Regular Session, 2006

1

HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 290

BY REPRESENTATIVE CRANE

2	To provide for legis	lative approval of the formula to determine the cost of a minimum			
3	foundation pr	rogram of education in all public elementary and secondary schools as			
4	well as to equ	itably allocate the funds to parish and city school systems as developed			
5	by the State B	oard of Elementary and Secondary Education and adopted by the board			
6	on June 5, 20	06.			
7	WHEREAS,	Article VIII, Section 13(B) of the Constitution of Louisiana requires the			
8	State Board of Elen	nentary and Secondary Education to develop and adopt annually a			
9	formula which shall	be used to determine the cost of a minimum foundation program of			
10	education in all publi	c elementary and secondary schools as well as to allocate equitably the			
11	funds to parish and c	ity school systems; and			
12	WHEREAS,	at a special meeting of the State Board of Elementary and Secondary			
13	Education on June 5, 2006, the board adopted a formula for such cost determination and the				
14	equitable allocation of	of funds; and			
15	WHEREAS,	the board has indicated that the adopted formula considers all statutory			
16	and board policy req	uirements necessary to achieve an appropriate cost determination for			
17	a minimum education	n program as well as to distribute equitably the cost; and			
18	WHEREAS,	the following goals are recommended for the minimum foundation			
19	program:				
20	GOAL 1	EQUITY: The school finance system in Louisiana provides equal			
21		treatment of pupils with similar needs with the requirement that local			
22		school systems have a tax burden sufficient to support Level 1.			
23	GOAL 2	ADEQUACY: The school finance system in Louisiana provides			
24		programs and learning opportunities that are sufficient for providing			
25		a minimum educational program for every individual. The State			
26		Board of Elementary and Secondary Education and the Legislature			

A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

HCR NO. 290	ENROLLED
	through the adoption of the minimum foundation program formula
	establish a minimum program.

GOAL 5

GOAL 3 LOCAL CHOICE: The school finance system in Louisiana provides that local taxpayers and the school board establish the budget and set the tax levy for operating the schools above a set level of support for the minimum program.

SYSTEM: The school finance system in Louisiana ensures the attainment of the goals of equity, adequacy, and local choice.

Whereas the school finance system utilizes significant state general fund revenues, it is important that the system be evaluated on a systematic basis annually.

PERFORMANCE MEASURES: The school finance system in Louisiana provides for financial accountability and program efficiency maximizing student achievement. Accountability means that the local school districts can demonstrate that they are operating in conformance with state statutes, financial accounting standards and student performance standards.

WHEREAS, to properly measure the achievement of the goals, a comprehensive management information system containing state-level and district-level components shall continue to be developed; and

WHEREAS, to provide fiscal and programmatic accountability, a fiscal accountability program and a school and district accountability program shall continue to be developed; and

WHEREAS, the fiscal accountability program shall verify data used in allocating minimum foundation program funds and report fiscal information on the effectiveness of the manner in which the funds are used at the local school system level; and

WHEREAS, the school and district accountability program in establishing the state goals for schools and students, creates an easy way to communicate to schools and the public how well a school is performing, recognizes schools for effectively demonstrating growth

in student achievement, and focuses attention, energy, and resources on schools needing help in improving student achievement; and

WHEREAS, the Constitution of Louisiana requires the Legislature to fully fund the current cost to the state of the minimum foundation program as determined by applying the legislatively approved formula; and

WHEREAS, this minimum foundation program formula is designed to provide greater equity and adequacy in both state and local funding of local school systems; and

WHEREAS, the Constitution of Louisiana requires the appropriated funds to be allocated equitably to parish and city school systems according to the formula as adopted by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education and approved by the Legislature prior to making the appropriation.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Legislature of Louisiana, that the formula to determine the cost of a minimum foundation program of education in all public elementary and secondary schools as well as to allocate equitably the funds to parish and city school systems developed by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education and adopted by the Board on June 5, 2006 is hereby approved to read as follows:

MINIMUM FOUNDATION PROGRAM ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION COST DISTRIBUTION FORMULA 2006-07 SCHOOL YEAR

I. BASIS OF ALLOCATION

1.

A. Preliminary and Final Allocations

BESE shall determine preliminary allocations of the minimum foundation program formula for parish, city and other local school systems, Recovery School District Schools, and LSU and Southern Lab schools, using latest available data, no later than March 15 each year for the upcoming fiscal year. Upon adoption by the board of such preliminary allocations for the ensuing fiscal year, the superintendent shall submit the budget requirements in accordance with R.S. 39:33 and shall submit the minimum foundation program funding requirements to the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget and to the House and Senate Committees on Education.

