immacolata School	Site Code:	503005 Questioned Costs
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in with Schedule A.	accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in with Schedule A.	accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	education \$	-
Total Overpayment:	\$	-

School: Sacred Heart of Jesus School Site Code: 506049

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: Sacred Heart of Jesus School	Site Code:	506049 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the sthe school did not use a cut-off date as of January 31, 2014.	chool. However,	
Results:		
The school expended 50% of their budget according to the sche reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	dule provided. No	
Exception noted because the expenditures were reported throug January 31, 2014.	h a date other than	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship studies.		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Sacred Heart of Jesus School	Site Code:	506049
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu with Schedule A.	ares in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu with Schedule A.	ires in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive specification through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Programmes	•	-
Total Overpayment:	\$	<u>-</u>

School: Dreamkeepers Academy Site Code: 718001

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school accounted for expenditures separately through a separate bank account or an accounting system identifying scholarship program activity.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: Dreamkeepers Academy	Site Code:	718001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school. the school did not use a cut-off date as of January 31, 2014.	. However,	
Results:		
The school expended 50% of their budget according to the schedule preserve budget category was included on the schedule.	provided. No	
Exception noted because the expenditures were reported through a da January 31, 2014.	te other than	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaricreased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship student		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Dreamkeepers Academy	Site Code:	718001
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedure with Schedule A.	es in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedure with Schedule A.	es in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spe tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program		-
Total Overpayment:	\$	-

School: Evangel Christian Academy
Site Code: 719001
Ouestioned Costs

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

A total of 2 non-scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted for a total of \$1,262.50.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: Evangel Christian Academy	Site Code:	719001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the schoff date as of January 31, 2014.	nool with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 50% of their budget according to the schedule reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	ule provided. No	
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship students.		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Evangel Christian Academy	Site Code:	719001
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
111. I ayment verification (Attenuance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 7 new scholarship students was selected for prowith Schedule A.	ocedures in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 7 new scholarship students was selected for prowith Schedule A.	ocedures in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not recentuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence I	-	-
Total Overpayment:	\$	_

School: St. Joseph School Site Code: 500020

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

A total of 5 non-scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted for a total of \$53.75.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: St. Joseph School	Site Code:	500020 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was the school did not use a cut-off date as of Jan	- ·	
Results:		
The school expended 52% of their budget accreserve budget category was included on the		
Exception noted because the expenditures we January 31, 2014.	ere reported through a date other than	\$ -
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to c increased at a rate greater than double the rat		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -

School: St. Joseph School	Site Code:	500020
		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proce with Schedule A.	edures in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proce with Schedule A.	edures in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Pro	•	-
Total Overpayment:	\$	<u>-</u>

School: Old Bethel Christian Academy
Site Code: 656001

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: Old Bethel Christian Academy	Site Code:	656001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the sel off date as of January 31, 2014.	hool with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 77% of their budget according to the sched reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	lule provided. No	
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship study.		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Old Bethel Christian Academy	Site Code:	656001
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures with Schedule A.	in accordance	
Results:		
A total of 1 scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted.	\$	899.50
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures with Schedule A.	in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.		-
Total Overpayment:	\$	899.50

579001 School: Family Community Christian School Site Code: **Questioned Costs** 

(Overpayments)

### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

A total of 1 non-scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted for a total of \$687.50.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

\$ No exceptions noted.

School: Family Community Christian School	Site Code:	579001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the so off date as of January 31, 2014.	chool with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 46% of their budget according to the school Reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	dule provided.	
Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2014, v of the amounted budget.	were less than 50%	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether to increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship studies.		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Family Community Christian School	Site Code:	579001
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 8 new scholarship students was selected for procedure with Schedule A.	es in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 8 new scholarship students was selected for procedure with Schedule A.	es in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spe tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program		-
Total Overpayment:	\$	-

School: Claiborne Christian School Site Code: 886001

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: Claiborne Christian School	Site Code:	886001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the the school did not use a cut-off date as of January 31, 2014.	school. However,	
Results:		
The school expended 68% of their budget according to the sch reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	edule provided. No	
Exception noted because the expenditures were reported through January 31, 2014.	gh a date other than	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship states.		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Claiborne Christian School	Site Code:	886001
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procede with Schedule A.	ures in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procede with Schedule A.	ures in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive s tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Prog	•	-
Total Overpayment:	\$	

School: Northeast Baptist School Site Code: 874001

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

A total of 4 non-scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted for a total of \$35.00.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: Northeast Baptist School  Site Code:	874001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures	
Scope and Selection:	
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school. However, the school did not use a cut-off date as of January 31, 2014.	
Results:	
The school expended 76% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	
Exception noted because the expenditures were reported through a date other than January 31, 2014.	\$ -
c.2) Enrichment	
Scope and Selection:	
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship student growth.	
Results:	
No exceptions noted.	\$ -

