## Reimagine School Systems Initiative Application and Interview Rubric

#### Round I Scoring: Initial Application Review Scoring

**To be completed for each unique school action proposal by a panel of reviewers** Please note that only applications receiving above 33 points on the initial application review (bonus points not calculated at this time) are guaranteed an interview. All other applicants may be removed from the process.

#### Round 2 Scoring: Final Rubric Summary Ratings

**To be completed following the interview and for each unique school action proposal**, Round 2 scoring allows applicants the opportunity to recover points not earned during initial application screening on each rubric component.

Bonus Points and Alignment to Believe to Achieve Educational Priorities To be scored post-interview only

### **Timeline Award Round 1 Scoring Award Decisions Allocations** Completed for each unique Determine grant recipients school action proposal by a **BESE** Board approves and award amounts panel of reviewers grant allocations Beginning on Week of January 24, 2022 January 10, 2022 Week of Week of March 9, 2022 January 3, 2022 January 17, 2022 **Award Notifications Round 2 Scoring** Grantees will be notified Applicants scoring above a 33 on R1 participate in virtual interviews

Action Specific Patings				
Component 1: Applicant demonstrates commitment from all levels of stakeholder to the school action and is prepared to allocate sufficient capacity to fully participate in the Reimagine grant.		Action-Specific Ratings  Add up scores from component 1 success criteria below to obtain the overall component score:  Meets (19-24)  Mostly Meets (10-18)  Somewhat Meets (1-9)  Does Not Meet (0)		
Success Cuitouis	Initial Application Review		After Interview	
Success Criteria	Score	Area for follow-up in an interview	Score	Comments/Evidence for Final Score
a. Applicant demonstrates meaningful engagement in the proposal development from multiple system stakeholders	Meets (6) Partially Meets (3) Does Not Meet (0)		Meets (6) Partially Meets (3) Does Not Meet (0)	
b. Applicant commits to hiring a new position to serve as a grant project coordinator or identifies a reasonable plan for an existing employee to adjust their workload to spend at least 50% of their time on the grant	Meets (6) Partially Meets (3) Does Not Meet (0)		Meets (6) Partially Meets (3) Does Not Meet (0)	
c. Applicant has planned for ongoing involvement and support from a variety of key stakeholders	Meets (6) Partially Meets (3) Does Not Meet (0)		Meets (6) Partially Meets (3) Does Not Meet (0)	
d. Proposed planning team and stakeholder group possesses the necessary skills and commitment OR applicant shares a plan that is likely to make this happen	Meets (6) Partially Meets (3) Does Not Meet (0)		Meets (6) Partially Meets (3) Does Not Meet (0)	
Component 2: Applicant identifies a strong alignment between existing system strategy and priorities OR applicant is willing to participate in an abbreviated strategic planning process to link Reimagine plans to system strategy		Add up scores from component 2 success criteria below to obtain the overall component score.  Meets (19-24) Mostly Meets (10-18) Somewhat Meets (1-9) Does Not Meet (0)		in the overall component score:
Success Criteria	Initial Application Review		Afte	er Interview

	Score	Area for follow-up in an interview	Score	Comments/Evidence for Final Score
a. (If existing strategic plan) Proposed Reimagine action is likely to lead to success in system strategic priorities described by applicant	Meets (8) Partially Meets (4) Does Not Meet (0)		Meets (8) Partially Meets (4) Does Not Meet (0)	
b. (If applicant does not have, or would like to adjust an existing strategic plan) Applicant commits to participating in an abbreviated strategic planning process to anchor Reimagine action in overall system strategy	Meets (8) Partially Meets (4) Does Not Meet (0)		Meets (8) Partially Meets (4) Does Not Meet (0)	
c. Applicant demonstrates the need for the proposed Reimagine action with qualitative and quantitative evidence	Meets (8) Partially Meets (4) Does Not Meet (0)		Meets (8) Partially Meets (4) Does Not Meet (0)	
Component 3: Proposed Reimagine action is <b>bold and</b> transformative for a <b>significant</b> number of students in the system		Add up scores from component 3 successions  Meets (19-24)  Mostly Meets (10-18)  Somewhat Meets (1-9)  Does Not Meet (0)	ess criteria below to obta	in the overall component score:
Success Criteria	Initia	Application Review	After Interview	
Success Criteria	Score	Area for follow-up in an interview	Score	Comments/Evidence for Final Score
a. Applicant outlines a clear proposal for Reimagine action that is closely aligned to one of the following Reimagine actions:  • Replication: Identify a high-performing school, currently existing in the school system, with a dynamic leader and expand the ability of that program to serve school system students • Expansion: Identify a high-performing school with a	Meets (8) Partially Meets (4) Does Not Meet (0)		Meets (8) Partially Meets (4) Does Not Meet (0)	

	dynamic leader and expand		
	the ages of students served		
_	by that school.		
•	Rural Collaboration: Two or		
	more rural parishes apply		
	with a plan for sharing		
	educational resources (but		
	not consolidating) so that		
	students have access to		
	greater opportunities		
•	School Innovation: Identify a		
	school system need and a		
	model that meets that need		
	(e.g., early college, dual		
	language instruction,		
	Montessori education), and		
	create a new school system		
	school.		
•	Restart/Turnaround: Work		
	with an existing education		
	organization with a developed		
	model and experience turning		
	around struggling schools to		
	dramatically improve a		
	low-performing school.		
•	Innovation Cluster: Three or		
	more schools in a school		
	system with shared interests,		
	such as a common feeder		
	pattern or a consistent model		
	•		
	or program.		
•	Student Reassignment /		
	School Reconfiguration: A		
	school system reassigns		
	students from a		
	low-performing school to a		
	high-performing school, or		
	reorganizes the structure of		
	multiple schools		
•	Wraparound Model: A school		
	system completely redesigns		
	a school, or set of schools, by		
	providing and coordinating		
	wraparound services to		
	students and families in		