1 2. Upon final adoption by BESE and the Legislature of the minimum 2 foundation program formula resolution in effect for the upcoming 3 fiscal year, BESE shall determine final allocations of the minimum 4 foundation program formula for parish, city and other local school 5 systems, the Recovery School District, and LSU and Southern Lab 6 schools using latest available data, no later than June 30 for the fiscal 7 year beginning July 1. 8

B. **Mid-year Adjustments**

- 1. If any city, parish, or other local school system's October 1 student count exceeds the previous year's May 1 membership (February 1 for subsequent years) by either 50 students or 1%, a mid-year adjustment to provide additional per pupil funding shall be made for each additional student based on the final MFP allocation per pupil amount for that city, parish or other local school system as approved by BESE. Districts may request that the State Superintendent make estimated monthly payments based on documented mid-year growth prior to the October 1 count.
- 2. If any city, parish, or other local school system's current year February 1 membership exceeds the current year October 1 membership by either 50 students or 1%, a second mid-year adjustment to provide additional per pupil funding shall be made for each additional student based on one-half the final MFP allocation per pupil amount for that city, parish or other local school system as approved by BESE. Districts may request that the State Superintendent make estimated monthly payments based on documented mid-year growth prior to the February 1 count.
- 3. If any Recovery School District has an increase in October 1 membership above the May 1 (February 1 for subsequent years) number included in the final MFP allocation, for the number of students above the number used in the final MFP allocation that can be matched as included in the district of prior jurisdiction final MFP

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

1 allocation, the Recovery School District shall receive a mid-year 2 transfer of MFP funding based upon the number of students matched 3 above the membership number used in the final MFP allocation. This 4 transfer shall be based on the final MFP allocation per pupil for the 5 district of prior jurisdiction times the number of students matched. 6 The MFP allocation of the district of prior jurisdiction shall receive 7 a mid-year reduction. 8 4. For increased October 1 membership in the Recovery School District 9 where the students were not counted in the prior year membership of 10 the district of prior jurisdiction, the Recovery School District shall 11 receive a mid-year adjustment to provide additional per pupil funding 12 based on each additional student times the final MFP allocation per 13 pupil amount for the system of prior jurisdiction as approved by 14 BESE. The Recovery School District may request that the State 15 Superintendent make estimated monthly payments based on 16 documented mid-year growth prior to the October 1 count. 17 5. When Recovery School District current year February 1 membership 18 exceeds the current year October 1 membership, the Recovery School 19 District shall receive a second mid-year adjustment to provide 20 additional per pupil funding based on each additional student times 21 one-half the final MFP allocation per pupil amount for the system of 22 prior jurisdiction as approved by BESE. The Recovery School 23 District may request that the State Superintendent make estimated 24 monthly payments based on documented mid-year growth prior to the 25 February 1 count. 26 6.

27

28

29

30

31

If the Recovery School District's October 1 membership count qualifies for a state mid-year adjustment, a mid-year adjustment to provide additional local per pupil funding shall be made for each additional student based on the local per pupil amount of the district of prior jurisdiction times the increased number of students. For February 1 increases, one-half the local per pupil will be transferred.

HC!	R NO. 290	0		ENROLLED
			These	funds are transferred monthly from the monthly MFP amount
			of the	district with prior jurisdiction.
II.	LEVI	EL 1 - (COST I	DETERMINATION AND EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION
	OF S	TATE A	AND L	OCAL FUNDS
	A.	Base 1	Founda	ation Level 1 State and Local Costs
		1.	May 1	Membership (February 1 for subsequent years) (as defined by
			the St	ate Board of Elementary and Secondary Education) including
			Recov	very School District students. For school systems severely
			impac	eted by Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, the following minimum
			memb	pership numbers will be used only for FY 2006-07:
			a.	90% of the October 1, 2004 membership and weighted
				categories will be used for districts where the May 1, 2006
				membership is between 10% and 30% less than the October
				1, 2004 membership.
			b.	75% of the October 1, 2004 membership and weighted
				categories will be used for districts where the May 1, 2006
				membership is 31% to 70% less than the October 1, 2004
				membership.
			c.	35% of the October 1, 2004 membership and weighted
				categories will be used for districts where the May 1, 2006
				membership is greater than 71% less than the October 1, 2004
				membership with a minimum of 3,000 students. Minimum
				membership funding for the Orleans Parish School System
				shall be proportionately divided with the Recovery School
				District with the proportion adjusted as necessary by the State
				Superintendent.
		Plus		