School: Northeast Baptist School	Site Code:	874001
		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procuits with Schedule A.	cedures in accordance	
Results:		
A total of 1 scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted.	\$	1,992.50
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proc with Schedule A.	cedures in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive	•	
tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence P	rogram. \$	
Total Overpayment:	\$	1,992.50

School: Prevailing Faith Christian Academy Site Code: 706001

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 4 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A. This sample represents 100% of the non-scholarship student enrollment. Total scholarship program enrollment was 29 students.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

From a total of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll expenditures tested, P&N noted 5 exceptions related to non-payroll expenditures that either lacked supporting documentation or did not meet criteria for educational purpose.

\$ 13.035.75

School: Prevailing Faith Christian Academy	Site Code:	706001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was p the school did not use a cut-off date as of Janua	<u>•</u>	
Results:		
The school expended 67% of their budget accorreserve budget category was included on the school.	2	
Exception noted because the expenditures were January 31, 2014.	reported through a date other than	\$ -
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to det increased at a rate greater than double the rate of		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -

School: Prevailing Faith Christian Academy	Site Code:	706001
		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proceed with Schedule A.	edures in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proceed with Schedule A.	edures in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Pro	-	-

School: Prevailing Faith Christian Academy Site Code: 706001

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### Additional Observations

In the reviewing of school's bank statements as part of our procedures under item I and II, P&N noted a transaction of \$600 from the scholarship fund to a personal account. In response to the request for support, the school stated that this was a reimbursement for a payment made from the personal account to the school's account for an insurance payment. Since there was no invoice to support payment to an outside party for \$600 paid from the scholarship account, the cost is questioned.

\$ 600.00

Total Overpayment: \$ 13,635.75

School: Our Lady of Fatima School Site Code: 500008

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

A total of 5 non-scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted for a total of \$97.50.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: Our Lady of Fatima School	Site Code:	500008  Questioned Costs  (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the schooff date as of January 31, 2014.	ool with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 58% of their budget according to the schedule reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	e provided. No	
No exceptions noted.	¢.	
	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
Procedure was not applicable since there was a turnover in key pos current year.	sition during the	
Results:		
Procedure was not applicable.	\$	-

School: Our Lady of Fatima School	Site Code:	500008  Questioned Costs
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in a with Schedule A.	accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in a with Schedule A.	accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special e tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	education \$	_
Additional Observations		
The school erroneously included book fee, classroom fee, and supply fee LDE's required tuition and fee schedule for Scholarship students. Also, registration fee was assessed in the amount of \$175 for scholarship, and non-scholarship students. P&N quantified total overpayment for all scho students for book, classroom, and supply fees of \$1,267.5; and total und for registration fees of \$406.25. Thus, net LDE's overpayment was \$861.	l \$200 for olarship lerpayment	
(\$1,267.5-\$406.25).	_ \$	861.25
Total Overpayment:	\$	861.25

School: Quest Schools Site Code: 905001

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school accounted for expenditures separately through a separate bank account or an accounting system identifying scholarship program activity.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: Quest Schools	Site Code:	905001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the the school did not use a cut-off date as of January 31, 2014.	school. However,	
Results:		
The school expended 45% of their budget according to the schereserve budget category was included on the schedule.	edule provided. No	
Exception noted because the expenditures were reported throu January 31, 2014.	gh a date other than	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship s		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Quest Schools	Site Code:	905001
III. Downsont Worldington (Attenders on J. Doridon on)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 4 new scholarship students was selected for procedures with Schedule A.	in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 4 new scholarship students was selected for procedures with Schedule A.	in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive speci- tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.		-
Total Overpayment:	\$	

School: St. Frederick High School Site Code: 500010

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: St. Frederick High School	Site Code:	500010 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the s off date as of January 31, 2014.	school with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 64% of their budget according to the sche reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	edule provided. No	
No exceptions noted.		
	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship studies.		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: St. Frederick High School	Site Code:	500010
		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedure with Schedule A.	res in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu with Schedule A.	res in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive sp tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Progra		-
Total Overpayment:	\$	-

School: Union Christian Academy Site Code: 992001

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: Union Christian Academy	Site Code:	992001
		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the the school did not use a cut-off date as of January 31, 2014.	school. However,	
Results:		
The school expended 45% of their budget according to the schereserve budget category was included on the schedule.	nedule provided. No	
Exception noted because the expenditures were reported throu January 31, 2014.	igh a date other than	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whethe increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship s		
Results:		
The procedure was not applicable due to this being the first ye participation in the Program.	ear of School's	-