collaboration with community organizations. The school(s) will also make significant improvements to the academic experience for students.  • Year-round Calendar: One or more schools move to a year-round academic calendar to extend learning for students.  • Local Innovation: School systems may apply to plan and implement a locally-developed, realistic plan to transform how students are served at one or more schools in a way that is innovative and not covered by other LDOE programs/grants.				
b. Proposed Reimagine action is bold (action demonstrates outside of the box strategy or a great change from existing operations)	Meets (8) Partially Meets (4) Does Not Meet (0)		Meets (8) Partially Meets (4) Does Not Meet (0)	
c. Proposed Reimagine action would have a positive direct impact on a significant proportion of students in the system	Meets ((Action would directly impact 1 or more entire school OR would clearly and sustainably meet an identified need for a specific group of underserved students) (8))  Does Not Meet (0)		Meets ((Action would directly impact 1 or more entire school OR would clearly and sustainably meet an identified need for a specific group of underserved students) (8))  Does Not Meet (0)	
Component 4: System has identified the conditions needed to realistically sustain the Reimagine action over time and is committed to the sustainability of the action.		Add up scores from component 4 succes  Meets (19-24)  Mostly Meets (10-18)  Somewhat Meets (1-9)  Does Not Meet (0)	ess criteria below to obta	in the overall component score:

Success Criteria	Initial Application Review		After Interview	
	Score	Area for follow-up in an interview	Score	Comments/Evidence for Final Score
a. System has identified risks to action sustainability and has developed proposed solutions that are likely to be successful	Meets (8) Partially Meets (4) Does Not Meet (0)		Meets (8) Partially Meets (4) Does Not Meet (0)	
b. System has begun building support among a variety of impacted stakeholders (e.g., students, teachers, parents, school leadership, community members), or has a strategy to build lasting support that is likely to succeed	Meets (8) Partially Meets (4) Does Not Meet (0)		Meets (8) Partially Meets (4) Does Not Meet (0)	
c. System can articulate a plan that is likely to sustain a successful action beyond grant funding and the current leadership team	Meets (8) Partially Meets (4) Does Not Meet (0)		Meets (8) Partially Meets (4) Does Not Meet (0)	

# Initial Application Review Scoring To be completed for each unique school action proposal Please note that only applications receiving above 33 points on the initial application review (bonus points not calculated at this time) are guaranteed an interview. All other applicants may be removed from the process. Total Points \_\_\_\_/ 96 Summary Comments:

Bonus Points To be scored post-interview only			
Bonus Category	Evidence	Score	
LEA Board Support: 3 or more LEA Board Members are aware of and are in support of the school system pursuing a planning grant for the specified school action.		Meets (2 points) Does Not Meet	
School System Leadership Support: 3 or more cabinet-level leaders (excluding the Superintendent) are listed in Part 1.A as being involved in the decision to apply for the grant.		Meets (2 points) Does Not Meet (0)	
Multiple Stakeholder Engagement: the school system consulted parents, community members, and/or teachers prior to the school system interview.		Meets (4 points) Does Not Meet	
Sustainability: at the time of application, the system commits to entering into an MOU to support and sustain the Reimagine School Action over time.		Meets (15 points) Does Not Meet (0)	
School Identification: the school system has identified the school(s) for the Reimagine School Action(s) by the interview and can provide a compelling rationale for selecting the school(s).		Meets (4 points) Does Not Meet	
Proposed action prioritizes students attending CIR or UIR campuses		Meets (3 points) Does Not Meet	

Alignment to Louisiana Department of Education's Believe to Achieve Educational Priorities To be scored post-interview only			
Applicant identifies a strong alignment between School Actions and Believe to Achieve Educational Priorities.		Check score from success criteria below to obtain the component score:  Meets (30) Partially Meets (15) Does Not Meet (0)	
Success Oritoria	After Interview		
Success Criteria	Score	Comments/Evidence for Final Score	
Proposed Reimagine action is likely to lead to success in one or more Believe to Achieve Educational Priorities described by the applicant	Meets (30) Partially Meets (15) Does Not Meet (0)		

Final Rubric Summary Ratings To be completed following interview and for each unique school action proposal				
Component 1: System Commitment and Capacity	Meets (19-24) Mostly Meets (10-18) Somewhat Meets (1-9) Does Not Meet (0)	Summary Comments:		
Component 2: Alignment to System Needs and Priorities	Meets (19-24) Mostly Meets (10-18) Somewhat Meets (1-9) Does Not Meet (0)	Summary Comments:		
Component 3: Transformative Potential	Meets (19-24) Mostly Meets (10-18) Somewhat Meets (1-9) Does Not Meet (0)	Summary Comments:		
Component 4: Sustainability	Meets (19-24) Mostly Meets (10-18) Somewhat Meets (1-9) Does Not Meet (0)	Summary Comments:		
Components 1-4 Total	/ 96			
Bonus Points	/ 30			
Alignment to Believe to Achieve priorities	/ 30			
TOTAL (Sum of Components 1-4 + Bonus)	<b>1-4 + Bonus)</b> / 156			