29

30

31

2. Add-on Students/Units

At-Risk Students weighted at 0.19. a. At-Risk students are defined for purposes of allocating funds as those students whose family income is at or below income

1		engioi	mry guidennes or other guidennes as provided by the
2		State I	Board of Elementary and Secondary Education and the
3		numbe	er of students identified as Limited English Proficient
4		that w	ere not included based on income eligibility guidelines
5		times	the weighted factor of 0.19.
6	b.	Vocat	ional Education course units weighted at .05.
7		The n	number of combined fall and spring student units
8		enrolle	ed in secondary vocational education courses times the
9		weigh	ted factor of 0.05.
10	c.	Specia	al Education/Other Exceptionalities students weighted
11		at 1.50	O. The number of students identified as having Other
12		Excep	tionalities as reported in the membership count per
13		SER t	imes the weighted factor of 1.50.
14	d.	Specia	al Education/Gifted and Talented students weighted at
15		.60. T	he number of students identified as Gifted and Talented
16		as rep	ported in the membership count per SER times the
17		weigh	ted factor of 0.60.
18	e.	Econo	omy of Scale calculated as a curvilinear weight of .20 at
19		0 stud	ent membership level down to zero at 7,500 student
20		memb	ership level. This weight will vary depending on the
21		size of	f the school system. There will be no benefit to school
22		system	ns with a membership of 7,500 or greater. The formula
23		for thi	s weight is:
24		(1)	for each district with less than 7,500 students, subtract
25			its membership from 7,500;
26		(2)	divide this difference by 37,500 to get each district's
27			economy of scale weight; then
28		(3)	multiply each district's economy of scale weight times
29			their membership count.

1	Equals
•	_q

3. Total Weighted Membership and/or Units (Sum of I.A.1 and I.A.2.a. through e.)

Times

4. State and Local Base Per Pupil Amount of \$3,652. In the event no provision for an annual increase has been provided and this Resolution remains in effect in the fiscal year 2007-08 or thereafter, the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education shall annually adjust the state and local base per pupil amount with approval by the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget. If the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget does not approve the rate established by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, then an annual growth adjustment of 2.75% shall automatically be applied to the state and local base per pupil amount beginning in the Fiscal Year 2007-08.

Equals

5. Total Base Foundation Level 1 State and Local Costs (I.A.3 times I.A.4.)

B. LOCAL SCHOOL SYSTEM WEALTH FACTOR

- 1. Property Revenue Capacity is calculated by multiplying the state average property tax rate (including debt service) for the latest available fiscal year by each school system's net assessed property value including TIF areas.
- 2. Sales Revenue Capacity is calculated by dividing the district's actual sales tax revenue collected (including debt service) in the latest available fiscal year by the district's sales tax rate that was applicable to create a sales tax base. If a district's Computed Sales Tax Base has increased equal to or greater than 15% over the Computed Sales Tax Base calculated in the prior year formula, then the growth in the Computed Sales Tax Base will be capped at 15% over the amount used in the prior year formula. This cap will be applied on a year-to-

1		year basis comparing the current year sales tax base to the prior year
2		uncapped sales tax base. Each district's base is then multiplied by the
3		state average sales tax rate. If a local school system's sales tax goes
4		into effect during the fiscal year, the tax rate is prorated to an annual
5		rate applicable for the total revenue generated.
6	3.	Other Revenues Capacity is calculated by combining (1) State
7		Revenue in lieu of taxes; (2) Federal Revenue in lieu of taxes; and (3)
8		50% of Earnings on Property.
9	4.	Total Revenue Capacity is the sum of adding Items 1, 2 and 3.
10	5.	For Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita impacted districts, the
11		following adjustments will be made only in FY 2006-07:
12		a. 90% of the 2004-05 Total Revenue Capacity will be used for
13		districts where the May 1, 2006 membership is between 10%
14		and 30% less than the October 1, 2004 membership.
15		b. 75% of the 2004-05 Total Revenue Capacity will be used for
16		districts where the May 1, 2006 membership is 31% to 70%
17		less than the October 1, 2004 membership.
18		c. 35% of the 2004-05 Total Revenue Capacity will be used for
19		districts where the May 1, 2006 membership is greater than
20		71% less than the October 1, 2004 membership.
21	6.	Revenue Capacity per Pupil is calculated by dividing the Total
22		Revenue Capacity by the current year Weighted Membership as
23		defined by Legislative Resolution and the State Board of Elementary
24		and Secondary Education, capped at a maximum of \$6,000 per
25		student.
26	7.	The Local Wealth Factor (LWF) is calculated by dividing each
27		individual school system's Revenue Capacity per Pupil by the state
28		average Revenue Capacity per Pupil. The resulting quotient is each
29		school system's Local Wealth Factor.