School: Union Christian Academy	Site Code:	992001
		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures with Schedule A.	in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures with Schedule A.	in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spectuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program		-
Total Overpayment:	\$	-

School: Our Lady's School Site Code: 505006

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

A total of 1 non-scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted for a total of \$75.00.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: Our Lady's School	Site Code:	505006 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the off date as of January 31, 2014.	school with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 50% of their budget according to the schereserve budget category was included on the schedule.	nedule provided. No	
No exceptions noted.	\$	_
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship s		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Our Lady's School	Site Code:	505006
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 3 new scholarship students was selected for procedur with Schedule A.	res in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 3 new scholarship students was selected for procedur with Schedule A.	res in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive sp tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Progra		-
Total Overpayment:	\$	

School: St. Theodore's Holy Family Catholic School

Site Code:

505011

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

School: St. Theodore's Holy Family Catholic School  School: St. Theodore's Holy Family Catholic School	Site Code:	505011 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a off date as of January 31, 2014.	a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 93% of their budget according to the schedule provide reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	ed. No	
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship student growt		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: St. Theodore's Holy Family Catholic School	Site Code:	505011
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
111. I ayment verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedur with Schedule A.	res in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedur with Schedule A.	res in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive sp tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Progra		<del>-</del>
Total Overpayment:	\$	-

School: Gethsemane Christian Academy Site Code: 582001

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

A total of 3 non-scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted for a total of \$18.75.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: Gethsemane Christian Academy	Site Code:	582001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the soff date as of January 31, 2014.	chool with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 83% of their budget according to the sche Reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	dule provided.	
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship studies.		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Gethsemane Christian Academy	Site Code:	582001 Questioned Costs
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proceed with Schedule A.	dures in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proceed with Schedule A.	dures in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
Scope and Selection: A sample of 2 students were selected for our procedures.		
Results: No exceptions noted.	\$	_
110 exceptions noted.		
Total Overpayment:	\$	<u>-</u>

School: Holy Family Catholic School Site Code: 504007

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: Holy Family Catholic School	Site Code:	504007 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by off date as of January 31, 2014.	the school with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 44% of their budget according to the reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	schedule provided. No	
Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 20 of the amounted budget.	014, were less than 50% \$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whe increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarsh		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Holy Family Catholic School	Site Code:	504007
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 6 new scholarship students was selected for proc with Schedule A.	redures in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 6 new scholarship students was selected for proc with Schedule A.	edures in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Pr	•	-
Total Overpayment:		-

School: Immaculate Heart of Mary School Site Code: 504009

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: Immaculate Heart of Mary School	Site Code:	504009 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the so off date as of January 31, 2014.	chool with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 48% of their budget according to the scheen reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	dule provided. No	
Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2014, v of the amounted budget.	were less than 50% \$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
Procedure was not applicable since there was a turnover in key per current year.	position during the	
Results:		
Procedure was not applicable.	\$	-

School: Immaculate Heart of Mary School	Site Code:	504009
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedur with Schedule A.	res in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedur with Schedule A.	res in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spetuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Progra		-
Total Overpayment:	\$	

School: John Paul the Great Academy Site Code: 667001

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: John Paul the Great Academy	Site Code:	667001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the so off date as of January 31, 2014.	chool with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 38% of their budget according to the schereserve budget category was included on the schedule.	dule provided. No	
Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2014, of the amounted budget.	were less than 50%	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship studies.		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: John Paul the Great Academy	Site Code:	667001
		<b>Questioned Costs</b>
III Dovement Verification (Attendence and Decidence)		(Overpayments)
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu with Schedule A.	ures in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu with Schedule A.	ures in accordance	
Results:		
A total of 1 scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted.	\$	4,668.75
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive s tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Programmer Scholarship for Education Educa	•	
Total Overpayment:	\$	4,668.75

School: Catholic Elementary School of Pointe Coupee Site Code: 502033

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: Catholic Elementary School of Pointe Coupee S	ite Code:	502033 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a off date as of January 31, 2014.	cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 75% of their budget according to the schedule provide reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	ed. No	
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship student growth		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School	: Catholic Elementary School of Pointe Coupee Site	Code:	502033
III. Pa	yment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Sco	pe and Selection:		
	ample of 4 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordant Schedule A.	ince	
Res	ults:		
No	exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. In	come Eligibility		
	ample of 4 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordant Schedule A.	nce	
Res	ults:		
No	exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Spe	cial Education Tuition		
	procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special education through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	on 	-
Tot	al Overpayment:	\$	-

