


## **Grant Parish**

		Students on grade level (12-13) <sup>1</sup>	Students on grade level – state percentile rank (12- 13) <sup>2</sup>	on grade level (11-	on grade level –	Teachers Effective: Proficient or Highly Effective
DISTRICT RESULTS	DISTRICT	70.3%	66	1.4%	65	85%
	STATE	68.0%	-	1.0%	-	89%



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Percent of students proficient on all state administered tests across all subjects and grade levels, including LEAP, iLEAP and EOC exams in 2012-2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Percentile rank in students proficient on all state administered tests across all subjects and grade levels, including LEAP, iLEAP and EOC exams in 2012-2013.


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Improvement or decrease in percentage of students performing on grade level from 2011-2012 to 2012-2013 . Based on all state administered assessments, including ILEAP, LEAP, and EOC assessments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>State percentile rank in improvement from 2011-2012 to 2012-2013.



## **Grant Parish**

		Students on grade level (12-13) <sup>1</sup>	l lovol – stato	Change in students	on grade level —	Leaders Effective: Proficient or Highly Effective
DISTRICT RESULTS	DISTRICT	70.3%	66	1.4%	65	94%
	STATE	68.0%	-	1.0%	-	88%



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Percent of students proficient on all state administered tests across all subjects and grade levels, including LEAP, iLEAP and EOC exams in 2012-2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Percentile rank in students proficient on all state administered tests across all subjects and grade levels, including LEAP, iLEAP and EOC exams in 2012-2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Improvement or decrease in percentage of students performing on grade level from 2011-2012 to 2012-2013 . Based on all state administered assessments, including ILEAP, LEAP, and EOC assessments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>State percentile rank in improvement from 2011-2012 to 2012-2013.