	HCD	NO 20	20	ENDOLLED
	HCK	NO. 29		ENROLLED
1		С.	Propo	rtion of Base Foundation Level 1 Costs Allocated to the State 65
2			Percen	at and Local School Systems 35 Percent.
3			1.	Local Equalization Factor
4				A district's Local Wealth Factor (II.B.7.) is multiplied by the district's
5				proportion of State Weighted Membership to determine the Local
6				Equalization Factor.
7			Times	
8			2.	Local Support Factor of 35%.
9			Times	
10			3.	State Total Base Foundation Level 1 State and Local Costs (I.A.5)
11			Equals	3
12			4.	Local Support of Base Foundation Level 1 Costs
13			And	
14			5.	State Support of Base Foundation Level 1 Costs is the remainder of
15				costs after subtracting the local share. (I.A.5. minus I.C.4).
16	III.	LEV	EL 2 - I	NCENTIVE FOR LOCAL EFFORT
17		A.	Level	2 Eligible Local Revenue
18			1.	Local Revenue. Prior year revenues collected for educational
19				purposes from total Sales Tax, total Property Tax, State and Federal
20				Revenue in Lieu of Taxes, and 50% of Earnings on Property
21			2.	Local Revenues for Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita impacted
22				districts will be adjusted by the same percentages applied in the Local
23				Wealth Factor calculations provided in B.5.a-c. only for FY 2006-07
24			Less	

26

27

28

29

30

3. Local Support of Base Foundation Level 1 Costs (I.C.4)

Equals

Local Revenue. Over Local Support of Base Foundation Level 1 costs. This is the funding available for consideration in Level 2 incentive funding.

Limit on Revenue Eligible for Level 2. 5.

HCR NO. 290 ENROLLEI	HCR NO. 290	
The maximum local revenue eligible for incentive funding is equal to		1
33% of Total Base Foundation Level 1 State and Local Cost		2
(I.A.5times .33).		3
6. Eligible Local Revenue collected for educational purposes. The Lesse	6.	4
of:		5
a. Local Revenue Over Level 1 Local Share (II.A.3.),		6
or		7
b. Limit on Revenue Eligible for Level 2 Incentive Funding (II.A.4		8
B. State Support of Level 2 Local Effort	B. Stat	9
1. State Support of Level 2 equals 40 percent of eligible revenue (II.A.5	1.	10
weighted by a district's Local Wealth Factor (I.B.6) using the following		11
formula:		12
[1- (.60 x LWF)] X Eligible Local Revenue (II.A.5)		13
Equals	Equ	14
2. State Support of Level 2 Incentive for Local Effort	2.	15
IV. MINIMUM FOUNDATION PROGRAM LEVEL 3 LEGISLATIVE ENHANCEMENTS		16 17
A. 2001-02 CERTIFICATED PERSONNEL PAY RAISE CONTINUATION	A. 2001	18
ENHANCEMENT	ENI	19
The supplemental funding provided for the 2001-02 certificated pay raise wil	The supple	20
continue for each district based on the prior year per pupil amount times their curren	continue fo	21
year membership.	year memb	22
B. 2006-07 CERTIFICATED PERSONNEL PAY RAISE	B. 2000	23
1. Each school system shall receive \$1,500 plus 15.8% for the employe	1.	24
contribution to the appropriate retirement system for each certificated		25
staff based on PEP data as of October 2, 2006 to provide an across the		26
board \$1,500 pay raise and associated retirement for certificated		27
personnel defined per state Department of Education Bulletin 1929 to		28
include: teachers (all function codes 1000-2200, object code 112)		29
therapists/specialists/counselors (function codes 1000-2200, object code		30
113); school site-based principals, assistant principals, and other school		31
administrators (function code 1000-2200 and 2400, object code 111)		32