School: Catholic High of Pointe Coupee Site Code: 502003

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

School: Catholic High of Pointe Coupee Site C	Code:	502003  Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut off date as of January 31, 2014.	;-	
Results:		
The school expended 75% of their budget according to the schedule provided. reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	No	
No exceptions noted.		
	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship student growth.	e	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Catholic High of Pointe Coupee	Site Code:	502003
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 2 new scholarship students was selected for procedure with Schedule A.	es in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
A sample of 2 new scholarship students was selected for procedure with Schedule A.	es in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spetuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Progra		-
Total Overpayment:	\$	

School: Cenla Christian Academy Site Code: 619001

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$ -

School: Cenla Christian Academy	Site Code:	619001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was pro the school did not use a cut-off date as of January	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Results:		
The school expended 92% of their budget according reserve budget category was included on the sche		
Exception noted because the expenditures were re January 31, 2014.	eported through a date other than	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to deter- increased at a rate greater than double the rate of		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Cenla Christian Academy	Site Code:	619001 Questioned Costs
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in a with Schedule A.	accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in a with Schedule A.	accordance	
Results:		
A total of 1 scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted.	\$	3,345.00
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special e tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	education \$	-

School: Cenla Christian Academy Site Code: 619001

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### **Additional Observations**

During sample selection process it was noted that there were three scholarship students in the fifth grade, however per the school, fifth grade was cancelled due to low enrollment. Based on discussion with the Principal, one of the 5th grade scholarship students was promoted to 6th grade. Of the two other scholarship students, one transferred out of the school and the other never returned after enrollment. The tuition payment is therefore questioned because of a lack of attendance. Based on the Q1 payment report, the school received \$2,230 for these two scholarship students (\$1,115 per student for Q1).

\$ 2,230.00
-------------

Total Overpayment: \$ 5,575.00

School: Holy Savior Menard Central High School Site Code: 501003

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

A total of 2 non-scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted for a total of \$1,125.00.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$ -

School: Holy Savior Menard Central High School	Site Code:	501003  Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the schedule school did not use a cut-off date as of January 31, 2014.	nool. However,	
Results:		
The school expended 56% of their budget according to the schedule.	ule provided.	
Exception noted because the expenditures were reported through January 31, 2014.	a date other than	-
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship students.		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

Scho	ool: Holy Savior Menard Central High School	Site Code:	501003
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III.	Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
S	Scope and Selection:		
	A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in activith Schedule A.	cordance	
K	Results:		
N	No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV.	Income Eligibility		
S	Scope and Selection:		
	A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in account Schedule A.	cordance	
K	Results:		
N	No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. S	pecial Education Tuition		
	The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special education through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	ucation\$	-
Т	Total Overpayment:	\$	-

School: St. Frances Cabrini School

Site Code:

501016

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

A total of 3 non-scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted for a total of \$1,143.75.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

School: St. Frances Cabrini School Site Cod	le:	501016  Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school. However, the school did not use a cut-off date as of January 31, 2014.		
Results:		
The school expended 57% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.		
Exception noted because the expenditures were reported through a date other than January 31, 2014.	\$	-
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship student growth.		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: St. Frances Cabrini School	Site Code:	501016
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures with Schedule A.	s in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures with Schedule A.	s in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spec tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program		<u>-</u>
Total Overpayment:	\$	-

School: Family Worship Christian Academy	Site Code:	538001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students		(Over payments)
Scope and Selection:		
Procedure was not applicable since the school only has schokindergarten and first grade. Therefore, P&N was unable to fees charged to the Program for scholarship students to the the charged to non scholarship students.	compare tuition and	
Results: Procedure could not be performed.	\$	-
II. Use of Funds		
a) The school provided the completed internal control quest by the LDE. No exception noted.	tionnaire as requested	
b) Verification of Educational Purpose		
Scope and Selection:		
The school provided an allocation of its general operating exprogram.  A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in Schedule A.		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	_

School: Family Worship Christian Academy	Site Code:	538001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school v off date as of January 31, 2014.	vith a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 55% of their budget according to the schedule preserve budget category was included on the schedule.	ovided. No	
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salar increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship student g		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Family Worship Christian Academy	Site Code:	538001
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
111. I ayment vernication (Attenuance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in a with Schedule A.	accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in a with Schedule A.	accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special e	education	
tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.		
Total Overpayment:	\$	

School: Park Vista Elementary School Site Code: 049035

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

Park Vista Elementary School (the School) is a public school within the St. Landry Parish school district. The School does not directly receive the scholarship funds, as the funds are received by the school district.