1			central office certificated administrators (function code 1000-2300 &
2			2831 (excluding 2321), object code 111); school nurses (function code
3			2134, object code 118); and sabbaticals (function code 1000-2200, 2134,
4			and 2400, object code 140).
5		2.	In the event this resolution remains in effect in 2007-08, the amount
6			calculated for each district shall be converted to a per pupil amount and
7			applied to each districts current year student count.
8	C.	2002	2-03 Support Worker Pay Raise Continuation Enhancement
9	The s	upple	mental pay raise allocation for noncertificated support workers provided
10	in FY	2002	2-03 will continue based on the prior year per pupil amount times the
11	curre	nt yea	r membership.
12	D.	2006	6-07 Non-Certificated Pay Raise
13		1.	Each school system shall receive \$500 plus 17.7% for employers
14			contribution for retirement for each non-certificated staff on October 1,
15			2006 to provide an across the board \$500 pay raise and associated
16			retirement for non-certificated staff.
17		2.	For the purposes of the allocation of these funds, non-certificated
18			support personnel are defined as aides (object code 115, function codes
19			1000-4900); support supervisors (object code 111, function codes 2130,
20			2300 [except 2311, 2321, 2324, 2831 and 2832] and 2500 through
21			4900); clerical/secretarial (object code 114, function codes 1000-4900);
22			service workers (object code 116, function codes 1000-4900); skilled
23			craftsmen (object code 117, function codes 1000-4900); degreed
24			professionals (object code 118, function codes 1000-4900, except 2134);
25			and other personnel (object codes 100, 110 and 119; function codes
26			1000-4900).
27		3.	In the event this resolution remains in effect in 2007-08, the amount

applied to each district's current year student count.

28

29

calculated for each district shall be converted to a per pupil amount and

1	E.	FOREIGN LANGUAGE ASSOCIATE ENHANCEMENT
2		Any local school system employing a Foreign Language Associate shall
3		receive a supplemental allocation from BESE of \$20,000 per teacher not to
4		exceed a total of 300 teachers in the program.
5	F.	ACCOUNTABILITY STUDENT TRANSFER ENHANCEMENT
6		Any district that includes in their membership a student who:
7		1. Transferred from a SI2, SI3, SI4, SI5, or SI6 school in another district;
8		and
9		2. Attended the SI2, SI3, SI4, SI5, or SI6 school in the immediate
10		preceding year before transferring; and
1		3. Transferred to an academically acceptable school in accordance with
12		BESE Accountability Transfer policy, will receive additional funding
13		equal to the current year MFP state-average local share per pupil for
14		each such student for a maximum of 3 years as long as the student is
15		enrolled.
16	G.	Hold Harmless Enhancement
17		The concept for the present formula was first enacted in Fiscal Year 1992-93.
18		At that time, there were school systems that were "underfunded" by the state
19		and those that were "overfunded" by the state. In fiscal year 1999-2000, this
20		MFP formula concept was fully implemented for the first time with 52
21		systems funded at the appropriate state level, eliminating the "underfunded"
22		situation. School systems identified as "overfunded" in FY 2000-01 shall
23		receive their prior year per pupil Hold Harmless amount times their current
24		year membership not to exceed the total Hold Harmless amount received in
25		the prior year.
26	н.	Support for Increasing Mandated Costs in Health Insurance, Retirement,
27		and Fuel
28		City, Parish, and other local school systems shall receive \$80 for each student

in the May 1 membership (February 1 for subsequent years).

29

V. FUNDING FOR RECOVERY SCHOOL DISTRICT

A. MFP State Share Per Stu	dent

The student membership and weighted student counts of schools
transferred to the Recovery School District shall continue to be
included in the membership and weighted student counts of the city,
parish, or other local public school board from which jurisdiction of
the school was transferred.

2. Once all final MFP calculations have been made, the MFP state share per May 1 student membership from Levels 1, 2 and 3 of the MFP formula for the city, parish, or other local public school board which counted the Recovery School District students, shall be multiplied by the number of students in the Recovery School District and converted to a monthly amount. The monthly amount(s) shall be reduced from the city, parish, or other local public school board MFP monthly allocation and transferred to the Recovery School District.

B. Local Share Per Student

- In addition to the appropriation required in V.A.2. of this section, the Recovery School District shall receive an applicable local per student allocation.
- 2. That amount is based on the local revenue, adjusted by the same percentages applied in the Local Wealth Factor calculations provided in II.B.5.a-c. for Hurricane Katrina and Rita impacted districts, of the city, parish, or other local public school board that had jurisdiction of the school prior to its transfer are to be included in the calculation.
- 3. Local revenues, adjusted for Hurricane impacted districts provided in II.B.5.a-c., from the following sources, excluding any portion which has been specifically dedicated by the legislature or by voter approval to capital outlay or debt service, or which was actually expended by the school board for facilities acquisition and construction as reported to the Department of Education:

1				a. Sales and use taxes, less any tax collection lee paid by the school
2				district.
3				b. Ad valorem taxes, less any tax collection fee paid by the school
4				district.
5				c. Earnings from sixteenth section lands owned by the school
6				district.
7			4.	The local amount for the Recovery District is determined by
8				multiplying the local revenues per student membership times the
9				number of students in the Recovery School District.
10			5.	Once the local amount is determined, it is adjusted to a monthly
11				amount that is transferred from the MFP monthly allocation of the
12				city, parish, or other local public school board from which
13				jurisdiction of the school was transferred to the Recovery School
14				District.
15			6.	Given the impact on the local tax bases in Orleans Parish, the State
16				Superintendent has the flexibility to adjust the local amount per
17				student based on documented evidence of revenue collections for FY
18				2006-07.
19		C.	Except	for administrative costs, monies appropriated to the Recovery School
20			District	that are attributable to the transfer of a school from a prior school
21			system	and monies allocated or transferred from the prior system to the
22			recover	ry district shall be expended solely on the operation of schools
23			transfei	rred from the prior system to the jurisdiction of the Recovery School
24			District	t.
25 26	VI.			FOR LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY AND SOUTHERN Y LABORATORY SCHOOLS
27		A.	Any ele	ementary or secondary school operated by Louisiana State University
28			and Ag	gricultural and Mechanical College or by Southern University and
29			Agricul	ltural and Mechanical College shall be considered a public elementary
30			or seco	endary school and, as such, shall be annually appropriated funds as
31			determi	ined by applying the formula contained in Subsection B of this Section.

B. Each student in membership, as defined by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, at the schools provided for in Subsection A of this Section shall be provided for and funded from the minimum foundation program an amount per student equal to the amount allocated per student for the state share of the minimum foundation program.

- C. The funds appropriated for the schools provided for in this section shall be allocated to the institution of higher education operating such a school. Each such institution of higher education shall ensure the equitable expenditure of such funds to operate such schools.
- D. Fifty percent of increased funds provided are to be directed to certificated staff pay raises as defined in Section VIII..A. Provisions specified in section VII through IX of this Resolution shall apply to these schools.

VII. ADJUSTMENTS FOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND DATA REVISIONS

Review and/or audit of the districts' data used in determining their Minimum Foundation Program allocation may result in changes in final statistical information. The Minimum Foundation Program allocation adjustments necessary as a result of these audit findings will be made in the following school year. For Category 1 Hurricane Impacted districts defined in SCR 29 of the First Extraordinary Session of 2005 (Orleans, Plaquemines and St. Bernard) and LSU and Southern Lab and the Recovery School District that only received 4/12 of the MFP allocation provided by SCR 125 of 2005, shall only be adjusted by 4/12 of any audit adjustments.

VIII. REQUIRED EXPENDITURE AMOUNTS

A. REQUIRED PAY RAISE FOR CERTIFICATED PERSONNEL

Fifty percent of a district's increased funds provided in Levels 1 and 2 over the prior year after adjusting for increases in student membership shall be used only to supplement and enhance full-time certificated staff salaries and retirement benefits for city, parish or other local school systems, state charter schools, and lab schools with an average teacher salary below the latest published SREB average teacher salary. For purposes of determining the use of these funds, certificated personnel are defined per state Department of Education Bulletin 1929 and are to include: teachers (all function codes 1000-

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

HCR NO. 290 ENROLLEL	
2200, object code 112); therapists/specialists/counselors (function codes 1000-	1
2200, object code 113); school site-based principals, assistant principals, and	2
other school administrators (function code 1000-2200 and 2400, object code	3
111); central office certificated administrators (function code 1000-2300 &	4
2831 (excluding 2321), object code 111); school nurses (function code 2134	5
object code 118); and sabbaticals (function code 1000-2200, 2134, and 2400	6
object code 140).	7
B. 70% LOCAL GENERAL FUND REQUIRED INSTRUCTIONAL	8
EXPENDITURE AT THE SCHOOL BUILDING LEVEL	9
To provide for appropriate accountability of state funds while providing loca	10
school board flexibility in determining specific expenditures, local school	11

school board flexibility in determining specific expenditures, local school boards must ensure that 70 % of the local school system general fund expenditures are in the areas of instruction and school administration at the school building level as derived by the Department of Education.