Results:

Procedure was not applicable.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

Procedure II was not applicable.

School: Park Vista Elementary Sch	100l	Site Code:	04	9035
			Questioned ( (Overpaymo	
III. Payment Verification (Attender)	dance and Residency)			
Scope and Selection:				
A sample of 5 new scholarship with Schedule A.	students was selected for proce	edures in accordance		
Results:				
No exceptions noted.		\$		-
IV. Income Eligibility				
Scope and Selection:				
A sample of 5 new scholarship with Schedule A.	students was selected for proce	edures in accordance		
Results:				
No exceptions noted.		\$		-
V. Special Education Tuition				
The procedure was not applicate tuition through the Scholarship		•		-
Total Overpayment:		<u></u>		-

School: Lighthouse Christian Preparatory School Site Code: 571001

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$ -

School: Lighthouse Christian Preparatory School	Site Code:	571001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the so off date as of January 31, 2014.	chool with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 35% of their budget according to the scheel Reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	dule provided.	
Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2014, v of the amounted budget.	were less than 50%	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship studies.		
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-

School: Lighthouse Christian Preparatory School	Site Code:	571001
		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in with Schedule A.	n accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in with Schedule A.	n accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive specia tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	l education	-
Total Overpayment:	\$	-

School: Alfred Booker, Jr. Academy Site Code: 533001

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

#### Results:

A total of 1 non-scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted for a total of \$25.00.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

School: Alfred Booker, Jr. Academy  *Results:	Site Code:	533001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
From a total of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll expenditures tested, P&N is of 2 exceptions related to non-payroll expenditures that either lacked sidocumentation or did not meet the criteria for educational purpose.		128.04
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school v off date as of January 31, 2014.	vith a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 62% of their budget according to the schedule pr reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	rovided. No	
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salar increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship student greater than double scholarship scholarship student greater than double scholarship scholar		
Results:		
The procedure was not applicable due to this being the first year of Sch participation in the Program.	hool's \$	-

School: Alfred Booker, Jr. Academy	Site Code:	533001
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proced with Schedule A.	ures in accordance	
Results:		
A total of 1 scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted.	\$	1,050.00
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proced with Schedule A.	ures in accordance	
Results:		
A total of 1 scholarship student(s) with exception(s) noted.	\$	1,050.00
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive stuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Prog	•	
Total Overpayment:	\$_	2,228.04
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments	\$	1,178.04

\$

# LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

School: Alexandria Country Day School	Site Code:	641001 Questioned Costs
I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures with Schedule A.	s in accordance	
Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and for collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charscholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the confusioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.	nere tuition and arged to ost is not	
Results: No exceptions noted.		\$ -
II. Use of Funds		
a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire by the LDE. No exception noted.	re as requested	
b) Verification of Educational Purpose		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accorda Schedule A.	ance with	
Results:		

No exceptions noted.

School: Alexandria Country Day School Site	Code:	641001
		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cu off date as of January 31, 2014.	t-	
Results:		
The school expended 73% of their budget according to the schedule provided. reserve budget category was included on the schedule.	No	
No exceptions noted.		
	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries hav increased at a rate greater than double the rate of scholarship student growth.	e	
Results:		
The procedure was not applicable due to this being the first year of School's participation in the Program.	\$	-

School: Alexandria Country Day School	Site Code:	641001
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 2 new scholarship students was selected for procedure with Schedule A.	s in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 2 new scholarship students was selected for procedure with Schedule A.	s in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spectuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program		-
Total Overpayment:	\$	<u>-</u>

School: Westminster Christian Academy Site Code: 785001

**Questioned Costs** (Overpayments)

#### I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, generally included instances where tuition and fees were not collected due to waivers, in-kind assistance, or other differences where tuition and fees charged to non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, the cost is not questioned because the dollar effect of these exceptions for non-scholarship students cannot be directly correlated to the scholarship payments.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

#### II. Use of Funds

- a) The school provided the completed internal control questionnaire as requested by the LDE. No exception noted.
- b) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$ -

School: Westminster Christian Academy	Site Code:	785001
		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
c.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was pro- off date as of January 31, 2014.	vided by the school with a cut-	
Results:		
The school expended 58% of their budget according reserve budget category was included on the school	•	
No exceptions noted.		
	\$	-
c.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine to a rate greater than double the rate of salaries.		
Results:		
The procedure was not applicable due to this bein participation in the Program.	g the first year of School's \$	-

School: Westminster Christian Academy	Site Code:	785001
		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures with Schedule A.	s in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures with Schedule A.	s in accordance	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spec tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program		-
Total Overpayment:	\$	-