- The definition of instruction shall provide for:
 - a. The activities dealing directly with the interaction between teachers and students to include such items as: teacher and teacher aide salaries, employee benefits, purchased professional and technical services, textbooks and instructional materials and supplies, and instructional equipment;
 - b. Student support activities designed to assess and improve the wellbeing of students and to supplement the teaching process, including attendance and social work, guidance, health and psychological activities; and
 - c. Instructional support activities associated with assisting the instructional staff with the content and process of providing learning experiences for students including activities of improvement of instruction, instruction and curriculum development, instructional staff training, library/media, and instructional related technology.
- School administration shall include the activities performed by principal, assistant principals, and other assistants

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

D.

while they supervise all operations evaluate the staff members of the school, assign duties to staff members, supervise and maintain the of the school, and coordinate records school instructional activities with those of the school These activities also include the work of support of clerical staff in the teaching administrative duties.

C. EXPENDITURE REQUIREMENT FOR FOREIGN LANGUAGE ASSOCIATE PROGRAM

The State must maintain support of the Foreign Language Associate program at a maximum of 300 Foreign Language Associates employed in any given year. These teachers shall be paid by the employing local school system the amount of classroom teacher average salary (without PIP) by years of experience and degree beginning with year one.

EXPENDITURE REQUIREMENT FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES State MFP funds shall only be expended for educational purposes. Expenditures for educational purposes are those expenditures related to the operational and instructional activities of a district to include: instructional programs, pupil support programs, instructional staff programs, school administration, general administration, business services, operations and maintenance of plant services, student transportation services, food services operations, enterprise operations, community services operations, facility acquisition and construction services and debt services as defined by Louisiana Accounting and Uniform Governmental Handbook, Bulletin 1929.

IX. ACCOUNTABILITY FOR SCHOOL PERFORMANCE

A. Each school district (LEA) with a school that has a School Performance

Score below 80 AND growth of less than 2 points in the School Performance

Score will be included in an MFP Accountability report submitted to the

House and Senate Committees on Education by June 1 of each year. Specific information to be included in the report is as follows.

1		1.	School Data - School name, city, and district; Type of school;
2			October 1 elementary/secondary enrollment; and grade span.
3		2.	Accountability Data - scores and labels.
4		3.	Fiscal Data - expenditures per elementary/secondary enrollment for
5			classroom instruction (less adult education) and pupil/instructional
6			support.
7		4.	Student Demographic Data - percent of students eligible for free
8			and/or reduced lunch ("at-risk"), students with exceptionalities
9			(special ed), gifted/talented, and Minority; Advanced Placement data;
10			student attendance rates; and pupil-teacher ratios.
11		5.	Teacher Data - Average FTE teacher salaries (object 112, function
12			1000 series); percent of teachers certified; average years of
13			experience; percent master's degree and above; percent turnover;
14			percent Minority; and teachers' days absent. All teacher data
15			(excluding salaries) reported for certified teachers.
16		6.	Staffing Data - number per 1000 pupils for certified teachers,
17			uncertified teachers, and instructional aides.
18	В.	Any st	udent attending an Academically Unacceptable school in School
19		Improv	ement 5 (SI5) that does not have a BESE-approved Reconstitution Plan
20		shall n	ot be considered in the MFP formula calculations. Any student
21		attendi	ng an Academically Unacceptable school in School Improvement 6
22		(SI6) th	nat does not have a BESE-approved and implemented Reconstitution
23		Plan sh	all not be considered in the MFP formula calculations.
24	C.	Any sta	aff assigned to a SI5 School that does not have a BESE-approved
25		Recons	titution Plan shall not be considered in the MFP for any purpose. Any
26		staff as	signed to a (SI6) School that does not have a BESE-approved and
27		implem	nented Reconstitution Plan shall not be considered in the MFP for any
28		purpose	es.

SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

	GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA	
ΔPPRΩVFD:		

ENROLLED

HCR NO. 290